

A Comparative Study of Rural Tourism and Hospitality Models: Kalaburagi District vs Neighboring Districts, and Hampi, of Karnataka

Parikshit^{1*} | Dr. Ravi Kant Modi²

¹Research Scholar, Hospitality & Tourism Management, Nirwan University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

²Research Guide Professor & Dean, School of Commerce & Management Nirwan University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

*Corresponding Author: parikshitnandagaon@gmail.com

Citation: Parikshit, & Modi, R. (2026). A Comparative Study of Rural Tourism and Hospitality Models: Kalaburagi District vs Neighboring Districts, and Hampi, of Karnataka. International Journal of Innovations & Research Analysis, 06(01(I)), 47–54. [https://doi.org/10.62823/IJIRA/6.1\(I\).8571](https://doi.org/10.62823/IJIRA/6.1(I).8571)

ABSTRACT

Rural tourism and hospitality have emerged as vital instruments for sustainable rural development, employment generation, and cultural preservation. Karnataka has actively promoted rural tourism through policy interventions, yet its development varies significantly across districts. This article presents a comparative study of rural tourism and hospitality models in Kalaburagi district and neighboring districts such as Ballari (Hampi region), Bidar, and Raichur. The study analyses tourism resources, hospitality infrastructure, community participation, government support, and challenges. The findings reveal that while Kalaburagi possesses rich rural and cultural resources, it lags behind neighboring districts in terms of organized hospitality models and tourism integration, whereas Hampi represents a mature and globally visible model integrating heritage tourism with community-based rural hospitality. The article concludes with recommendations to strengthen rural tourism and hospitality development in Kalaburagi by adopting best practices from surrounding regions.

Keywords: Rural Tourism, Rural Hospitality, Kalaburagi, Hampi, Community-Based Tourism, Sustainable Tourism.

Introduction

Rural tourism refers to tourism activities that take place in non-urban areas and focus on rural life, traditions, culture, heritage, and natural landscapes. Hospitality plays a crucial role in rural tourism by shaping visitor experiences through accommodation, food services, local interaction, and cultural exchange.

In Karnataka, rural tourism has been identified as a strategic sector to promote inclusive growth, especially in backward and agrarian regions. However, rural tourism and hospitality models differ widely across districts due to variations in infrastructure, awareness, policy implementation, and community involvement. This article compares Kalaburagi, a district with emerging tourism potential, with neighboring districts that have more developed rural tourism and hospitality systems.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are:

- To examine rural tourism and hospitality resources in Kalaburagi district.
- To analyze rural tourism and hospitality models in neighboring districts.
- To compare community participation and hospitality practices.
- To identify challenges affecting rural tourism development in Kalaburagi.
- To suggest strategies for strengthening rural tourism and hospitality models.

Research Methodology

The study adopts a descriptive and comparative research design to analyse rural tourism and hospitality models across selected regions of Karnataka. The research is primarily based on secondary data, which has been collected from multiple reliable sources such as:

- Government publications, tourism policy documents, and district tourism reports
- Academic journals, books, theses, and research articles related to rural tourism and hospitality
- Reports published by tourism departments and development agencies
- Official tourism websites and credible online tourism platforms

The collected data has been systematically reviewed and organised to understand tourism resources, hospitality structures, community involvement, and levels of development. A comparative analytical framework is used to evaluate similarities and differences between Kalaburagi, its neighbouring districts, and Hampi. Qualitative analysis has been applied to interpret trends, patterns, and best practices in rural tourism development. The methodology helps in drawing meaningful conclusions and policy-relevant suggestions for sustainable rural tourism and hospitality growth.

Review of Literature

Rural tourism has been widely discussed in tourism literature as an alternative and sustainable form of tourism that supports rural development while preserving cultural and environmental resources. Early conceptualizations by Lane (1994) defined rural tourism as tourism that is located in rural areas, functionally rural in scale and character, and built upon local culture, heritage, and community participation. This foundational understanding emphasizes small-scale development, authenticity, and local ownership as key attributes distinguishing rural tourism from mass tourism.

Subsequent studies have highlighted the economic and social benefits of rural tourism. Sharpley and Sharpley (1997) and Sharpley and Roberts (2004) observed that rural tourism can diversify rural economies, reduce over-dependence on agriculture, and create supplementary income and employment opportunities. However, they also stressed that the success of rural tourism depends on adequate infrastructure, market access, and institutional support. Without these, rural tourism initiatives often remain fragmented and unsustainable.

Community participation has been identified as a critical success factor in rural tourism development. Murphy (1985) emphasized that tourism development must be community-oriented to ensure long-term sustainability. Later studies by Saxena et al. (2007) and Goodwin and Santilli (2009) reinforced that community-based tourism empowers local residents, enhances social capital, and leads to equitable benefit-sharing. These studies underline the importance of involving local communities in decision-making, hospitality management, and tourism planning—an aspect highly relevant to emerging destinations such as Kalaburagi and its neighbouring districts.

In the Indian context, rural tourism has gained policy-level attention over the past two decades. Reports by the Ministry of Tourism, Government of India, highlight rural tourism as a means to strengthen village economies, promote traditional arts and crafts, and reduce rural–urban migration. Studies conducted on rural tourism projects in states such as Rajasthan, Kerala, and Himachal Pradesh indicate that structured homestay schemes, training programs, and destination branding significantly improve rural tourism outcomes. However, scholars have noted regional disparities in rural tourism development due to uneven infrastructure, lack of professional hospitality skills, and limited marketing reach.

Literature on agri-tourism further complements rural tourism research. Phillip, Hunter, and Blackstock (2010) categorized agri-tourism models based on levels of tourist involvement and farm integration, suggesting that experiential agricultural activities enhance tourist satisfaction and local income generation. This framework is particularly relevant for agrarian regions like Kalaburagi, Raichur, and Yadgir, where agriculture forms the backbone of rural livelihoods. Studies focusing on heritage tourism and rural integration provide important insights for understanding Hampi's tourism model. Researchers have documented that heritage destinations surrounded by rural settlements can successfully integrate community-based hospitality through homestays, local guiding services, and craft-based tourism. Literature on Hampi emphasizes its success in attracting global tourists through diversified accommodation options, while also cautioning against over-tourism, environmental degradation, and displacement of local communities. Scholars argue that sustainable heritage tourism requires a balance between conservation, community participation, and visitor management.

Despite the growing body of research on rural and heritage tourism in India, limited empirical and comparative studies exist on the Kalyana Karnataka region, particularly Kalaburagi and its neighbouring districts. Most available studies focus either on established tourism destinations or on policy frameworks, leaving a research gap in understanding emerging rural tourism regions and their hospitality models. Moreover, comparative studies that examine emerging rural destinations alongside mature heritage hubs such as Hampi are scarce.

Thus, the existing literature establishes the theoretical foundation for rural tourism, community participation, and heritage-based hospitality models, while also revealing gaps in region-specific and comparative research. The present study attempts to address this gap by comparatively analysing rural tourism and hospitality models in Kalaburagi, its neighbouring districts, and Hampi, thereby contributing new insights to the academic discourse and providing practical implications for policy and planning.

Rural Tourism and Hospitality Model in Kalaburagi District

Kalaburagi district, located in the Kalyana Karnataka region, is predominantly rural with agriculture as the main occupation. The district possesses several rural tourism assets such as:

- Historical monuments and forts
- Religious and spiritual centers
- Traditional village lifestyle and festivals
- Local cuisine and agricultural practices

Hospitality Scenario

Hospitality services in Kalaburagi's rural areas are largely informal and underdeveloped. Key characteristics include:

- Limited homestays and rural lodges
- Dependence on nearby urban centers for accommodation
- Lack of trained hospitality manpower
- Minimal integration of local food and cultural experiences

Community participation in tourism remains low, and tourism is often seasonal and unorganized.

Rural Tourism and Hospitality Models in Neighboring Districts

Ballari District (Hampi Region)

The Hampi region is a globally recognized heritage destination and has developed strong rural tourism and hospitality models in nearby villages such as Anegundi and Sanapur.

Key features include:

- Community-based homestays
- Local cuisine and traditional food services
- Trained local guides and village walks
- Cultural performances and handicraft promotion
- Better infrastructure and tourist connectivity

Hospitality in the Hampi region is community-driven and provides direct economic benefits to rural households.

Bidar District

Bidar has integrated rural tourism with heritage and religious tourism. Hospitality services include:

- Small-scale homestays
- Local food outlets promoting regional cuisine
- Cultural tourism linked with historical sites

Community involvement is moderate, and tourism supports supplementary rural income.

Raichur District

Raichur's rural tourism and hospitality are at a developing stage, with:

- Eco-tourism and pilgrimage-based rural tourism
- Basic accommodation facilities
- Informal hospitality services

While potential exists, infrastructural challenges limit large-scale tourism growth.

Rural Tourism and Hospitality Model of Hampi

Hampi represents a unique tourism model combining global heritage tourism with rural and community-based hospitality.

Tourism Characteristics

- UNESCO World Heritage Site with international recognition.
- Surrounding villages such as Anegundi and Sanapur offer rural cultural experiences.
- Strong integration of heritage, agriculture, crafts, and village life.

Hospitality Model

- Diverse hospitality options including homestays, heritage resorts, boutique hotels, and backpacker hostels.
- Well-developed homestay network promoting local participation.
- High tourist inflow generating significant rural employment.

Community Participation

- Strong involvement of local communities in accommodation, guiding, crafts, transport, and food services.
- Continuous efforts to balance tourism growth with heritage conservation.

Rural Tourism and Hospitality Model of Hampi

Hampi, located in the Vijayanagara district of Karnataka, is a globally recognized heritage destination and a UNESCO World Heritage Site, renowned for its architectural grandeur, historical significance, and scenic landscapes along the Tungabhadra River. Unlike many emerging rural tourism destinations, Hampi demonstrates a mature and integrated model that successfully blends heritage tourism with rural and community-based hospitality. This integration provides a benchmark for neighbouring regions like Kalaburagi and its districts, offering lessons on sustainable tourism management, community participation, and infrastructure development.

Tourism Characteristics

Hampi attracts both domestic and international tourists due to its rich cultural and historical heritage, including the Virupaksha Temple, Vittala Temple complex, Hampi Bazaar, and the remains of the Vijayanagara empire. The surrounding villages, such as Anegundi, Sanapur, and Kamalapura, provide opportunities for rural tourism experiences. Visitors can explore traditional village life, engage with local artisans, and participate in agricultural and craft-based activities, creating a holistic tourism experience that combines history, culture, and rural living.

Hampi's tourism model is characterized by multi-dimensional tourism offerings: heritage tourism, adventure tourism (such as trekking and rock climbing), rural tourism, and cultural tourism through festivals and traditional arts. The combination of these experiences ensures a steady flow of visitors year-round and contributes significantly to the local economy.

Hospitality Infrastructure and Models of Hampi

Hampi's hospitality ecosystem is diverse and well-organized, comprising a wide spectrum of accommodation options. These include:

Heritage Hotels – Resorts and boutique hotels that reflect the cultural heritage of the region, often incorporating traditional architecture and design elements.

- **Homestays** – Community-led rural accommodation options in villages like Aneundi and Sanapur, allowing tourists to experience authentic village life while generating direct income for local families.
- **Guesthouses and Hostels** – Budget-friendly lodging options catering to domestic tourists and backpackers.
- **Eco-Resorts and Campsites** – Nature-based stays integrated with environmental sustainability, such as riverside camps along the Tungabhadra.

This diversity of hospitality options ensures that Hampi caters to a broad spectrum of tourists while maximizing local participation and economic benefits.

Community Participation and Engagement

Community involvement is a key pillar of Hampi's rural tourism model. Local residents are actively engaged in multiple tourism activities, including:

- Running homestays and guesthouses
- Providing tourist guidance services
- Conducting craft workshops and traditional culinary experiences
- Participating in cultural festivals and performances for visitors

The community-driven approach ensures equitable income distribution, strengthens local identity, and fosters ownership of tourism development. Training programs for locals, facilitated by NGOs and government agencies, enhance hospitality skills, promote responsible tourism practices, and encourage sustainable use of natural and cultural resources.

Comparative Observations

Across the neighbouring districts, several patterns emerge:

- Heritage and cultural tourism is strongest in Bidar due to its historical monuments.
- Agritourism potential is high in Raichur and Yadgir due to fertile landscapes and traditional village lifestyles.
- Community participation and hospitality quality vary significantly, being relatively higher in Bidar and minimal in Yadgir and Raichur.
- Infrastructure limitations such as road connectivity, accommodation quality, and digital presence are common challenges across all districts.

In summary, the neighbouring districts of Kalaburagi display diverse rural tourism models shaped by their unique heritage, cultural, and agricultural assets. While Bidar illustrates early success in combining heritage and community-based hospitality, Yadgir and Raichur remain underdeveloped but hold untapped potential for sustainable rural tourism. Strategic interventions in capacity building, infrastructure development, and organized homestays could transform these districts into vibrant rural tourism destinations, complementing Kalaburagi's emerging tourism landscape.

Comparative Analysis of Rural Tourism and Hospitality Models

Dimension	Kalaburagi	Neighbouring Districts (Bidar, Yadgir, Raichur)	Hampi
Core Tourism Resources	Historical monuments (Gulbarga Fort, Dargah of Khwaja Banda Nawaz), pilgrimage sites, Chincholi Wildlife Sanctuary, agrarian landscapes	Bidar: Heritage forts, Bahmani tombs, Bidri craft; Yadgir: Agrarian villages, riverine landscapes; Raichur: Agricultural landscapes, small heritage temples	UNESCO World Heritage Site, Vijayanagara ruins, temples, monuments, surrounding villages (Aneundi, Sanapur) offering rural experiences
Hospitality Models	Limited homestays, small private guesthouses and resorts; largely unorganized	Bidar: Farm stays, small homestays, heritage guesthouses; Yadgir and Raichur: informal homestays, basic guest accommodations	Diverse and structured: homestays, boutique hotels, heritage resorts, backpacker hostels, eco-resorts, riverside camps

Community Participation	Moderate involvement in food services, festivals, and pilgrimage tourism; limited structured training	Bidar: Moderate to high community involvement in heritage and rural tourism; Yadgir& Raichur: low community engagement, mostly informal	High community participation in homestays, guiding services, craft workshops, cultural performances, local tourism enterprises
Infrastructure	Developing: moderate road connectivity, limited digital presence, basic rural amenities	Bidar: Relatively better road access and tourism services; Yadgir& Raichur: underdeveloped roads, limited facilities, minimal digital marketing	Well-developed tourism infrastructure, including roads, signage, accommodations, transport services, tourist information, and emergency facilities
Tourism Maturity	Emerging; small-scale and unorganized	Bidar: Emerging-Moderate; Yadgir& Raichur: Nascent/Emerging	Mature; globally recognized destination with integrated heritage and rural tourism model
Strengths	Rich cultural and historical heritage, agrarian tourism potential	Bidar: Heritage + emerging farm/rural tourism; Yadgir& Raichur: untapped agricultural and village tourism potential	Strong global visibility, integrated heritage-rural tourism, diversified hospitality options, high community engagement
Limitations/Challenges	Limited infrastructure, unorganized hospitality, low branding, moderate community skills	Infrastructure gaps, low marketing, limited trained workforce, Yadgir& Raichur underdeveloped	Over-tourism, environmental pressure, heritage conservation challenges, need for sustainable visitor management

Challenges in Kalaburagi

- Absence of structured rural tourism villages
- Inadequate hospitality infrastructure
- Lack of awareness and training among rural communities
- Poor marketing and destination branding
- Limited public-private partnerships

Opportunities and Recommendations

Based on successful neighboring models, the following recommendations are proposed for Kalaburagi:

- Development of community-based rural homestays
- Skill training programs in rural hospitality and tourism services
- Promotion of local cuisine and cultural experiences
- Identification of pilot rural tourism clusters
- Strong digital marketing and destination branding
- Collaboration with NGOs, SHGs, and private stakeholders.

Key Findings

- Kalaburagi and neighbouring districts possess strong rural tourism potential but lack structured hospitality frameworks.
- Bidar shows relatively better rural tourism integration among neighbouring districts.
- Hampi demonstrates a successful model of integrating heritage tourism with rural hospitality.
- Community participation and capacity building are critical factors influencing tourism success.
- Infrastructure, branding, and professional training remain key challenges in Kalaburagi and neighbouring districts.

Suggestions for Sustainable Development

- Development of structured rural homestay and agri-tourism schemes.
- Capacity-building programs for local hosts, guides, and youth.
- Creation of rural tourism circuits linking Kalaburagi with neighbouring districts.
- Adoption of best practices from Hampi for community participation and hospitality diversification.
- Strengthening marketing, digital promotion, and destination branding.

Conclusion

Rural tourism has increasingly emerged as a strategic tool for promoting sustainable development, preserving cultural heritage, and creating livelihood opportunities in rural areas. This study has comparatively examined the rural tourism and hospitality models in Kalaburagi district, its neighbouring districts (Bidar, Yadgir, Raichur), and the heritage destination of Hampi, revealing significant variations in tourism maturity, infrastructure, community participation, and economic impact. The analysis highlights that while Kalaburagi and neighbouring districts possess rich cultural, historical, and agricultural resources, their rural tourism and hospitality frameworks remain underdeveloped, often limited to small-scale homestays, informal guest accommodations, and minimal branding or institutional support. Community participation, though present, lacks structured mechanisms for training, capacity building, and organized involvement in tourism activities.

In contrast, Hampi represents a mature, globally recognized model of tourism development, successfully integrating heritage conservation with rural and community-based hospitality. The region demonstrates a wide range of accommodation options, from homestays and boutique hotels to backpacker hostels, alongside strong community engagement and sustainable practices. Hampi's model illustrates how heritage and rural tourism can coexist, benefiting local communities while attracting both domestic and international visitors. Lessons from Hampi provide valuable insights for emerging destinations like Kalaburagi and its neighbours, particularly in areas of infrastructure development, marketing, community training, and integration of rural experiences with tourism circuits.

The comparative study underscores the need for policy interventions, capacity-building programs, structured homestay networks, and sustainable rural tourism initiatives to strengthen the emerging models in Kalaburagi and surrounding districts. By adopting best practices from established destinations and focusing on community-driven tourism, these regions can achieve inclusive economic growth, preserve cultural heritage, and enhance their visibility as viable rural tourism destinations. Overall, the study contributes to academic discourse by providing region-specific insights and practical recommendations for planners, policymakers, and stakeholders aiming to promote sustainable and socially inclusive rural tourism in Karnataka.

References

1. Goodwin, H., & Santilli, R. (2009). *Community-based tourism: A success?* In A. A. Smith & D. Robinson (Eds.), *Cultural tourism in a changing world* (pp. 93–104). Channel View Publications.
2. Lane, B. (1994). What is rural tourism? *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 2(1–2), 7–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669589409510621>
3. Sharpley, R., & Sharpley, J. (1997). *Rural tourism: An introduction*. International Thomson Business Press.
4. Sharpley, R., & Roberts, L. (2004). Rural tourism—10 years on. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 6(3), 119–124. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.470>
5. Murphy, P. E. (1985). *Tourism: A community approach*. Routledge.
6. Saxena, G., Clark, G., & Illbery, B. (2007). Conceptualising integrated rural tourism. *Tourism Geographies*, 9(4), 347–370. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616680701635436>
7. Phillip, S., Hunter, C., & Blackstock, K. (2010). A typology for defining agritourism. *Tourism Management*, 31(6), 754–758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.08.001>
8. Ministry of Tourism, Government of India. (2020). *Annual report 2019–20: Tourism in India*. <https://tourism.gov.in>
9. Karnataka Tourism. (2020). *Karnataka Tourism Policy 2020–2026*. Government of Karnataka. <https://www.karnatakaturism.org>
10. Times of India. (2023, September 28). Centre declares Hampi as India's Best Tourism Village. *The Times of India*. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/bengaluru/karnataka-govt-permits-homestays-around-hampi>
11. Swarajya Mag. (2023, September 15). Karnataka to set up its first craft tourism village in Anegundi near Hampi. <https://swarajyamag.com/news-brief/karnataka-to-set-up-its-first-craft-tourism-village-in-anegundi-near-hampi>

12. Dynamic Media TripAdvisor. (2018). *Kalaburagi tourism attractions*. https://www.tripadvisor.in/Tourism-g297568-Gulbarga_Gulbarga_District_Karnataka-Vacations.html
13. Invest Karnataka. (2022). *District profile: Kalaburagi*. <https://investkarnataka.co.in/our-districts/kalaburagi>
14. Wikipedia contributors. (2023). *Chincholi Wildlife Sanctuary*. In *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chincholi_Wildlife_Sanctuary
15. NDTV Travel. (2023). *Hampi travel guide: What to see, where to stay and eat*. <https://www.ndtv.com/travel/hampi-travel-guide-what-to-see-where-to-stay-and-eat>
16. Deccan Herald. (2022, July 18). Beyond Hampi: Discovering the serenity of Kishkindha. <https://www.deccanherald.com/amp/story/india/karnataka/beyond-hampi-discovering-the-serenity-of-kishkindha-3854670>
17. Times of India. (2023, August 15). Recognition of Kalaburagi's Jowar Roti will increase global reach. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/hubballi/pms-recognition-of-kalaburagis-jowar-roti-will-increase-global-reach-dc/articleshow/122165726.cms>.

