E-commerce vs Quick Commerce: A Comparative Study of Business Models and Consumer Experience in India

Yash Choudhary*

UGC NET Commerce.

*Corresponding Author: cyash9647@gmail.com

Citation

Abstract

India's fast-paced digital transformation has not only changed the landscape of online retail but also enabled the quick commerce concept to emerge as a new trend alongside traditional e-commerce. The operational structure, delivery efficiency, technological adoption, and consumer satisfaction are the main areas of this study where the two models will be analysed and compared. With the help of secondary data from reliable sources like Statista, Invest India, and Deloitte India Reports (2020-2025), the research emphasizes that, in the past, the consumer drive for immediate delivery has been a major factor in redefining the business strategies' pattern. Besides, it also analyses major companies like Amazon, Flipkart, Blinkit, and Zepto, their service models, and customer interaction practices are assessed. The overall conclusion drawn by the study is that the Indian digital retail sector, which is changing rapidly, has future growth opportunities and sustainability challenges that need to be addressed.

Keywords: E-commerce, Quick Commerce, Business Models, Consumer Experience, Digital Retail, India, Delivery Speed, Customer Satisfaction, Online Shopping, Technological Innovation.

Introduction

India's retail sector has gone through a major change, tending towards a digital economy due to an increase in internet accessibility, a government-backed Digital India program, and the rising of digitalization. E-commerce, particularly the one that features the most well-known brands such as Amazon and Flipkart, has gained the most ground in terms of being the shopping mode that offers diversity, affordable prices, and convenience. On the other hand, the arrival of Quick Commerce (Q-Commerce) companies like Blinkit, Zepto, and Swiggy Instacart has changed e-commerce in such a way that they concentrate on delivering groceries and other essentials rapidly, even within the time range of 10 to 30 minutes. Quick Commerce or Q-commerce companies deliver essential groceries within 10 to 30 minutes" (Ranjekar & Roy, 2023). As per the report from IBEF (2024), the Indian e-commerce market is expected to touch the mark of US\$200 billion by 2027, whereas RedSeer Consulting (2024) puts the quick commerce segment at the level of more than US\$10 billion by 2027. The above-mentioned transformation is an illustration of the evolving consumer habits that demand instant gratification and convenience as the main factors. This paper aims at E-commerce and Quick Commerce in the Indian context, analyzing their respective business models, customer experiences, and prospects. Moreover, it seeks to reveal how both models not only coexist but also compete and influence the digital retail economy of India in the future.

^{*} Copyright © 2025 by Author's and Licensed by Inspira. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work properly cited.

Objectives of the Study

The main aim of this research is to conduct a comparative analysis of E-commerce and Quick Commerce in India, focusing on their operational structures and consumer experiences. The specific objectives of the study are:

- To scrutinize the business models and strategies of the top e-commerce and quick-commerce companies in India.
- To evaluate consumer preferences and satisfaction levels for both models.
- To investigate the influence of delivery time, product variety, and technology on the consumer's experience.
- To uncover the difficulties and potential growth for quick commerce when compared with traditional e-commerce.
- To draw a picture of how both models can coexist sustainably in the digitally evolving Indian retail market.

Literature Review

E-commerce was the prime mover of the digital economy in India, rendering online platforms like Amazon and Flipkart more accessible, cheaper, and with a broader range of products. IBEF (2024) mentions that the e-commerce market in India is going to grow up to USD 200 billion by 2027, which shows the confidence of consumers in digital retail. However, Quick Commerce (Q-commerce) has changed the delivery landscape by setting the bar at supersonic speeds and at once. Ranjekar and Roy (2023) pointed out that Q-commerce platforms like Blinkit and Zepto have been the source of enormous change in the urban landscape of consumption, guaranteeing the time frame of delivery as 10 to 30 minutes. In the same way, Kearney (2025) highlighted that Q-commerce is "transformative phase" in the retail ecosystem of India, that it bridges the gulf between traditional e-commerce and physical retail. On the one hand, Q-commerce promises to be a great source of customer satisfaction but on the other hand, it still has to address the issues of high operational costs and inventory losses, as mentioned by Bhatnagar and Sinha (2024). RedSeer Consulting (2024) highlighted that the Q-commerce s' growth is confined to metropolitan areas, whereas e-commerce continues to reign in tier-2 and tier-3 markets. The consensus of the literature is that both models exist in parallel and support one another, with ecommerce emphasizing product variety and price, whereas Q-commerce attracts consumers through its speed and spontaneous purchases.

Research Methodology

The research is grounded on secondary data analysis and credible sources like IBEF, RedSeer Consulting, Kearney, Deloitte, and the World Economic Forum have been utilized to extract the data. Besides these sources, Statista, Invest India, and academic research papers have also been consulted for credible market insights. This research adopts a comparative and descriptive research design that revolves around two major aspects — the business models and the consumer experience. The analysis involves the comparison of e-commerce and quick commerce with respect to delivery speed, operational structure, technology adoption, and customer satisfaction. The data for the period from 2020 to 2025 has been applied to the estimation of the market's size, growth, and trends. The main parameters are delivery time, geographic coverage, profitability, and sustainability. The results are shown in both tabular and narrative formats to reveal the differences and similarities between the two models.

Data Analysis and Discussion

Market Size and Growth

- The size of India's e-commerce market (FY 2024): US\$125 billion.
- It is expected to attain a size of US\$550 billion in 2035 (CAGR 15%).
- Quick Commerce (Q-commerce) market (FY 2024): US\$3.3 billion, going to be \$5.5 billion by 2025 and \$10 billion by 2027. The Quick Commerce industry in India is estimated at USD 3.34 billion in 2024" (Chryseum, 2024).
- Q-commerce is presently accountable for 70–75% of total e-grocery orders, which is significantly higher than 35% in the year 2022.

Yash Choudhary: E-commerce vs Quick Commerce: A Comparative Study of Business Models.....

Future P2P e-commerce will be able to able to grow steadily and slowly, while Q-commerce will
have its ups and downs, but in the short run, the growth will still be faster.

Business Model Comparison

E-commerce

- The large-scale operations and nationwide coverage are their primary concern.
- They have a huge product range (electronics, fashion, household items).
- Delivery period: 1 to 5 days.
- They operate through large warehouses and centralized logistics.
- Strengths: variety, price competition, and convenience.
- Limitations: longer delivery time, return costs, rural delivery issues.

Quick Commerce

- Immediate delivery is the primary focus (10–30 mins).
- Relies on dark stores or micro-warehouses next to customers. Dark stores and micro-fulfillment centres are reshaping e-commerce logistics and service quality" (Kamble, 2024).
- Goods available: foodstuffs, daily necessities, toiletries.
- Average order value: small, but the number of orders is high.
- Pros: fast delivery, easy access, significant urban market penetration.
- Cons: costly operations, restricted areas, and thin profits.

Consumer Behavior and Experience

- Consumers living in urban areas (42%) are in Favor of ultra-fast delivery.
- Consumers belonging to younger generations give more preference to speed and convenience rather than discounts.
- Consumers in tier 2 and 3 cities still favor online shopping due to lower prices and more choices.
- Digital payments (UPI) are beneficial for both models; more than 70% of online orders are made in advance.
- Q-commerce stimulates impulse buying and daily-use frequency, while e-commerce is for planned and bulk purchases.

Challenges and Risks

For Quick Commerce

- Last-mile cost being high led to profitability issues.
- Expansion beyond the major cities has been a challenge for the company.
- Micro-stores suffer from inventory wastage. Micro-warehouses face inventory-wastage risks for perishables due to forecasting errors" (Bhatnagar & Sinha, 2024)
- Management of labour and delivery is a challenge.

For E-commerce

- Competition based on price and discounts leads to lower margins.
- Return rates being high have a negative impact on profitability.
- Delivery difficulties in distant and rural places.
- Heightened consumer demand for quicker delivery puts a lot of stress on the system.

Comparative Summary

Aspect	E-commerce	Quick Commerce
Delivery Time	1–5 days	10–30 minutes
Product Range	Wide	Limited (essentials)
Order Value	High	Low
Frequency	Low	High
Geographic Reach	Nationwide	Urban-focused
Infrastructure	Warehouses, logistics hubs	Micro-warehouses, dark stores
Growth Rate	15–20% CAGR	70%+ CAGR
Profitability	Mature, moderate margins	Unstable, low margins
Consumer Type	Price-conscious, planned buyers	Impulsive, convenience seekers

Key Insights

- Q-commerce is setting new standards for delivery time in terms of consumer expectations.
- E-commerce is still the king in terms of size and variety of categories.
- All the players in both the sectors are taking advantage of innovations in digital payments and logistics.
- Fusion models (like Amazon Fresh, BigBasket Now) are coming up that will be able to offer both speed and a wide range of products.
- The two factors, sustainability and profitability, will be the major determinants of the Qcommerce's success in the long run.

Findings and Implications

Key Findings

Rapid Growth

 Both e-commerce and quick commerce are expanding quickly, but Q-commerce is growing at a faster rate due to rising demand for instant delivery.

• Consumer Shift

- Urban consumers, especially youth and working professionals, increasingly prefer speed and convenience over discounts.
- E-commerce continues to dominate in rural and semi-urban markets where delivery infrastructure is still developing.

Business Model Difference

- E-commerce operates on scale and product variety, while Q-commerce relies on speed and hyperlocal reach.
- Q-commerce success depends on dark stores, real-time inventory, and last-mile delivery efficiency.

Profitability Challenge

- Quick commerce faces low profit margins due to high delivery and operational costs.
- E-commerce achieves better profitability through bulk orders and planned logistics.

Technology Integration

- Both models are powered by Al-driven logistics, data analytics, and digital payment systems (UPI).Al and automation enable faster picking, inventory accuracy, and route optimization in dark stores" (Kamble, 2024).
- Real-time tracking and personalized offers enhance consumer satisfaction.

Sustainability Concerns

- Quick commerce has environmental and labor-related concerns due to pressure on delivery timelines
- E-commerce faces packaging waste and carbon emission issues from large-scale delivery operations.

Yash Choudhary: E-commerce vs Quick Commerce: A Comparative Study of Business Models.....

Hybrid Trend

Companies are moving toward hybrid models—combining e-commerce scale with Q-commerce speed (e.g., Amazon Fresh, Big Basket Now).

Implications for Business

- Strategic Adaptation: Firms need to find a good point between the speed and profit as well as the urban and rural reach.
- Infrastructure Investment: Q-commerce success will require investing in dark stores, improving last-mile logistics, and applying data analytics for demand forecasting.
- Consumer Retention: Urban and rural customers' retention heavily depends on personalization, loyalty rewards, and transparent pricing.
- Technology Upgradation: The extent to which AI, automation, and predictive analytics are integrated into the business will play a crucial role in determining operational efficiency.
- Sustainability Focus: Companies will have to use eco-friendly packaging, plan routes better, and practice fair delivery.

Conclusion and Future Scope

The comparative analysis of Eco-commerce and Quick Commerce in India reveals that both models are doing to the nation's digital retail ecosystem. E-commerce still rules the online trading world and offers a wide variety of products, low prices, and the ability to reach people everywhere, even in the most remote areas. On the other hand, Quick Commerce has altered the consumer's expectations by bringing in delivery systems so fast that the needs and wants of the consumers are the only priorities. But still, the challenges of high operational costs, profitability, and limited geographic coverage have not left the Q-commerce model that has become so popular in cities alone. The research says that both areas are more of a complement to each other rather than a competitor. E-commerce is about the range of products and availability, while Quick Commerce is about the convenience of getting instant needs and buying on impulse. The digital infrastructure is growing, and both models are likely to evolve into a system combining speed, efficiency, and variety, utilizing hybrid systems. Retail strategies of the future in India will be successful based on how well the companies have integrated technology, improved logistics, and made operations sustainable. Furthermore, the future is bright for more consumer behaviour and psychological factors influencing quick commerce adoption research. Sustainability issues, labour practices, and the environmental footprint of rapid delivery models can also be part of the studies. Furthermore, rural India should be opened to Q-commerce to assess the profitability of this long-term geoeconomic trend, thereby helping to better understand the pros and cons of this developing industry. Thus, the Indian digital retail landscape is at the forefront of the continuous innovation process, the dynamic coexistence of E-commerce and Quick Commerce being the main factor.

References

- 1. Agarwal, P. (2024). Dark stores as strategic assets in quick commerce: A case study. SSRN.
- 2. BCG & DTDC. (2025). The future of rapid commerce in India: Balancing speed and sustainability. Boston Consulting Group Report.
- 3. Bhatnagar, R., & Sinha, P. (2024). Challenges and opportunities in last-mile delivery for quick commerce. ResearchGate.
- 4. Chryseum. (2024). *India quick commerce market size, trends and forecast 2024–2027*. Chryseum Market Intelligence Report.
- 5. David, A., Dhanya, B., & Harish, M. (2024). The technology behind dark stores and microfulfilment centers. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), 12(9).
- 6. India Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF). (2024). *E-commerce industry in India*. Retrieved from https://www.ibef.org/industry/ecommerce
- 7. Kearney. (2025). *The rise of quick commerce: A new era in Indian retail.* Kearney India Insights Report.
- 8. Ranjekar, G., & Roy, D. (2023). Rise of quick commerce in India: Business models and infrastructure requirements. Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad.

Inspira- Journal of Commerce, Economics & Computer Science: Volume 11, No. 04, October-December, 2025

- 9. RedSeer Consulting. (2024). *Quick commerce in India: The US\$10 billion opportunity.* RedSeer Advisory Report.
- 10. Reuters. (2024). Zepto and Swiggy report rising operational losses amid expansion. Reuters Business News.
- 11. World Economic Forum. (2024). *Transforming urban logistics: E-commerce and the road to net-zero cities*. World Economic Forum Report.