Inspira- Journal of Modern Management & Entrepreneurship (JMME)
ISSN : 2231–167X (Print), Impact Factor: 7.866, Volume 15, No. 04, October-December, 2025, pp. 01-08

Heavy Metal Contamination in Freshwater Ecosystems: Assessing Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Dynamics in Fish

Dr. Jaishree Daverey[1*] & Ms. Tanya Kala[2]

[1]Professor, Department of Zoology, Janki Devi Bajaj Government Girls College, Kota, Rajasthan, India.
[2]Undergraduate (Sem-III) Student, Jain (deemed-to-be University).

[*]Corresponding Author: jaishreedaverey@gmail.com

Citation:

ABSTRACT

Heavy metal contamination of aquatic ecosystems, originating from both geogenic sources like rock weathering and pervasive anthropogenic activities including industrial discharge, agricultural runoff, and fossil fuel combustion, constitutes a severe environmental crisis due to the inherent toxicity, persistence, and bioaccumulative propensity of these pollutants (Briffa et al., 2020). Upon mobilisation into aquatic systems, metals such as cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, and arsenic are assimilated by fish primarily through their gills, digestive tract, and integument, leading to significant bioaccumulation in tissues and subsequent biomagnification through the trophic levels (Ali et al., 2019). The fundamental mechanism of their toxicity involves the induction of profound oxidative stress, which disrupts cellular homeostasis, compromises immune competence, and inflicts damage on vital organs, including the gills, liver, and kidneys, ultimately manifesting in reduced growth rates, reproductive impairment, and behavioural alterations (Lushchak, 2016). In response, piscine organisms activate intricate defence mechanisms, notably the synthesis of metallothionein proteins for metal chelation and the upregulation of antioxidant enzymes to counteract oxidative damage; however, these protective systems are often overwhelmed at higher exposure concentrations. The grave implications for human health are realised through the trophic transfer of these accumulated metals via the consumption of contaminated fish (Has-Schön et al., 2006). This vector directly introduces toxicants into the human body, posing risks of neurological, cardiovascular, and renal disorders. Consequently, this exigent threat demands a multifaceted mitigation strategy, compelling the urgent promulgation and stringent enforcement of robust environmental legislation, the adoption of advanced remediation technologies for pollution containment, and the global implementation of sustainable resource management practices to safeguard both aquatic biodiversity and public health.

Keywords: *Heavy Metal Toxicity, Aquatic Pollution, Oxidative Stress, Bioaccumulation, Fish Health, Trophic Transfer, Environmental Regulations.*

Introduction

Heavy metal pollution is a critical environmental concern due to its persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxic impacts on aquatic life and human health (Wuana & Okieimen, 2011). Fish serve as sensitive bioindicators, revealing physiological, reproductive, and ecological disruptions caused by metals such as cadmium, lead, mercury, and arsenic (Authman et al., 2015). Bioaccumulation and biomagnification intensify food chain risks (Luo et al., 2014), while adaptive defence mechanisms in fish

remain limited. Sustainable solutions demand strict pollution control, bioremediation strategies, and regular biomonitoring to safeguard aquatic biodiversity and ensure food security.

Environmental pollution has emerged as one of the most pressing global challenges in recent decades, primarily due to rapid industrial growth, excessive energy consumption, and unsustainable exploitation of natural resources. Among the numerous pollutants, heavy metals represent a grave ecological concern because of their high toxicity, persistence, and potential for bioaccumulation within food chains (Briffa et al., 2020). These metals, including chromium, cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, arsenic, mercury, and zinc, are introduced into ecosystems through multiple pathways such as industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, fossil fuel combustion, mining, and domestic waste discharge (Gheorghe et al., 2017). Their long-term stability in the environment enables them to accumulate in soil, disrupt microbial communities, reduce fertility, and adversely affect plant physiology. Aquatic ecosystems are especially vulnerable, as heavy metals readily dissolve in water and accumulate in sediments, ultimately creating a direct risk to aquatic organisms.

Fish, being integral components of aquatic food chains, are highly susceptible to heavy metal contamination, which affects their growth, reproduction, and nervous system regulation, while also increasing risks of carcinogenic, mutagenic, and teratogenic effects (Sfakianakis et al., 2015). The bioaccumulation of these toxic elements in fish tissues poses further concern due to their biomagnification across trophic levels, eventually reaching humans through dietary intake (Ali et al., 2019). As fish constitute a vital source of nutrition, chronic exposure to heavy metals through consumption represents a significant public health risk (Grandjean et al., 2010). Moreover, examining the antioxidant defence mechanisms in fish offers valuable insights into adaptive responses against oxidative stress caused by metal exposure (Lushchak, 2016). This knowledge not only advances ecological and toxicological understanding but also supports the formulation of effective regulatory policies. Therefore, a comprehensive assessment of heavy metal contamination and its biological consequences is crucial for ensuring ecological balance, food safety, and long-term sustainability.

Different heavy metals and their accumulation in fish (Table 1)

- **Chromium (Cr)**

Chromium naturally occurs in the earth's crust and seawater, existing mainly in trivalent (Cr^{3+}) and hexavalent (Cr^{6+}) states. The trivalent form is relatively less harmful due to low permeability and limited biomagnification, whereas hexavalent chromium is highly toxic because of its oxidative strength and cellular penetration ability (Velma et al., 2009). Major anthropogenic inputs include the tanning, electroplating, petroleum refining, and textile industries. Fish exposed to chromium display altered swimming patterns, appetite loss, tissue degeneration, and impaired immune responses (Bakshi & Panigrahi, 2018). Accumulation is most prominent in the gills, liver, and kidney, with minimal deposition in muscle.

- **Cadmium (Cd)**

Cadmium is a non-essential trace metal found with zinc, lead, and copper ores, entering aquatic systems from fossil fuel burning, fertilisers, plastics, and battery industries (Järup, 2003). It interferes with mitochondrial electron transport, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inducing DNA damage, histopathological changes, and haematological abnormalities in fish (Wang et al., 2004). Chronic exposure alters glucose metabolism, reduces glycogen reserves, and disrupts gonadal function and reproduction. Cadmium bioaccumulates primarily in the liver, kidney, and gill, showing slow excretion and long-term ecological risks.

- **Copper (Cu)**

Copper, though an essential micronutrient for enzymatic activities and haemoglobin synthesis, becomes hazardous at elevated levels. Aquatic copper pollution originates from fungicides, electroplating, mining, and sewage discharge. Excess copper induces oxidative stress, DNA damage, and apoptosis in the gill and liver tissues of fish (Monteiro et al., 2009). Behavioural impairments such as loss of predator avoidance, social interaction, and reproduction have been documented. Copper bioaccumulates maximally in the liver, followed by gills, altering lipid metabolism and protein balance in tissues.

- **Lead (Pb)**

Lead, one of the most hazardous metals, contaminates aquatic ecosystems through mining, coal burning, paint residues, and the battery industries. Its solubility is highest in soft, acidic waters, with lethal doses for fish ranging from 10 to 100 mg/L. Chronic exposure results in growth inhibition, gonadal damage, anaemia, and oxidative stress in several freshwater species (Lee et al., 2019). Histological anomalies in the gill, liver, and reproductive tissues are frequently observed. Lead bioaccumulates in the kidney, spleen, liver, and gills, reducing mobility and causing morphological deformities in fish.

- **Nickel (Ni)**

Nickel, released from mining, alloy production, and combustion activities, exists widely in aquatic habitats. While trace amounts may serve physiological functions, elevated concentrations are toxic, influenced by pH, ionic strength, and hardness of water. Exposure leads to abnormal swimming, respiratory distress, haematological alterations, and structural damage to the gill, liver, and kidney (Al-Attar, 2007). Nickel-induced oxidative stress disrupts DNA and protein metabolism, lowering glycogen content in tissues. The highest accumulation occurs in the kidney, followed by the liver and muscle.

- **Arsenic (As)**

Arsenic contamination arises from smelting, power plants, and pesticide use, occurring mainly as trivalent (As^{3+}) and pentavalent (As^{5+}) forms. The trivalent state is more toxic due to higher absorption efficiency. Chronic exposure in fish causes tissue degeneration, immunosuppression, haematological disturbances, reproductive impairment, and developmental deformities (Kumari et al., 2017). Histological studies reveal damage to gill lamellae, liver parenchyma, and cardiac tissues. Arsenic accumulates predominantly in the liver and kidney, disrupting metabolic pathways, immune defence, and gene expression.

- **Mercury (Hg)**

Mercury is ranked among the most toxic heavy metals, introduced through fossil fuel combustion, mining, and industrial effluents. It occurs as elemental, inorganic, and organic forms, with methylmercury being the most neurotoxic due to its ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (Grandjean et al., 2010). Mercury exposure in fish induces oxidative damage, renal and hepatic necrosis, endocrine disruption, and reproductive impairments (Zhang et al., 2016). It preferentially accumulates in muscle tissues (>90%), with the liver serving as a detoxification site. Methylmercury excretion is extremely slow, raising severe bioaccumulation concerns.

- **Zinc (Zn)**

Zinc, an essential micronutrient in protein synthesis, immunity, and cellular growth, becomes deleterious at elevated levels from industrial discharge, coal burning, and steel processing. Acute exposure damages gill tissue, while chronic exposure induces metabolic stress, impaired calcium uptake, and hypocalcaemia. Fish exposed to zinc show behavioural changes, skeletal malformations, oxidative stress, and necrosis in liver cells (McRae et al., 2016). Zinc accumulates mainly in the liver and kidney, with lesser amounts in muscle, often following the order: liver > kidney > intestine > gill > muscle.

Table 1: Summary of Heavy Metal Toxicity in Fish

Heavy Metal	Major Sources	Toxic Effects in Fish	Bioaccumulation Sites
Chromium (Cr)	Tanneries, metal finishing, petroleum refining, textiles, wood preservation	Behavioural changes (erratic swimming, appetite loss), immune suppression, cytotoxicity, DNA damage, growth reduction	Gills, liver, kidney (low in muscle)
Cadmium (Cd)	Fossil fuel burning, fertilisers, plastics, batteries, and mining	ROS production, DNA damage, anaemia, histopathological changes (liver/kidney necrosis), gonadal dysfunction, reduced survival in larvae	Liver, kidney, gills (slow excretion)
Copper (Cu)	Fungicides, algaecides, electroplating, mining, sewage effluents	Oxidative stress, apoptosis in gills/liver, behavioural impairment, reproductive failure, and DNA damage	Liver (highest), gills, muscle

Lead (Pb)	Mining, coal burning, batteries, paint, pesticides, wastewater	Growth retardation, anaemia, oxidative stress, gonadal/reproductive damage, histological distortion of gills & liver	Liver, kidney, spleen, gills
Nickel (Ni)	Nickel mining, alloy industries, coal/oil burning, incineration	Abnormal swimming, respiratory dysfunction, haematological alterations, DNA/protein metabolism disruption, tissue necrosis	Kidney (highest), liver, muscle, blood
Arsenic (As)	Smelting, pesticides, herbicides, power plants, and agriculture	Gill and liver degeneration, immunosuppression, developmental deformities, reduced fertility, gene expression changes	Liver, kidney (major), gills
Mercury (Hg)	Coal combustion, mining, industrial effluents, fungicides, batteries	Neurotoxicity, oxidative stress, reproductive dysfunction, renal/hepatic necrosis, endocrine disruption	Muscle (>90%), liver (detoxification), blood
Zinc (Zn)	Industrial discharge, coal burning, mining, steel processing	Gill damage, hypocalcemia, behavioural changes, skeletal malformations, oxidative stress, hepatocyte necrosis	Liver > kidney > intestine > gill > muscle

Heavy metals enter aquatic ecosystems from both natural processes, such as volcanic eruptions, soil erosion, and weathering of rocks, as well as anthropogenic sources. However, in recent decades, human activities have become the dominant contributors, vastly outpacing natural inputs (Gheorghe et al., 2017). Industrial effluents, mining operations, fossil fuel combustion, and wastewater discharge have been identified as the primary pathways through which metals such as lead, cadmium, chromium, and arsenic infiltrate aquatic environments. Agricultural activities further exacerbate this problem, as the overuse of pesticides, phosphate fertilisers, and sewage sludge introduces additional quantities of zinc, copper, and nickel into surrounding water bodies (Wuana & Okieimen, 2011). Once introduced, these metals persist due to their non-biodegradable nature, thereby accumulating in sediments and becoming a long-term source of contamination for aquatic organisms.

Industrialisation in developing countries has intensified heavy metal pollution in freshwater systems. For example, tannery and electroplating industries are major contributors of chromium and nickel, while battery manufacturing, smelting, and paint industries release lead and cadmium into nearby aquatic ecosystems. Similarly, coal-based thermal power plants contribute significant quantities of mercury through fly ash and atmospheric deposition (Briffa et al., 2020). These contaminants often find their way into rivers, lakes, and reservoirs, where they transform, such as adsorption to sediments or complexation with organic matter, increasing their bioavailability to aquatic organisms. Studies also suggest that heavy metals in sediments can re-enter the water column through resuspension and changes in pH, thereby sustaining chronic contamination in aquatic environments.

In addition to direct discharges, urbanisation and population growth play indirect roles in amplifying heavy metal loads in aquatic systems. Municipal sewage, stormwater runoff, and untreated domestic waste contribute copper, zinc, and lead into water bodies, particularly in urban catchments. Agricultural drainage further intensifies contamination during monsoon periods, when fertilisers and pesticides are washed into rivers and ponds (Rahman et al., 2012). This cumulative input from multiple sources not only elevates metal concentrations in aquatic habitats but also creates hotspots of pollution that are difficult to remediate. The persistence of these metals, coupled with their continuous input, makes them a serious long-term ecological and health challenge, emphasising the need for strict regulation and monitoring.

Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification in Fish

Bioaccumulation is a critical process through which heavy metals are absorbed and retained within the tissues of aquatic organisms, particularly fish, over time. Unlike organic pollutants, which may degrade under microbial or chemical action, heavy metals are non-degradable and persist in aquatic systems, making them more prone to accumulation (Briffa et al., 2020). Fish take up metals directly from contaminated water through their gills and skin, as well as indirectly by ingesting contaminated food and

sediments (Has-Schön et al., 2006). This leads to a progressive increase in metal concentrations in soft tissues such as gills, liver, and muscles, where they can disrupt normal physiological functions (Rahman et al., 2012). Several studies have reported that essential metals like copper and zinc can accumulate at toxic levels when present in high concentrations. In contrast, non-essential metals such as cadmium, mercury, and lead are harmful even at trace amounts.

The process of biomagnification further amplifies the threat posed by heavy metals. Biomagnification refers to the increasing concentration of metals as they move upward through successive trophic levels of the food chain. Predatory fish, which are higher in the food web, tend to accumulate higher metal burdens compared to herbivorous or omnivorous species (Luo et al., 2014). For instance, mercury, particularly in its methylated form, is well-documented for its strong biomagnification potential in aquatic food chains, reaching hazardous concentrations in top-level carnivorous fish (Grandjean et al., 2010). This poses significant health risks not only to aquatic organisms but also to humans who consume contaminated fish. The severity of accumulation depends on several factors, including fish age, size, habitat, feeding habits, and seasonal variations. Older and larger fish often display higher metal concentrations, making them less suitable for human consumption.

Studies across diverse aquatic ecosystems confirm that bioaccumulation patterns vary with environmental conditions and species-specific physiology. For example, benthic fish species exhibit higher cadmium and lead concentrations due to their close association with contaminated sediments, while pelagic species often accumulate mercury through plankton-based food webs. Similarly, higher accumulation of arsenic has been found in certain freshwater catfish compared to carps, highlighting interspecies differences in susceptibility (Ahmed et al., 2013). Importantly, metals stored in fish muscles, the primary edible portion, directly endanger food safety. Thus, bioaccumulation and biomagnification represent critical pathways through which aquatic contamination translates into ecological disruption and human health hazards. Monitoring these processes is therefore vital for effective risk assessment and management of aquatic ecosystems.

Toxic Effects on Fish Physiology

Heavy metals exert a wide range of toxic effects on fish, impairing vital physiological processes and compromising survival. Once absorbed, these metals interact with proteins, enzymes, and cellular membranes, disrupting normal metabolic pathways. Cadmium, for example, has been reported to interfere with calcium metabolism, impairing bone development and causing skeletal deformities in fish. Lead exposure, on the other hand, disrupts heme synthesis, leading to anaemia and reduced oxygen-carrying capacity. Arsenic and chromium are known to damage gill structures, impairing respiratory efficiency and ultimately reducing oxygen uptake. These alterations compromise the overall growth, fitness, and reproductive potential of fish populations.

Neurological toxicity is another significant consequence of heavy metal exposure. Mercury, particularly in its methylated form, accumulates in brain tissues, where it interferes with neurotransmission and synaptic activity, leading to altered swimming behaviour and reduced predator avoidance (Baatrup, 1991). Such behavioural impairments weaken the ecological roles of fish and reduce their chances of survival in natural environments. Similarly, nickel and copper, when present in high concentrations, disrupt ion regulation at the gill surface, affecting osmoregulatory balance and increasing susceptibility to environmental stressors. These neurophysiological and metabolic disruptions collectively compromise the ability of fish to adapt to environmental fluctuations, thereby threatening population stability.

At the cellular level, oxidative stress represents one of the most studied toxicological impacts of heavy metals. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated during exposure, overwhelming the antioxidant defence mechanisms of fish and causing damage to lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids (Lushchak, 2016). Prolonged oxidative damage can result in apoptosis, tissue necrosis, and impaired organ function, particularly in the liver and kidney, which serve as primary detoxification organs. Moreover, several studies have demonstrated that heavy metal exposure reduces reproductive capacity by impairing gonadal development, lowering gamete quality, and decreasing spawning success (Zhang et al., 2016). Some metals, including cadmium and mercury, are also classified as mutagenic, teratogenic, and carcinogenic, contributing to developmental abnormalities and tumour formation in exposed fish (Sfakianakis et al., 2015).

The cumulative effects of heavy metal toxicity thus extend beyond individual organisms, influencing population dynamics and community structures within aquatic ecosystems. Reduced survival rates, impaired reproduction, and altered behaviours contribute to declining fish diversity and abundance, thereby destabilising aquatic food webs. Since fish play crucial roles as both prey and predators, disruptions in their physiology can cascade throughout ecosystems, amplifying the ecological consequences of heavy metal contamination.

Mitigation and Adaptive Mechanisms

Fish, like other aquatic organisms, have evolved specific adaptive strategies to cope with heavy metal exposure. One of the most critical responses is the activation of antioxidant defence systems, which counteract the oxidative stress induced by toxic metals. Enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) are upregulated to neutralise reactive oxygen species (ROS) and protect cellular components from oxidative damage (Lushchak, 2016). Metallothioneins, a class of low-molecular-weight, cysteine-rich proteins, also play a crucial role in detoxification by binding with heavy metals like cadmium, mercury, and zinc, thereby reducing their bioavailability and toxicity (Wang et al., 2014). These physiological adjustments enable fish to survive under moderate contamination, although the protective mechanisms may be overwhelmed during prolonged or high-dose exposures.

In addition to intrinsic adaptive mechanisms, several mitigation strategies have been proposed to reduce heavy metal contamination in aquatic systems. Phytoremediation, which employs aquatic macrophytes and algae to absorb and accumulate metals, has shown promise in restoring contaminated environments. Constructed wetlands and sediment dredging are also employed to reduce the bioavailability of heavy metals in water bodies. From a management perspective, stricter enforcement of effluent discharge regulations, coupled with the adoption of cleaner industrial technologies, is necessary to curb pollution at its source (Briffa et al., 2020). Regular biomonitoring using fish as sentinel species has been widely recommended, as fish not only reflect the contamination status of aquatic environments but also provide early warning signs of ecological and public health risks (Authman et al., 2015).

Ultimately, effective mitigation requires an integrated approach that combines scientific monitoring, regulatory measures, and community awareness. The application of bioindicators, together with molecular biomarkers such as antioxidant enzyme activity, offers a reliable means of assessing both exposure and ecological impact. Moreover, sustainable fisheries management practices, along with pollution control policies, are essential to ensure that fish populations remain healthy and safe for human consumption. By reducing heavy metal inputs and strengthening ecological resilience, it is possible to safeguard aquatic biodiversity, protect food security, and promote long-term ecosystem sustainability.

Conclusion

Heavy metal pollution represents a persistent ecological and public health challenge due to its non-biodegradable nature, bioaccumulation in aquatic organisms, and toxic effects across trophic levels (Ali et al., 2019). Fish, being vital components of aquatic food webs, are highly vulnerable to metal toxicity, which manifests through oxidative stress, reproductive dysfunction, neurotoxicity, and organ damage (Lushchak, 2016). While trace metals like copper and zinc are essential for physiological processes, their elevated concentrations, along with highly toxic elements such as cadmium, lead, mercury, and arsenic, disrupt biological functions and compromise ecosystem health. Bioaccumulation of these contaminants in fish tissues not only affects fish survival but also leads to biomagnification, posing serious risks to human food safety (Grandjean et al., 2010). Although adaptive mechanisms such as antioxidant enzyme activity and metallothionein induction provide some resistance, prolonged exposure overwhelms these defences. Effective mitigation, therefore, requires stringent regulation of industrial discharges, adoption of clean technologies, and promotion of eco-friendly bioremediation strategies such as phytoremediation and constructed wetlands (Wuana & Okieimen, 2011). In addition, systematic biomonitoring using fish as sentinel species offers an early-warning tool to assess contamination levels and ecological risks (Authman et al., 2015). Ultimately, ensuring aquatic biodiversity conservation and sustainable food security demands an integrated approach that combines scientific research, environmental policy, and community awareness.

References

1. Ahmed, M. K., Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M., Parvin, E., Akter, M. S., & Khan, M. S. (2013). Arsenic induced toxicity and histopathological changes in gill and liver tissue of freshwater fish, tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). *Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology, 65*(6), 903–909. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etp.2012.11.004
2. Al-Attar, A. M. (2007). The influences of nickel exposure on selected physiological parameters and gill structure in the teleost fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Journal of Biological Sciences, 7*(1), 77–85. https://doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2007.77.85
3. Ali, H., Khan, E., & Ilahi, I. (2019). Environmental chemistry and ecotoxicology of hazardous heavy metals: Environmental persistence, toxicity, and bioaccumulation. *Journal of Chemistry, 2019,* Article 6730305. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6730305
4. Authman, M. M. N., Zaki, M. S., Khallaf, E. A., & Abbas, H. H. (2015). Use of fish as bio-indicator of the effects of heavy metals pollution. *Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development, 6*(4), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000328
5. Baatrup, E. (1991). Structural and functional effects of heavy metals on the nervous system, including sense organs, of fish. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Comparative Pharmacology, 100*(1–2), 253–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-8413(91)90143-W
6. Bakshi, A., & Panigrahi, A. K. (2018). A comprehensive review on chromium induced alterations in fresh water fishes. *Toxicology Reports, 5,* 440–447.

   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2018.03.007
7. Briffa, J., Sinagra, E., & Blundell, R. (2020). Heavy metal pollution in the environment and their toxicological effects on humans. *Heliyon, 6*(9), e04691.

   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04691
8. Gheorghe, S., Stoica, C., Vasile, G. G., Nita-Lazar, M., Stanescu, E., & Lucaciu, I. E. (2017). Metals toxic effects in aquatic ecosystems: Modulators of water quality. In *Water quality* (pp. 59–89). IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/65744
9. Grandjean, P., Satoh, H., Murata, K., & Eto, K. (2010). Adverse effects of methylmercury: Environmental health research implications. *Environmental Health Perspectives, 118*(8), 1137–1145. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901757
10. Has-Schön, E., Bogut, I., & Strelec, I. (2006). Heavy metal profile in five fish species included in human diet, domiciled in the end flow of river Neretva (Croatia). *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 50*(4), 545–551. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-005-0047-2
11. Järup, L. (2003). Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *British Medical Bulletin, 68*(1), 167–182. https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg032
12. Kumari, B., Kumar, V., Sinha, A. K., Ahsan, J., Ghosh, A. K., Wang, H., & DeBoeck, G. (2017). Toxicology of arsenic in fish and aquatic systems. *Environmental Chemistry Letters, 15*(1), 43–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-016-0588-9
13. Lee, J. W., Choi, H., Hwang, U. K., Kang, J. C., Kang, Y. J., Kim, K. I., & Kim, J. H. (2019). Toxic effects of lead exposure on bioaccumulation, oxidative stress, neurotoxicity, and immune responses in fish: A review. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 68,* 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2019.03.010
14. Luo, J., Ye, Y., Gao, Z., & Wang, W. (2014). Essential and nonessential elements in the red-crowned crane *Grus japonensis* of Zhalong Wetland, northeastern China. *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry, 96*(7), 1096–1105. https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2014.949246
15. Lushchak, V. I. (2016). Contaminant-induced oxidative stress in fish: A mechanistic approach. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry, 42*(2), 711–747. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-015-0171-5
16. McRae, N. K., Gaw, S., & Glover, C. N. (2016). Mechanisms of zinc toxicity in the galaxiid fish, *Galaxias maculatus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology, 179,* 184–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2015.09.003

17. Monteiro, S. M., dos Santos, N. M. S., Calejo, M., Fontainhas-Fernandes, A., & Sousa, M. (2009). Copper toxicity in gills of the teleost fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*: Effects in apoptosis induction and cell proliferation. *Aquatic Toxicology, 94*(3), 219–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.07.019

18. Rahman, M. S., Molla, A. H., Saha, N., & Rahman, A. (2012). Study on heavy metals levels and its risk assessment in some edible fishes from Bangshi River, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Food Chemistry, 134*(4), 1847–1854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.03.099

19. Sfakianakis, D. G., Renieri, E., Kentouri, M., & Tsatsakis, A. M. (2015). Effect of heavy metals on fish larvae deformities: A review. *Environmental Research, 137,* 246–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.12.014

20. Velma, V., Vutukuru, S. S., & Tchounwou, P. B. (2009). Ecotoxicology of hexavalent chromium in freshwater fish: A critical review. *Reviews on Environmental Health, 24*(2), 129–145. https://doi.org/10.1515/REVEH.2009.24.2.129

21. Wang, W. C., Mao, H., Ma, D. D., & Yang, W. X. (2014). Characteristics, functions, and applications of metallothionein in aquatic vertebrates. *Frontiers in Marine Science, 1,* Article 34. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2014.00034

22. Wang, Y., Fang, J., Leonard, S. S., & Rao, K. M. (2004). Cadmium inhibits the electron transfer chain and induces reactive oxygen species. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 36*(11), 1434–1443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.02.010

23. Wuana, R. A., & Okieimen, F. E. (2011). Heavy metals in contaminated soils: A review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. *ISRN Ecology, 2011,* Article 402647. https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/402647

24. Zhang, Q. F., Li, Y. W., Liu, Z. H., & Chen, Q. L. (2016). Reproductive toxicity of inorganic mercury exposure in adult zebrafish: Histological damage, oxidative stress, and alterations of sex hormone and gene expression in the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis. *Aquatic Toxicology, 177,* 417–424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.06.020.

❑❑❑