International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management &Social Science (IJARCMSS)
ISSN :2581-7930, Impact Factor : 6.809, Volume 05, No. 04(I), October-December, 2022,pp 267-270

GENDER INEQUALITIES EFFECTS ON SOCIO ECONOMIC OUTCOMES

Mrs. Pinki*

ABSTRACT

The existence of gender gaps is a barrier to economic growth and development. These differences have far-reaching effects on socio-economic outcomes, particularly with regard to incomes, participation in the labour market, and education. When women are prevented from attending school, the average quality of human capital is diminished. This is due to the fact that lower-cost female workers are replaced with higher-cost male counterparts, which results in an inefficient allocation of talents. As a result of gender inequalities in labour force participation, economies are unable to make full use of their human capital potential when women are not participating in the labour force. This results in lower levels of productivity. However, as a consequence of this, the opportunity cost of having children increases, which in turn leads to a decrease in fertility rates and a slowdown in economic growth. There is a bias towards spending more in the health and education of males and less in the girls when it comes to the distribution of resources within families, which is a result of gender inequality. The flip side of the coin is that boosting the standards of gender equality in the workplace and school may increase GDP by increasing family incomes, lowering birth rates, expanding human capital, and improving health outcomes for future generations. Changes in customary norms and the encouragement of investments in health, education, and savings are results that result from an increase in the number of women who work outside the house and an increase in their bargaining power within individual families. The elimination of the wage gap between men and women has been shown to have the potential to stimulate economic growth, particularly in the event that more women join the labour market. There is a possibility that the precise form of this gain may vary depending on the economic circumstances, and countries that are reliant on exports may find themselves in a position of disadvantage. Taking steps to reduce gender inequality is essential to achieving sustainable development, unlocking the full potential of an economy, and improving socioeconomic outcomes.

Keywords: *Gender Inequality, Female Labour Participation, Civil Service, Culture and Tradition, Economic Growth.*

Introduction

There has been a lot of focus in recent decades on how gender inequality affects economic development, especially when it comes to educational inequality. Hill and King (1995), Dollar and Gatti (1999), and Klasen (1999, 2002) are among the many studies that have shown how gender imbalance in education slows down economic development. Unfortunately, there is a lack of data that allows us to fully understand how gender disparity in the workplace affects development. Research on the topic is still inconclusive, with some studies finding that gender pay disparity in semi-industrialized, export-oriented nations may stimulate economic development (Seguino, 2000) and other studies finding the opposite (Schober and Winter-Ebmer, 2011).

Using metrics like the female labour force share and labour force participation gaps, Klasen and Lamanna (2009) measured gender disparity in employment in a larger perspective. In particular, they found that gender gaps in unemployment had a detrimental effect on economic development. The

* Assistant Professor, Department of Geography, Gaur Bharaman Degree College, Rohtak, Haryana, India.

intricate nature of the link between gender difference and economic performance is shown by these research, which imply that the impacts of gender inequality on growth are susceptible to changes in indicator choice and sample design.

Gender Inequality in Education

The average cost of skill investment rises and human capital quality falls as a result of gender imbalance in schooling. This is because women, who have lower intrinsic skill costs, are replaced by males, who have comparatively higher costs. The quality of human capital and economic development may be enhanced by improving gender equality in education (Klasen, 1999, 2002). Gender disparity manifests itself in the fact that, as compared to men's education, women's education has a greater marginal effect on growth as a result of declining returns. A greater rate of growth is expected as a result of more female education (Knowles, Lorgelly, and Owen, 2002).

Better infant health, lower fertility, and more human capital in subsequent generations are a few examples of the growth-inducing externalities that result from higher female educational attainment. Better maternal health and lower infant mortality rates are associated with higher rates of health input efficiency among educated moms. Consequently, this encourages investments in human capital and reduces the motivation for big families. According to Mamta Murthi (1995) and Drèze and Murthi (2001), female education lowers reproduction rates since it increases the efficiency of birth control and decreases the desired family size. According to Oded Galor (1996), this contributes to growth by raising labour force productivity, decreasing juvenile dependence ratios, and enhancing capital per worker.

Gender Inequality in Employment

The impact that gender equality in labour force participation has on economic development may differ from one country to another and from one statistic to another, depending on the circumstances. When women are not permitted to work, substitution of males who are less productive for women who are more productive results in a decrease in productivity. According to Esteve-Volart (2004), increasing the share of working women in the workforce is beneficial to both economic growth and productivity. Furthermore, it has the effect of lowering fertility rates by raising the opportunity cost of having children (Galor & Weil, 1996). This is in addition to the fact that it promotes development. Becker (1985) found that as family income increases as a result of more women working, there is a drop in the preference for male kids in terms of resource allocation. The reduction of gender disparities in family investment is accompanied with an increase in the incentives for educating females. When women have greater power in the house, they are less likely to have children, they save more money, they invest more money in health and education, and they make sure that girls are not excluded from family resources. This is according to Duflo (2012). Consequently, this makes a contribution to the growth of the economy.

On the topic of how reducing the gender pay gap might affect the growth of the GDP, there is little consensus. It is possible that the participation of more women in the workforce would be beneficial to economic growth (Galor & Weil, 1996); nevertheless, Blecker & Seguino (2002) suggest that export-oriented economies that rely on low-cost female labour could have negative consequences. A separate dataset was used by Schober and Winter-Ebmer (2011), and they discovered that there was no sign of a deleterious impact. This finding contradicts the findings of Seguino (2000), who believes that these economies might suffer if the wage gap is decreased. In response, Seguino (2011) raises questions about the methodologies that Schober and Winter-Ebmer used, therefore calling attention to the ongoing issue.

Gender Inequality in Political Participation

People are coming to the realisation that strengthening women politically may have a significant influence, especially on the achievement of gender parity in public policy. This is a conclusion that is gaining more and more support over time. According to research conducted by Besley and Case (2003), when there are more women in political office, there is an increase in the amount of money that is allocated to family-friendly programs such as child support and food stamps programmes. In addition, research conducted by Chattopadhyay and Duflo (2004) demonstrates that after village-level self-governments in India started reserving seats for women, the aspirations of women were better addressed by the expenditures made in infrastructure. Budgets for health and education are often bigger when there are a greater number of women in positions of authority. It was revealed by Rehavi (2008) that more female parliamentarians meant more money for healthcare in the United States. On the other hand, Svaleryd (2009) showed that more female lawmakers meant more money for schools and daycares in

Sweden. In addition, Clots-Figueras (2012) determines that there is a correlation between the presence of female legislators and improved educational outcomes, particularly in the urban areas of India.

Gender Inequality and Economic Growth

According to the findings of a number of research, there is a significant connection between gender equality and economic performance. According to Klasen and Lamanna (2009), the discrepancies between the sexes in terms of education and employment greatly hinder the growth of the economy in emerging nations. In a similar vein, reports from the World Bank (2012) suggest that reducing gender disparities in rates of labour force participation might result in significant increases in gross domestic product, particularly in countries with low and moderate incomes.

Gender Wage Gap and Labor Market Disparities

There is still a chronic problem all across the world with the gender wage gap. According to the findings of Blau and Kahn (2017), even after taking into account factors like as education, experience, and employment, women continue to earn a much lower amount than males. According to the findings of research conducted by Goldin (2014), variables such as occupational segregation and work-life balance are involved in the salary discrepancies that exist, which in turn restrict the economic empowerment of women.

Gender Inequality in Education and Human Capital Development

Despite the fact that education is a crucial component in the progression of socioeconomic conditions, gender inequities continue to exist in many locations. In certain developing countries, females suffer cultural and economic impediments to learning, which leads to lower literacy rates and restricted career prospects, according to studies conducted by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) in the year 2020. According to Psacharopoulos and Patrinos (2004), there is empirical data that demonstrates that investing in the education of women leads to increased economic output as well as improved society overall outcomes.

Health Disparities and Socio-Economic Implications

The economic and social well-being of a population is impacted by gender-based health disparities. As to the findings of Sen and Östlin (2008), women's economic engagement is greatly hindered by restricted access to reproductive health services, maternity healthcare, and gender-based violence. It is emphasised by the World Health Organisation (2019) that the improvement of gender-sensitive healthcare policy may contribute to increased productivity and economic stability.

Gender and Political Participation

The underrepresentation of women in leadership and policymaking roles affects economic and social policies. Studies by Dahlerup (2006) and Krook (2010) highlight that increasing women’s participation in governance leads to more inclusive policies that address social welfare, education, and healthcare. Countries with higher female political representation tend to have stronger economic institutions and lower corruption levels (Dollar, Fisman & Gatti, 2001).

Conclusion

The data demonstrates that there are still significant gender disparities in a wide variety of socioeconomic domains, particularly with regard to activities such as physically exercising, attending school, and maintaining a healthy lifestyle. Despite the best efforts of the government and constructive steps to promote gender equality, women in India continue to face challenges such as lower workforce participation, less educational resources, and higher unemployment rates when compared to males. These challenges are in contrast to situations in which males face similar challenges. The discrepancy in reading and writing rates, as well as the number of students who register in schools, is another sign of the difficulties that women confront in achieving equality of opportunity. We still have a long way to go before we can declare that women's health has improved—for instance, infant mortality rates have decreased—and that they have equal rights, resources, and opportunities to take an active part in driving economic development. This is because we still have a lot of work to do. If policymakers are serious about resolving these inequalities, they need to establish and improve programs that are focused on gender, promote awareness, and fight for structural changes that provide opportunities for women to fully participate in the socio-economic success of the country. Long-term benefits for society and women alike will result from providing women with access to education, equitable employment opportunities, and healthcare. These benefits will also contribute to the promotion of sustainable development and the reduction of inequalities.

References

1. Klasen, S. (1999). "Does Gender Inequality Reduce Economic Growth?" World Bank Policy Research Report, 1-20.
2. Klasen, S. (2002). "Low Schooling for Girls, Slow Economic Growth for All? Some Lessons from the Global Education Agenda." World Development, 30(2), 155-173.
3. Knowles, S., Lorgelly, P. K., & Owen, P. D. (2002). "Are Educational Gender Gaps a Break on Economic Development?" Oxford Economic Papers, 54(1), 118-149.
4. Grossman, M. (2000). "The Human Capital Model." In: Handbook of Health Economics, Volume 1, 347-408. Elsevier.
5. Rosenzweig, M. R., & Schultz, T. P. (1982). "Market Opportunities, Genetic Endowments, and the Intrafamily Allocation of Resources." American Economic Review, 72(5), 803-815.
6. Glewwe, P., & Miguel, E. (2008). "The Impact of Child Health and Nutrition on Education: A Review of the Literature." World Bank Research Observer, 23(1), 1-19.
7. Murthi, M., Guio, A.-C., & Drèze, J. (1995). "Mortality, Fertility, and Gender Bias in India." Population and Development Review, 21(4), 745-775.
8. Drèze, J., & Murthi, M. (2001). "Fertility, Education, and Development: Evidence from India." Population and Development Review, 27(1), 33-63.
9. Osili, U. O., & Long, B. T. (2008). "Does Female Education Reduce Fertility? Evidence from Nigeria." Journal of Development Economics, 87(1), 57-74.
10. Galor, O., & Weil, D. N. (1996). "The Gender Gap, Fertility, and Growth." American Economic Review, 86(3), 374-387.
11. Kelley, A. C., & Schmidt, R. M. (2001). "Economic and Demographic Change: A Synthesis of Recent Research." Journal of Economic Literature, 39(4), 1996-2019.
12. Becker, G. S., Murphy, K. M., & Tamura, R. F. (1994). "Human Capital, Fertility, and Economic Growth." Journal of Political Economy, 98(5), S12-S37.
13. Esteve-Volart, B. (2004). "Gender and the Labor Market: The Role of Gender Gaps in Employment and Wages." World Development, 32(7), 1161-1181.
14. Galor, O., & Weil, D. N. (1996). "The Gender Gap, Fertility, and Growth." American Economic Review, 86(3), 374-387.
15. Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). The gender wage gap: Extent, trends, and explanations. *Journal of Economic Literature, 55*(3), 789-865.
16. Dahlerup, D. (2006). *Women, quotas and politics*. Routledge.
17. Dollar, D., Fisman, R., & Gatti, R. (2001). Are women really the “fairer” sex? Corruption and women in government. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 46*(4), 423-429.
18. Goldin, C. (2014). A grand gender convergence: Its last chapter. *American Economic Review, 104*(4), 1091-1119.
19. Klasen, S., & Lamanna, F. (2009). The impact of gender inequality in education and employment on economic growth: New evidence for a panel of countries. *Feminist Economics, 15*(3), 91-132.
20. Krook, M. L. (2010). *Quotas for women in politics: Gender and candidate selection reform worldwide*. Oxford University Press.
21. Psacharopoulos, G., & Patrinos, H. A. (2004). Returns to investment in education: A further update. *Education Economics, 12*(2), 111-134.
22. Sen, G., & Östlin, P. (2008). Gender inequity in health: Why it exists and how we can change it. *Global Public Health, 3*(S1), 1-12.
23. UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report 2020: Gender report – A new generation: 25 years of efforts for gender equality in education*. UNESCO Publishing.
24. World Bank. (2012). *World development report 2012: Gender equality and development*. World Bank.
25. World Health Organization. (2019). *Delivered by women, led by men: A gender and equity analysis of the global health and social workforce*. WHO.