

5

An Analytical Study of Cognitive and Emotional Biases Influencing Individual Saving Preferences

Ayushi Sharma^{1*}, Asmi Mittal² & Nandini³

¹Assistant Professor, BBE Department, Gargi College (Delhi University).

²Student, BBE department, Gargi College (Delhi University).

³B.A.(Hons) Business Economics, Department of Business Economics, Gargi College, DU.

*Corresponding Author:

Abstract

This research looks at how cognitive and emotional biases influence individual financial decisions-making, with a particular focus on saving and investment behavior. Based on survey. Results based on data from 84 participants indicate how these biases, usually of a personal nature, history, family background, and past experiences affect judgment under risk and uncertainty. Unlike narrow tendencies such as overconfidence or loss aversion, these biases reflect deeper psychological patterns that define long-term financial preferences. A unique contribution of the study is its comparative analysis between India and developed economies, showing how differences in governmental policies and social security provisions affect investor attitudes. The results imply that, under a strong institutional support environment, individuals are more likely to be willing to take risks, while in countries with weaker support structures, such as India, people are more risk-averse and prefer safer financial options. The study further underlines the importance of policy interventions and financial education in addressing these behavioral tendencies, thereby fostering more rational and long-term oriented decision-making. It integrates behavioral insights with cross-country evidence and discusses the joint role of Psychology and policy in shaping saving and investment outcomes.

Keywords: Cognitive Biases, Emotional Biases, Saving Preferences, Behavioral Finance, Prospect Theory, Mental Accounting, Demographic Factors, Financial Decision-Making.

Introduction

Personal saving behavior is not only a matter of individual financial discipline but also a cornerstone of national economic stability. Savings provide households with the resources to manage emergencies, finance long-range plans like retirement or education, and develop financial resilience to sudden shocks. At the

macroeconomic level, household savings are a vital source of capital formation, investment, and economic growth. Despite the availability of financial products ranging from simple savings accounts to sophisticated investment instruments, research consistently shows that people across countries—especially in emerging economies like India—exhibit lower savings and erratic saving behavior.

Traditional economic theories assume that individuals act as rational agents who maximize their long-term utility. However, real-world evidence contradicts this assumption. Many people fail to plan adequately for retirement, overspend in the present, or make investment decisions that expose them to unnecessary risks. Several studies in behavioral finance have established that such deviations from rationality are driven by psychological factors—namely cognitive biases such as overconfidence, confirmation bias, and loss aversion, and emotional biases including regret aversion, risk aversion, and the influence of past financial experiences. These biases systematically distort perceptions of risk and reward, leading to decisions that undermine financial security in both the short and long run.

In emerging markets such as India, cultural practices and informal savings mechanisms—such as gold holdings and chit funds—along with limited access to financial literacy programs play a significant role in shaping financial behavior. Therefore, it becomes even more important to understand these behavioral tendencies. Financial decisions are influenced not only by income levels and institutional access but also by generational experiences, community norms, and collective memory of financial shocks. As a result, the intersection of demographic variables and behavioral biases provides a more comprehensive explanation of why individuals save—or fail to save—in ways that diverge from rational economic models.

Significance of the Study

Research on cognitive and emotional biases in saving behavior is not merely an academic exercise; it carries far-reaching practical and policy implications. Identifying which biases dominate under specific conditions can help financial institutions design more effective saving products, such as commitment devices, automatic enrollment systems, and behavioral nudges that align short-term impulses with long-term welfare goals.

Policymakers can also gain valuable insights into demographic differences—for example, younger individuals are more likely to exhibit hyperbolic discounting, while older populations tend to display loss aversion. Understanding these tendencies is critical for designing targeted interventions. At a societal level, increased saving behavior reduces dependency on government safety nets, enhances participation in capital markets, and improves intergenerational financial security.

Thus, by extending the perspective of saving research beyond a purely rational framework to a behavioral one, this study fills a theoretical gap in existing

literature and addresses a practical challenge for households and economies alike. The integration of cognitive and emotional biases into the analysis of saving decisions enables a more holistic understanding of why financial behavior often deviates from optimality, and how evidence-based strategies can encourage healthier and more sustainable saving patterns.

Hypotheses

- H₁:** Demographic factors significantly moderate the impact of cognitive and emotional biases on saving preferences.
- H₂:** Higher levels of loss aversion and present bias (hyperbolic discounting) are associated with lower saving rates.
- H₃:** Regret aversion and negative past experiences are more likely to influence saving initiation and persistence than cognitive biases.
- H₄:** Herd behavior under uncertainty amplifies bias-driven saving and investment patterns, leading to procyclical saving behavior.

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze how people from different demographic groups—such as age, income, education, and gender—approach spending, saving, and investing.
- To examine saving, spending, and investment behavior under conditions of uncertainty.
- To investigate the role of emotional biases, past experiences, risk aversion, and future-oriented planning in shaping saving and investment decisions.
- To identify evidence-based strategies and interventions that can mitigate bias-driven decisions and improve individual financial well-being.

Review of the Literature

Some of the reviews of the existing studies are listed below.

A study on “*Cognitive Biases and Instability of Preferences in the Portfolio Choices of Retail Investors*” (2010) illustrates how cognitive biases—such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and mental accounting—lead to suboptimal portfolio behavior among retail investors. The study quantifies the extent of overtrading and poor diversification and demonstrates that customized financial education and advisory support can significantly improve portfolio stability and participation in capital markets.

“*An Empirical Study on Emotional Bias Affecting Investment*” (2016) used survey data to show how emotional biases—particularly lapses in self-control and regret aversion—shape financial behavior. It highlights that individuals with stronger self-regulation maintain more consistent saving habits, and that behavioral rules can reduce impulsive spending, leading to higher saving rates.

The study *“Cognitive Biases at Play: Insights from a Bayesian Game Theoretical Approach”* (2018) constructs a Bayesian model to analyze how overconfidence and risk aversion interact to influence saving and investment decisions. The model predicts market volatility driven by perceptions of peer behavior, offering a theoretical foundation for understanding systemic effects of behavioral distortions.

“Behavioral and Contextual Determinants of Different Stages of Saving Behavior” (2024) uses longitudinal household data to identify key drivers of saving initiation and persistence. Results show that financial literacy supports initial saving activity, while self-control and clear goal- setting are major determinants of long-term saving behavior. The paper provides evidence for goal-oriented financial education strategies.

The study *“Cognitive Bias and Attitude Distortion of a Priority Decision”* (2022) reveals a “resource-saving bias” in healthcare decision-making, where individuals overestimate efficiency gains from reallocating productive resources. It demonstrates that motivational framing can correct faulty judgments, offering insight for public policy decision frameworks.

“Investigating Financial Biases that Can Increase Impact on Saving Behavior” (2021) measures the influence of regret aversion, the endowment effect, and anchoring on saving patterns.

Findings show that age and overconfidence shape these biases, and that loss-based framing can improve saving performance by reducing bias-driven decision errors.

A Study of Saving and Investment Behavior of Individual Households – Empirical Evidence from Orissa (2011) analyzes demographic factors behind saving motives in rural and urban households. Results indicate that women tend to save more due to higher discipline and risk aversion, while saving patterns vary by age and income. The study suggests targeted saving schemes based on demographic profiles.

“The Impact of Cognitive Biases on Professionals’ Decision-Making” (2022) reviews evidence on confirmation bias, anchoring, and availability heuristics across professional domains, including finance. It identifies how cognitive biases impair judgment under pressure and recommends debiasing tools such as structured checklists and peer-review processes to improve decision quality.

Research Problem and Significance

Traditional economic models assume that individuals optimize utility over time; however, real- world saving behavior often deviates from this assumption. Under-saving, procrastination in financial planning, and impulsive spending undermine

retirement preparedness and increase dependence on social safety nets. Understanding the psychological mechanisms behind these behaviors is essential for designing effective interventions.

Cognitive biases—such as loss aversion and hyperbolic discounting—and emotional biases, including regret aversion and negative past experiences, play a substantial role in shaping saving preferences. This study addresses an important gap in the literature by exploring the roots of suboptimal saving behavior and offering insights to inform policies aimed at improving financial well-being.

Existing research primarily emphasizes investment decisions and portfolio choices, with comparatively limited focus on saving preferences. Studies on cognitive biases often examine trading behavior, asset allocation, or consumption smoothing, while research on emotional biases tends to center on investment regret or self-control in spending. There remains a significant lack of empirical work that integrates both cognitive and emotional biases within the context of saving decisions, particularly across varied demographic groups and under uncertainty.

Furthermore, prior research rarely examines the interaction among multiple biases or their relative influence on saving outcomes. This study aims to bridge these gaps and enhance understanding of behavioral determinants of personal saving decisions.

Theoretical Framework

This study uses five behavioral frameworks:

- **Prospect Theory (Kahneman & Tversky)** — People evaluate outcomes as gains/losses relative to a reference point; losses loom larger than gains. This explains loss-averse behavior such as favoring fixed deposits or cash buffers when markets are volatile.
- **Hyperbolic Discounting (Present-bias; Laibson)** — Many people prefer smaller, earlier rewards to larger later rewards, which undermines long-run saving and retirement adequacy.
- **Mental Accounting (Thaler)** — Individuals compartmentalize funds (housing, emergency, windfalls) causing inconsistent marginal propensities to consume/save across accounts.
- **Regret Aversion & Emotional Biases** — People avoid actions that might lead to regret, often prompting cautious saving behavior after negative experiences.
- **Herd Behavior** — Under uncertainty, people follow perceived social norms or peer actions, amplifying procyclical saving or selling behavior during crises.

Research Methodology

Research Design

A **mixed-methods** design:

- A quantitative survey capturing demographics, a battery of bias-scale items, and behavior measures.
- Qualitative open-ended questions and thematic analysis to triangulate motives.
- Secondary data for international comparison and institutional context. The primary questionnaire and the study plan are described in the project draft.

Sample and Data Collection

- **Sample Frame:** Adults aged 18+ across urban and semi-urban locations in India.
- **Sample Size:** 84 respondents
- **Mode:** Online structured questionnaire + in-person for selected strata.
- **Ethics:** Informed consent, anonymisation, and opt-out.

Measures

- **Dependent Variables**
 - Savings rate (self-reported proportion of monthly income saved — continuous).
 - Savings adequacy index (composite of emergency-fund adequacy, retirement planning, measured on 0–100 scale).
 - Behavior under volatility (binary: withdraw/hold/increase risk-taking).
- **Key Independent Variables (Latent Scales)**
 - Loss aversion (4–6 Likert items; sample item: “I prefer guaranteed small gains over uncertain larger gains.”)
 - Present-bias / Hyperbolic discounting (hyperbolic choice tasks; hypothetical sooner vs. later reward choices — coded into present-bias score).
 - Regret aversion (items measuring anticipatory regret; tendency to avoid actions that could cause regret).
 - Mental accounting sophistication (indicators: number of buckets, use of separate accounts, windfall behavior).
- **Controls:** Age, gender, education, household income decile, urban/rural, prior adverse financial experiences (loss of job, major medical bill), and financial literacy .

Results

• **Sample Characteristics**

The sample consisted of 84 respondents from diverse age, income, and education groups. The average age was around 35 years, with variation across younger and older adults.

- **Gender:** 52% women, 48% men
- **Education:** 54% held a college degree or higher
- **Income:**
 - 35% earned less than ₹20,000 per month
 - 45% fell in the middle-income bracket
 - 20% belonged to the higher-income segment
- **Residence:** 68% urban, 32% semi-urban

Overall, the sample was slightly urban, middle-income, and educated, with demographic diversity across age and income levels.

Saving and Investment Behaviour

A majority (76%) reported saving regularly, though saving methods varied:

- Most relied on traditional options such as savings accounts and fixed deposits
- 28% invested in market-linked instruments, including mutual funds and equities
- Market reaction behavior during downturns:
 - 39% panicked and withdrew funds
 - 45% held their investments
 - 16% saw it as a buying opportunity

These responses highlight how emotions—fear, patience, and confidence—shape real financial decisions

Validation of Survey Scales

The survey captured three psychological dimensions:

- **Cognitive biases** (e.g., overconfidence, confirmation bias)
- **Emotional biases** (e.g., regret, fear from past financial experiences)
- **Time-preference tendencies** (e.g., present-bias, preference for immediate rewards)

Analysis confirmed these categories as distinct and reliable, indicating successful measurement of intended psychological traits.

Regression Results: Drivers of Saving Behavior

Key patterns observed:

- Individuals with present-bias saved less consistently
- Negative past experiences and regret aversion strongly discouraged saving initiation and continuation
- Loss aversion reduced investment diversification, though the effect was smaller
- Income and age positively correlated with consistent saving behavior

Overall, emotional biases—especially fear and regret—had a stronger influence on saving decisions than cognitive biases such as overconfidence.

Subgroup Patterns

- Middle-income respondents were most affected by regret and past financial losses
- Younger individuals displayed stronger present-bias, favoring short-term spending over long-term saving
- Older individuals exhibited higher loss aversion and more cautious investment behavior

Key Empirical Finding

67% of respondents demonstrated hyperbolic discounting (present-bias).

This tendency significantly correlated with inadequate retirement preparation. Individuals struggling to delay gratification were less financially prepared for the future.

Qualitative Insights

Narrative responses provided context:

- *“I save only when my parents or friends remind me — otherwise, I spend all that I earn.”* - College student
- *“When the market crashes, I withdraw immediately, even though I know it isn’t the best decision.”*

Several participants cited social media and peer influence as drivers of both saving and spending behavior.

Comparative Analysis: India vs. Developed Countries (Evidence-Based)

Institutional context & social insurance

- Expansion of public health coverage tends to **reduce precautionary saving** (empirical
Example: Taiwan’s National Health Insurance).

Cultural Assets & Composition of Savings

- Indian households hold an enormous stock of physical gold (21–25k tonnes in recent WGC estimates), which acts as a cultural and financial buffer and affects liquidity and formal market participation. By contrast, many developed economies channel savings through pensions, mutual funds, and mortgages

Behaviour under Volatility

- In economies with robust safety nets, households can smooth consumption via transfers or insurance, thereby reducing the need for precautionary liquidity hoarding. In India, expected shortfalls in public welfare heighten precautionary motives, producing conservative asset allocations and frequent movement into safe instruments during volatility (FDs, gold).

Financial literacy and access

- OECD/INFE surveys and national assessments reveal sizable gaps in financial capability in many countries; India's financial capability assessments show room for improvement, which helps explain lower market participation and risk aversion.

Synthesis: Institutional design—such as social safety nets—cultural endowments like gold, and levels of financial capability jointly determine whether individuals respond to uncertainty by increasing precautionary savings or by relying on formal risk-sharing systems. These mechanisms explain cross-country differences and align with the patterns observed in the primary data, where higher precautionary saving tendencies and more conservative financial behavior are evident in India.

Discussion

- **Emotional biases outweigh cognitive biases:** Emotional biases—such as regret aversion and negative past financial experiences—exert a stronger influence on saving initiation and persistence than cognitive biases. When individuals experience financial shocks, the resulting emotional memory increases their preference for liquidity and safety, even when risky assets may offer higher expected returns. This aligns with behavioral literature emphasizing the role of affect and experience in financial decision-making.
- **Present-bias and youth behavior:** A high prevalence of hyperbolic discounting was observed among younger respondents, leading to shorter planning horizons and inadequate retirement preparation. This behavior is consistent with Laibson's model, which explains present-bias in long-term decision-making.
- **Mental accounting and windfalls:** Higher-income individuals tend to engage in more sophisticated mental accounting; however, they also display riskier behavior when handling windfall gains. This suggests that financial education

should address not only saving amounts but also mental framing of money. Reframing windfalls as future-oriented funds—for example, labeling them as a “retirement bonus”—can reduce impulsive spending.

- **Institutional leverage:** Evidence from Madrian & Shea indicates that automatic enrollment significantly boosts participation in pension plans. This demonstrates the power of default options in overcoming inertia and correcting present-biased behavior. Such policy defaults are particularly relevant for India’s expanding formal pension landscape.
- **Cultural considerations:** Policy measures must align with cultural traditions, such as the long-standing preference for gold as a store of value in India. Instead of attempting to replace gold entirely, policymakers should develop gold-backed financial instruments and gold- mobilization programs that uphold cultural preferences while channeling household gold into productive economic use, ensuring both liquidity and security.

Policy Implications & Interventions

- **Targeted Financial Education**
 - Youth: Modules on compound interest, retirement simulations, and default opt-out saving systems.
 - Middle-income households: Framing-based interventions and visual budgeting tools that label accounts for specific goals.
 - Older cohorts: Risk-tolerance counseling and guidance for optimal diversification.
- **Choice Architecture and Default Options**
 - Automatic enrollment in pension-type schemes for formal-sector workers, with default contribution settings and automatic escalation features.
- **Commitment Devices and Product Design**
 - Locked-in saving accounts with partial early-withdrawal penalties, while still allowing limited access during genuine emergencies.
 - Matched-savings programs for low-income households to support the development of saving habits.
- **Behaviorally Informed Regulation**
 - Mandatory plain-language disclosures for high-cost or potentially predatory financial products.
 - “Cooling-off” periods for expensive or high-fee transactions to minimize regret-driven decision errors.
- **Strengthening Institutional Safety Nets**

- Pilot expansion of health insurance and social protection programs to reduce precautionary saving motives and encourage investment in productive financial instruments.
- **Culturally Aligned Interventions**
 - Gold-mobilization initiatives including gold-backed bonds and jewelry-to-deposit schemes that respect cultural preferences while supporting macroeconomic efficiency.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that saving preferences are shaped by both cognitive and emotional biases, with emotional factors—such as regret aversion and negative past experiences—playing a stronger role in influencing whether individuals initiate and maintain saving behavior. Younger respondents exhibited higher present-bias, leading to weaker long-term planning, whereas older individuals displayed greater loss aversion, resulting in more conservative yet less diversified investment choices.

A comparison between India and developed economies highlights important differences. In nations with strong social safety nets, savings typically flow toward pension schemes and capital markets. In contrast, Indian households—lacking robust institutional protection—rely heavily on fixed deposits, cash holdings, and particularly gold as cultural and financial security buffers.

These insights emphasize the need for context-specific policy solutions tailored to local socio-economic realities.

Overall, the findings suggest that effective interventions must combine financial education, automatic enrollment mechanisms, and culturally aligned saving products. By addressing both cognitive and emotional determinants of financial behavior, policymakers can help reduce under-saving and strengthen financial resilience in India.

References

1. Chou, S.-Y., Liu, J.-T., & Hammitt, J. K. (2006). National health insurance and precautionary saving: Evidence from Taiwan. *Journal of Public Economics*, 90(12), 1873–1894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2006.03.001>
2. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>
3. Laibson, D. (1997). Golden eggs and hyperbolic discounting. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112(2), 443–477. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355397555253>
4. Madrian, B. C., & Shea, D. F. (2001). The power of suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) participation and savings behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(4), 1149–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355301753265543>

5. OECD. (2023). OECD/INFE international survey of adult financial literacy. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org/finance/financial-education/>
6. World Gold Council. (2020). Gold demand trends. World Gold Council. <https://www.gold.org>
7. Linciano, N. (2010). Cognitive biases and instability of preferences in the portfolio choices of retail investors: Policy implications of behavioural finance (CONSOB Working Paper No. 66). CONSOB. <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=1898560>. [SSRN](#)
8. Lad, C., & Tailor, H. (2016). An empirical study on emotional bias affecting investment decisions of investors. *Global Journal of Research in Management*. Retrieved from <https://utu.ac.in/DManagement/download/June%202016/4.pdf>. [Uttarakhand Technical University](#)
9. Tariq, S. (2025). Cognitive biases at play? Insights from a Bayesian game framework (preprint). arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/html/2505.18835v1>. [arXiv](#)
10. Barrafreem, K., Tinghög, G., & Västfjäll, D. (2024). Behavioral and contextual determinants of different stages of saving behavior. *Frontiers in Behavioral Economics*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frbhe.2024.1381080>. [Frontiers](#)
11. Svenson, O., Lindholm Öjmyr, T., Appelbom, S., & Isohanni, F. (2022). Cognitive bias and attitude distortion of a priority decision. *Cognitive Processing*, 23(3), 379–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10339-022-01097-y>. [PubMed](#)
12. Delgadillo, L. M. (2021). Investigating financial biases that can increase impact on paying bills and saving. *Journal of Financial Therapy*, 12(2). Retrieved from <https://newprairiepress.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1267&context=jft.newprairiepress.org>
13. Chakraborty, S., & Digal, S. (2011). A study of saving and investment behaviour of individual households – Empirical evidence from Orissa. *Personal Finance & Investments (PF&I) 2011 Conference* (posted to SSRN). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2168305>.
14. Al-Dahan, N. S. H., Hasan, M. F., & Jadah, H. M. (2019). Effect of cognitive and emotional biases on investor decisions: An analytical study of the Iraq Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 9(10), 30–47. Retrieved from https://www.ijicc.net/images/vol9iss10/91003_Dahan_2019_E_R.pdf.

