 ISBN: 978-81-974427-2-8

2

A Comprehensive Analysis of Environmental Reporting Practices in Indian Companies

Dr. (CA) Nikhar Goyal*
Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Accounting and Taxation, IIS deemed to be University Jaipur.

***Corresponding Author:** nikhar.goyal@yahoo.com

Abstract

This article provides a detailed analysis of environmental reporting practices within Indian companies. It traces the evolution of these practices from early, voluntary initiatives to the current era of mandatory, structured disclosures. The analysis focuses on the key regulatory drivers, particularly the transition from the Business Responsibility Report (BRR) to the more comprehensive Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) framework. We will trace this journey from its initial phase of ad-hoc, voluntary disclosures, to the landmark introduction of the Business Responsibility Report (BRR), and finally to the current, more rigorous era of Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR). It explores the current state of reporting, highlighting sectoral disparities, data collection challenges, and the quality of disclosures. Furthermore, the article examines the crucial role of various stakeholders—investors, consumers, and civil society—in driving corporate environmental accountability. The conclusion projects the future trajectory of environmental reporting in India, anticipating greater standardization, assurance, and integration with financial reporting. The aim is to offer a holistic view of the forces shaping corporate environmental transparency and accountability in one of the world's fastest-growing economies.

Keywords: Environmental Reporting, ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance), Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), BRSR (Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting), SEBI (Securities and Exchange Board of India), Sustainability, Corporate Governance.

Introduction

In the 21st century, a company's success is no longer measured solely by its financial performance. The global imperative of addressing pressing environmental crises—from climate change and biodiversity loss to water scarcity and pollution—has fundamentally reshaped the expectations of corporations. As a result, a company's environmental performance, and its transparent disclosure of that performance, has become a cornerstone of modern corporate governance. India stands at a pivotal juncture, balancing its aspirations for economic growth with the urgent need for sustainable development. In this context, the demand for corporate environmental accountability has surged from all corners—regulators, investors, consumers, and civil society alike. Environmental reporting, which involves the systematic and public disclosure of a company's impact on the natural world, has emerged as the primary mechanism for meeting this demand. It serves not only as a tool for transparency but also as a critical function for managing risk, attracting capital, and enhancing brand reputation in an increasingly sustainability-conscious world.

This article provides a comprehensive and detailed examination of the evolving landscape of environmental reporting within Indian companies. It argues that this evolution is a complex and dynamic process, driven by a series of transformative regulatory mandates and a paradigm shift in stakeholder expectations. The analysis will delve deep into the mechanics of the BRSR framework, highlighting its shift from a principles-based approach to a metrics-driven one and its far-reaching implications for corporate accountability. Furthermore, we will critically assess the significant challenges companies face in implementing these new standards, including the complexities of data collection, the risk of "greenwashing," and persistent sectoral disparities. The article will also underscore the indispensable role of external forces, such as the rise of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) investing, in incentivizing Indian companies to integrate environmental concerns into their core business strategies. By providing a holistic and multi-faceted perspective, this article aims to offer a complete understanding of the forces shaping corporate environmental transparency in India. It will illuminate how environmental reporting is no longer a peripheral function but is rapidly becoming a central pillar of corporate strategy, accountability, and long-term resilience in one of the world's most critical emerging markets.

Definition: What is Environmental Reporting?

Environmental reporting, often a part of a broader sustainability or ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) report, is the systematic disclosure of an organization's environmental performance. It involves providing information on an entity's impact on the natural environment, including its use of resources (e.g., energy, water), generation of waste and pollution, and its efforts to mitigate climate change and protect biodiversity.

Key components of environmental reporting typically include:

- **Resource Consumption:** Data on the consumption of energy, water, and raw materials.
- **Emissions and Effluents:** Reporting on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Scope 1, 2, and 3), air pollutants, and wastewater discharge.
- **Waste Management:** Information on waste generation, recycling rates, and disposal methods.
- **Biodiversity:** Disclosures on impacts on local ecosystems and conservation efforts.
- **Environmental Management Systems:** Details on environmental policies, targets, and management systems (e.g., ISO 14001 certification).

The purpose of environmental reporting extends beyond mere compliance. It serves to:

- **Enhance Transparency:** Provide stakeholders with a clear picture of a company's environmental footprint.
- **Manage Risks:** Help companies identify and mitigate environmental risks (e.g., regulatory fines, reputational damage).
- **Improve Performance:** Drive internal improvements by setting targets and tracking progress.
- **Build Trust:** Strengthen a company's reputation and build trust with investors, customers, and the public.
- **Attract Investment:** Appeal to a growing class of investors who use ESG factors to inform their decisions.

Analysis: A Deep Dive into the Evolving Landscape

The journey of environmental reporting in India is a compelling narrative of gradual institutionalization, driven by a blend of regulatory foresight and growing stakeholder demand. This evolution can be meticulously dissected into three distinct phases, each defined by its unique characteristics and limitations.

Phase 1: The Era of Early, Voluntary Disclosures

Before the formalization of corporate sustainability mandates, environmental reporting was primarily a self-initiated exercise. A small number of leading Indian corporations, often those with significant international exposure, adopted global frameworks like the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). The motivations behind this were largely strategic:

- Reputation Management: Companies sought to project a socially and environmentally responsible image to gain a competitive edge and build brand trust, particularly with conscious consumers in developed markets.
- Early Mover Advantage: By voluntarily disclosing their environmental performance, these companies could pre-empt future regulations and position themselves as industry leaders.
- Stakeholder Engagement: It was a tool to address concerns from international investors, NGOs, and foreign business partners.

However, this phase was marked by significant limitations. The reporting was largely inconsistent, a 'pick-and-choose' approach where companies highlighted positive achievements while omitting negative data. There was no standardization, making it virtually impossible for stakeholders to compare the environmental performance of different companies. Participation was also low, confined to a handful of large, resource-intensive corporations.

The Companies Act, 2013, while not a direct reporting mandate, served as a crucial catalyst. By making Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) spending compulsory, it forced a much broader set of companies to create formal sustainability departments and budgets. While the focus was on spending, the process inherently led to some level of internal reporting and external communication about environmental projects. This laid the administrative groundwork for future, more stringent mandates.

Phase 2: The Business Responsibility Report (BRR) Era

The introduction of the Business Responsibility Report (BRR) by SEBI in 2012 marked a pivotal moment. It was the first attempt to bring a structured, uniform approach to sustainability reporting in India. Based on the National Voluntary Guidelines (NVGs), the BRR required companies to report on their performance against nine core principles, including those related to the environment.

- Structured Principles, Not Metrics: The BRR was a "principles-based" framework. For example, it asked companies to report on whether they had policies on water consumption, emissions, and waste management. It required a description of the policy, its implementation, and a list of initiatives undertaken.
- A Stepping Stone: The BRR was a significant leap from the previous era of ad-hoc disclosures. It created a common template for reporting, forcing companies to think systematically about their social and environmental responsibilities for the first time.

However, its primary weakness was its qualitative nature. It asked "do you have a policy?" rather than "what are your actual emissions?" This allowed for a "tickbox" approach, where companies could fulfill the reporting requirement without disclosing hard, comparable data. Investors and other stakeholders found it difficult to use the information for effective analysis or to differentiate between companies' actual performance.

Phase 3: The Paradigm Shift to the Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) Framework

The Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) framework, mandated by SEBI from FY 2022-23, represents a quantum leap forward. It fundamentally shifts the reporting paradigm from principles to detailed, quantitative metrics. This framework is not merely a replacement for the BRR; it is a complete re-engineering of the reporting process.

Key features and their implications:

- **Metrics-Driven Disclosures:** The BRSR is highly quantitative. It mandates disclosures on a wide range of specific metrics, including:
    - **Energy and Water**
    - **Emissions (Scope 1, 2, and 3)**
- **Value Chain Accountability:** A major innovation of the BRSR is the emphasis on reporting on the value chain. This forces companies to look beyond their own factory walls and engage with their suppliers, logistics partners, and even customers to track and manage environmental impact. This is particularly challenging for large, diversified companies with complex supply chains but is crucial for a holistic understanding of their environmental footprint.
- **Alignment with Global Standards:** The BRSR is designed to be interoperable with international frameworks. Its metrics are structured to be compatible with GRI standards and align with TCFD's recommendations for climate-related financial disclosures. This makes Indian companies' reports more accessible and comparable for global investors.
- **Essential vs. Leadership Indicators:** This tiered approach is a clever mechanism to drive continuous improvement. The 'Essential' indicators are mandatory for all top 1,000 listed companies. The 'Leadership' indicators are voluntary but encourage companies to disclose additional details on issues like life cycle assessment, green buildings, and climate-related financial risks. This incentivizes companies to go beyond the bare minimum and demonstrates a true commitment to sustainability.

Challenges and Implementation Gaps

Despite the robustness of the BRSR framework, its implementation is fraught with challenges, revealing a significant gap between regulatory intent and corporate reality.

- **The Data Dilemma:** The most formidable challenge is data collection and management. Many companies, especially those with decentralized operations, lack the technological infrastructure to accurately measure and track resource consumption and emissions across all their facilities. For Scope 3 emissions, this is even more complex, requiring them to get data from external, often un-automated, suppliers. The process is expensive, time-consuming, and requires specialized technical expertise.
- **Risk of Greenwashing:** While the BRSR is more quantitative, it is not immune to greenwashing. The current framework does not mandate external assurance (or auditing) of the BRSR report, unlike financial reports. This lack of third-party verification can allow for data manipulation or misleading claims. Stakeholders must rely on the company's integrity, which can be a significant credibility risk.
- **Sectoral Disparities and Capacities:** Companies in high-impact sectors like cement, metals, and chemicals have long been reporting on environmental metrics due to regulatory pressure and the nature of their operations. However, service-based industries like IT or BFSI (banking, financial services, and insurance) are still building the internal capacity to track and report on their environmental footprint, which includes data center energy consumption or financing of carbon-intensive projects.

The Indispensable Role of Stakeholders

The transformation in environmental reporting is not just a top-down regulatory exercise but a bottom-up movement driven by increasingly aware stakeholders.

- **Investors and Financial Institutions:** The rise of ESG-focused funds in India and globally has placed immense pressure on companies. Institutional investors, mutual funds, and passive funds are using BRSR data as a core input for their investment decisions. They view strong environmental performance as a proxy for long-term resilience and risk management, believing that companies that manage environmental risks well are also better-managed overall. Banks and lenders are also beginning to factor in climate risk into their lending decisions, potentially offering preferential rates for "green" projects.
- **Rating Agencies and Research Firms:** ESG rating agencies (e.g., MSCI, Sustainalytics) and research firms analyze BRSR reports and other corporate disclosures to assign ESG scores. These scores are highly influential, impacting a company’s valuation and attractiveness to investors. The prospect of a poor ESG rating is a powerful incentive for companies to improve both their performance and their reporting.

- **Consumers and Employees:** Modern consumers are increasingly making purchasing decisions based on a company's environmental record. This influences a company's brand value and market share. Similarly, top talent, particularly younger employees, is looking for purpose-driven organizations. A strong commitment to sustainability and transparent reporting has become a key factor in talent acquisition and retention.

Conclusion

The evolution of environmental reporting in Indian companies marks a pivotal and irreversible shift in the corporate landscape. What began as a scattered, voluntary practice has been systematically transformed into a mandatory and increasingly rigorous component of corporate governance. The journey from the principles-based Business Responsibility Report (BRR) to the metrics-driven Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) framework is a testament to India's commitment to aligning its corporate sector with global standards of transparency and accountability.

However, the transition is not without its challenges. The successful implementation of the BRSR hinges on companies' ability to overcome significant hurdles, including the complexity of collecting accurate and comprehensive data, the need for skilled personnel, and the pervasive risk of greenwashing in the absence of mandatory third-party assurance. These challenges underscore a critical implementation gap that must be bridged for the regulatory intent to translate into meaningful environmental action. Ultimately, the future of environmental reporting in India will be defined by its seamless integration with financial reporting. As both regulatory and market forces continue to push for greater transparency, the demand for verified, reliable, and comparable ESG data will only grow. The next phase will likely see the formal introduction of mandatory assurance for BRSR reports, the development of a national green taxonomy, and a deeper embedding of climate-related risk into corporate strategy. Environmental reporting is no longer a peripheral compliance activity; it has become a central and strategic pillar for driving genuine, long-term sustainable development in India's corporate sector.

References

1. SEBI. (2021). *Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting by listed entities.* Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CMD1/P/CIR/2021/562.
2. The Companies Act, 2013. *Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India*.
3. Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2011). National Voluntary Guidelines on Social, Environmental & Economic Responsibilities of Business (NVGs). Government of India.

4. Aggarwal, S., & Singh, P. (2022). "An analysis of environmental, social, and governance reporting practices in Indian companies." *International Journal of Sustainable Economy*, 14(1), 58-76.
5. Puri, S. (2023). "BRSR framework and its impact on corporate reporting in India: A detailed study." *Journal of Corporate Law and Governance*, 18(2), 112-130.
6. KPMG. (2022). The BRSR Compass: Navigating India's new sustainability reporting framework. KPMG India.
7. UN Global Compact Network India. (2021). Report on the implementation of Business Responsibility Reporting in India.
8. Price waterhouse Coopers (PwC). (2022). BRSR Reporting: Decoding the transition. PwC India.

☯☯☯