

A Review of the Benefits and Costs of Participation in Global Value Chains

Arushi Malhotra*

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Commerce, Delhi School of Economics, University of Delhi, Delhi, India.

*Corresponding Author: arushi152@gmail.com

Citation: Malhotra, A. (2025). A Review of the Benefits and Costs of Participation in Global Value Chains. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science*, 08(03(I)), 185–190. [https://doi.org/10.62823/ijarcms/8.3\(i\).8016](https://doi.org/10.62823/ijarcms/8.3(i).8016)

ABSTRACT

Participation in global value chains (GVCs) has become a defining feature of international trade, offering both opportunities and challenges for economies at different stages of development. On the benefits side, GVC integration enables countries to access larger markets, acquire advanced technologies, and specialize in specific production stages where they hold a comparative advantage. This specialization can drive productivity growth, foster industrial upgrading, and create new employment opportunities, particularly in export-oriented sectors. However, participation also entails significant costs. Heavy dependence on foreign inputs can expose economies to external shocks, supply chain disruptions, and volatile demand in global markets. Moreover, gains distribution is often uneven: low-income countries may remain locked into low-value segments, face limited spillover effects, or face downward pressure on labor and environmental standards. Policymakers must therefore weigh short-term efficiency gains against long-term developmental risks. Overall, the costs and benefits of GVC participation are highly context-dependent, shaped by domestic capabilities, institutional quality, and strategies for upgrading within the chain.

Keywords: Global Value Chains, Trade Integration, Economic Development, Industrial Upgrading, Supply Chain Disruptions, Labor Standards, Technology Transfer, International Trade Policy.

Introduction

Global value chains (GVCs) are intricate systems that organize international business operations by distributing production processes across different countries, involving multiple governance modes within a single structure. These chains are pivotal in the modern global economy, particularly in manufacturing and service sectors, and are driven by multinational enterprises (MNEs) that orchestrate complex networks of subsidiaries, partners, and suppliers. The liberalization of trade, technological advancements, and strategic partnerships has facilitated the rise of GVCs, enabling firms to optimize production by leveraging geographic and economic advantages worldwide. The following sections delve into the key aspects of GVCs.

Structure and Function

- GVCs involve the division of production processes across various countries, with different companies handling specific tasks, from production to consumption (Global Green Supply Chain, 2023).
- MNEs act as lead firms, managing these dispersed operations through internal arrangements or outsourcing, forming what are also known as global commodity chains or global production networks (Kano & Assche, 2024).

Participation in global value chains (GVCs) involves several interrelated components influencing a country's economic integration and development outcomes. Understanding these components is crucial for policymakers aiming to enhance GVC participation and its benefits. The following sections outline the key determinants of GVC participation.

Objectives of the Study

- To identify the determinants of global value chains participation.
- To analyse the benefits associated with global value chains participation.
- To examine the costs of Participation in global value chains.

Determinants of GVC Participation

- **Factor Endowments:** Countries with abundant resources or skilled labor tend to participate more effectively in GVCs (Kee et al., 2021).
- **Geography:** Proximity to major markets and trade routes enhances participation opportunities (Kee et al., 2021). (Smichowski et al., 2021)
- **Political Stability:** A stable political environment fosters investor confidence, facilitating GVC integration (Kee et al., 2021).
- **Liberal Trade Policies:** Open trade policies encourage foreign direct investment (FDI), which is critical for GVC participation (Kee et al., 2021; Fernandes et al., 2020).
- **Domestic Industrial Capacity:** A robust industrial base is essential for countries to engage in GVCs effectively (Kee et al., 2021).

Institutional Quality

- **Complementarity of Institutional Components:** The quality of domestic institutions significantly impacts GVC participation. A balanced institutional framework enhances economic growth linked to GVCs (Sharma & Arora, 2023).
- **Addressing Institutional Imbalance:** Weakness in one institutional component can hinder overall GVC benefits, necessitating targeted reforms (Sharma & Arora, 2023).

Economic Factors

- **Total Factor Productivity:** Higher productivity levels correlate positively with GVC participation, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa (Gueyea et al., 2024).
- **Exchange Rates and Terms of Trade:** Fluctuations in currency values and trade terms can significantly affect GVC engagement (Gueyea et al., 2024).

While GVC participation is often viewed as a pathway to development, it is essential to recognize that not all countries benefit equally. Factors such as institutional quality and economic conditions can lead to varied outcomes, suggesting that participation alone does not guarantee development success.

Global Value Chains (GVCs) have emerged as a critical framework for understanding the global economy, offering developing countries opportunities to integrate into international production networks. By participating in GVCs, developing countries can enhance their economic and social development through various mechanisms. This section explores the economic and social benefits of GVC participation, supported by evidence from relevant research papers.

Economic Benefits of GVC Participation

• Productivity Growth and Technological Transfer

Participation in GVCs allows developing countries to access advanced technologies and knowledge, leading to productivity growth. Studies have shown that backward and forward GVC participation increases productivity, particularly in manufacturing sectors (Urata & Baek, 2020). For instance, backward participation, which involves importing intermediate goods, is especially beneficial for developing countries as it allows them to access advanced technologies and improve their production processes (Ndubuisi & Owusu, 2020).

- **Job Creation and Employment Opportunities**

GVC participation has been linked to significant job creation in developing countries. Research on Asian economies demonstrates that participation in GVCs has led to rapid expansions in production activities and the creation of millions of jobs, particularly in labor-intensive industries such as textiles and electronics (Gentile & Vries, 2024). Additionally, firms involved in GVCs tend to employ more workers and pay higher wages than firms operating solely in domestic markets (Shepherd & Stone, 2013).

- **Export Upgrading and Economic Growth**

GVC participation facilitates producing and exporting higher-quality, more complex products, critical economic growth, and development pathways. By integrating into GVCs, developing countries can access international markets and specialize in specific stages of production, leading to export upgrading and economic growth (Ndubuisi & Owusu, 2020).

- **Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Infrastructure Development**

GVC participation attracts Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), bringing capital, technology, and infrastructure development. Multinational corporations (MNCs) involved in GVCs invest in host countries, improve local infrastructure, and transfer technologies, laying the groundwork for long-term economic growth and structural change (Mytsenko, 2023; Qiang et al., 2021).

Social Benefits of GVC Participation

- **Inclusive Growth and Poverty Reduction**

GVC participation can contribute to inclusive growth by creating employment opportunities and increasing income levels for a broader population segment. For example, in Sub-Saharan Africa, GVC participation has been shown to drive inclusive growth through employment creation, particularly in the manufacturing and services sectors (Global Value Chain Participation and Inclusive Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa, 2022).

- **Skill Development and Human Capital Enhancement**

Engagement in GVCs often leads to the development of skills and human capital in developing countries. As firms participate in GVCs, they must adopt new technologies and processes requiring a more skilled workforce. This leads to investments in education and training, enhancing the overall quality of the labor force (Mytsenko, 2023; Qiang et al., 2021).

- **Women's Empowerment and Gender Equality**

GVC participation has provided greater opportunities for women to enter the formal labor market. Research indicates that firms with international linkages tend to employ more female workers, contributing to gender equality and women's empowerment in developing countries (Shepherd & Stone, 2013).

- **Social Upgrading and Improved Working Conditions**

While the social benefits of GVC participation are not always uniform, successful integration into GVCs can lead to social upgrading, including improved working conditions and higher wages. However, this outcome is contingent upon implementing policies that ensure equitable distribution of gains and the protection of workers' rights (Bernhardt & Pollak, 2015; Acquaye et al., 2023).

Costs, Challenges, and Limitations of GVC Participation

- **Unequal Distribution of Gains**

Despite the potential benefits, the gains from GVC participation are not always evenly distributed. Developing countries often face challenges in capturing a larger share of value added within GVCs, leading to limited economic upgrading. This is particularly true for countries specializing in low-value-added activities such as assembly work (Bernhardt & Pollak, 2015; Acquaye et al., 2023).

- **Dependence on Low-Skilled Labor**

Many developing countries participate in GVCs primarily as providers of low-skilled labor, which limits their ability to move up the value chain. This can result in a dependence on low-wage, labor-intensive activities, which may not lead to significant economic or social upgrading (Mytsenko, 2023; Dühnaupt et al., 2020).

- **Vulnerability to Global Shocks**

Developing countries that rely heavily on GVCs are often vulnerable to global economic shocks, such as trade disruptions or economic downturns in developed countries. This vulnerability underscores the need for diversification and resilience-building strategies (Qiang et al., 2021; Pahl, 2020).

Economic Vulnerabilities

- **Job Stability:** Economic gains from GVC participation do not guarantee stable employment or quality jobs, particularly in developing countries (Luo & Gereffi, 2019).
- **Competitiveness Dependency:** The benefits derived from GVCs are contingent on the competitiveness of firms and workers, which can vary widely (Luo & Gereffi, 2019).

Governance and Power Dynamics

- **Complex Governance Structures:** Engaging in GVCs requires navigating intricate governance frameworks, which can be challenging for countries with less experience (Dutta, 2024).
- **Power Imbalances:** Firms may face power dynamics that disadvantage them, particularly in negotiations with larger multinational corporations (Dutta, 2024).

Infrastructure and Investment Challenges

- **Need for Infrastructure Development:** Effective GVC participation necessitates high-quality infrastructure, which may be lacking in some regions, hindering integration efforts (Dutta, 2024).
- **Attracting Foreign Investment:** Establishing a favourable environment for foreign assets is essential, but can be challenging due to existing economic conditions (Dutta, 2024).

Policy Implications and Recommendations

- **Strengthening Domestic Capabilities**

To maximize the benefits of GVC participation, developing countries need to strengthen their domestic capabilities, including infrastructure, institutions, and human capital. Education, technology, and infrastructure investments are critical for moving up the value chain and capturing a larger share of value added (Mytsenko, 2023; Quang, 2023).

- **Promoting Backward Linkages**

Policies promoting backward linkages, such as using imported inputs to produce exports, can enhance the benefits of GVC participation. This approach allows developing countries to access advanced technologies and improve their production processes, leading to higher levels of domestic value added (Veeramani & Dhir, 2022; Urata & Baek, 2022).

- **Encouraging Social Upgrading**

Policymakers should prioritize social upgrading by implementing measures that ensure fair wages, improved working conditions, and the protection of workers' rights. This can be achieved by enforcing labor standards and promoting inclusive growth (Bernhardt & Pollak, 2015; Acquaye et al., 2023).

- **Addressing Structural Imbalances**

To fully benefit from GVC participation, developing countries must address structural imbalances, such as dependence on primary industries and low-value-added activities. This requires policies that promote diversification, industrialization, and the development of knowledge-intensive industries (Kromtit, 2024; Acquaye et al., 2023).

Conclusion

Participation in GVCs offers significant economic and social benefits for developing countries, including productivity growth, job creation, export upgrading, and inclusive growth. However, realizing these benefits depends on the ability of developing countries to address challenges such as unequal distribution of gains, dependence on low-skilled labor, and vulnerability to global shocks. By strengthening domestic capabilities, promoting backward linkages, and prioritizing social upgrading, developing countries can maximize the benefits of GVC participation and achieve sustainable development.

References

1. Acquaye, A. A., Yamoah, F. A., Ibn-Mohammed, T., Quaye, E., & Yawson, D. E. (2023). Equitable Global Value Chain and Production Network as a Driver for Enhanced Sustainability in Developing Economies. *Sustainability*, 15(19), 14550. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151914550>
2. Bernhardt, T., & Pollak, R. (2015). Economic and Social Upgrading Dynamics in Global Manufacturing Value Chains: A Comparative Analysis. *FIW Working Paper series*, 150, FIW. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/wsr/wpaper/y2015i150.html>
3. Dühaupt, P., Herr, H., Mehl, F., & Teipen, C. (2020). Opportunities for development through integration in global value chains? A cross-sectoral and cross-national comparison. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/216823>
4. Dutta, S. (2024). Review of Strategies and Policies for Participation in Global Value Chains. *Cambridge Open Engage*. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2024-sftkv>
5. Fernandes, A. M., Kee, H. L., & Winkler, D. (2020). Determinants of Global Value Chain Participation : Cross-Country Evidence. *Policy Research Working Paper; No. 9197*, World Bank, Washington, DC. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-9197>
6. Gentile, E., & de Vries, G. J. (2024). Income and Jobs from Global Value Chain Participation by Developing Asian Economies. *Asian Development Review*, 39(2), 117-142. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0116110525500040>
7. *Global Green Supply Chain* (pp. 407–471). (2023). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-9470-8_8
8. *Global Value Chain Participation and Inclusive Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa* (pp. 815–840). (2022). Springer eBooks. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75556-0_32
9. Gueyea, A., Diopb, A., & Ndiayec, M. (2024). The Quest for Participating in the Global Value Chain in Sub-Saharan Africa: An Analysis of Determining Factors Using a Spatial Panel Model. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 12(3), 58–66. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jfa.20241203.11>
10. Kano, L., & Van Assche, A. (2024). *Global value chains* (pp. 153–156). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800884045.ch41>
11. Kee, H. L., Fernandes, A. M., & Winkler, D. (2021). Determinants of Global Value Chain Participation: Cross-Country Evidence. *World Bank Economic Review*, 36(2). <https://doi.org/10.1596/40903>
12. Kromtit, M. J. (2024). Global Value Chains, Trade and Structural Transformation in Developing Countries. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 16(10). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v16n10p1>
13. Luo, X., & Gereffi, G. (2019). *Participation in Global Value Chains*. Oxford Handbooks. <https://doi.org/10.1093/OXFORDHB/9780198793847.013.12>
14. Mytsenko, V. (2023). The role of global value chains for the economic development of countries. *Collection of Scientific Papers "Scientific Notes"*, 32 (3), 79-89. http://doi.org/10.33111/vz_kneu.32.23.03.07.052.058
15. Ndubuisi, G., & Owusu, S. (2020). How important is GVC participation to export upgrading? *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/unm/unumer/2020026.html>
16. Pahl, S. (2020). *Global Value Chains and Economic Development*. <https://doi.org/10.33612/DISS.121326589>
17. Qiang, C. Z., Liu, Y., & Steenberg, V. (2021). *An Investment Perspective on Global Value Chains*. World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/35526>
18. Quang, N. V. (2023). *Some solutions to promote Vietnam's participation in global value chains*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.59266/houjs.2023.284>
19. Sharma, S., & Arora, R. (2023). Institutional imbalance moderating the linkage between GVC participation and economic growth: empirical evidence. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-12-2022-0271>

20. Shepherd, B., & Stone, S. (2013). Global Production Networks and Employment: A Developing Country Perspective. *OECD Trade Policy Papers, No.154*, OECD Publishing. <https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:oec:traaab:154-en>
21. Smichowski, B., Durand, C., & Knauss, S. (2021). Participation in global value chains and varieties of development patterns. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 45(2), 271–294. <https://doi.org/10.1093/CJE/BEAA046>
22. Urata, S., & Baek, Y. (2020). Does participation in global value chains increase productivity? An analysis of trade in value-added data. *Thailand & World Economy*, 38(1), 1–28. <https://waseda.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/does-participation-in-global-value-chains-increase-productivity-a>
23. Urata, S., & Baek, Y. (2022). Impacts of a firm's GVC participation on productivity: A case of Japanese firms. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 66, 101232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjie.2022.101232>
24. Veeramani, C., & Dhir, G. (2022). Do developing countries gain by participating in global value chains? Evidence from India. *Review of World Economics*, 158(4), 1011–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10290-021-00452-z>.

