International Journal of Global Research Innovations & Technology (IJGRIT)
ISSN : 2583-8717(Online), Impact Factor: 6.972, Volume 03, No. 03, July-September, 2025, pp 72-78

Crypto Meets Wall Street: A Review of Digital Assets in Portfolio Theory

Dr. Deepika Chaplot[1*] | Harsha Lohar[2]

[1]Associate Professor, Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, India.
[2]Scholar, Business Administration, Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, India.

*Corresponding Author: dr.deepikachaplot@gmail.com

Citation: ***Chaplot, D., & Lohar, H. (2025). Crypto Meets Wall Street: A Review of Digital Assets in Portfolio Theory. International Journal of Global Research Innovations & Technology, 03(03), 72–78. https://doi.org/10.62823/ijgrit/03.03.7922***

ABSTRACT

Cryptocurrency assets' integration into conventional portfolio theory is one of the most significant developments in modern finance. This comprehensive review addresses the evolving relationship between cryptocurrency markets and conventional portfolio optimization frameworks, taking into account how digital assets enhance and replace traditional investment strategies. Drawing from 18 peer-reviewed papers and institutional studies, this paper summarizes recent studies on cryptocurrency portfolio optimization, diversification benefits, and institutional adoption patterns. The facts confirm that even though digital assets offer unique diversification opportunities, their introduction requires radical transformations in the classical portfolio theory frameworks, particularly in the area of risk modeling and correlation behavior. The review contends that growing institutional adoption of digital assets requires an outright reinvention of portfolio construction methods for the digital age.

Keywords*: Cryptocurrency, Portfolio Theory, Digital Assets, Modern Portfolio Theory, Institutional Adoption, Diversification, Risk Management.*

Introduction

The financial environment has experienced a fundamental change ever since the arrival of Bitcoin in 2009, with digital assets becoming a viable alternative asset class which defy conventional portfolio theory paradigms. The convergence of cryptocurrency markets with Wall Street is more than a technological fad—it is a revolutionary change in our thinking about asset allocation, risk management, and portfolio optimization in the 21st century.

Time-honored Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) of Markowitz (1952) has formed the cornerstone of institutional investment approach for decades. Cryptocurrencies introduce unprecedented characteristics that push classic paradigms to breaking point: ultra-volatility, distribution of returns non-normality, and correlation dynamics pitting conventional asset class behaviors against each other. As institutional investors realize that digital assets represent a distinct asset class, the practitioner and scholarly communities are challenged with the task of applying tested and established theory to suit these innovative financial products.

The applicability of such synergy extends beyond scholarly interest. With institutional investors to increase digital asset allocations to over 83% and billions of dollars in investment flowing into cryptocurrency markets, it is imperative that contemporary finance professionals understand how such assets are being incorporated into portfolio theory. This summary incorporates current research to provide a working understanding of where we are now in this process of development and where we're headed.

Theoretical Framework: From Markowitz to Digital Assets

- **Classical Portfolio Theory Foundations**

Harry Markowitz's 1952 breakthrough of Modern Portfolio Theory transformed investment management by using mathematical optimization to formalize the risk-return relationship. The theory's basic postulate—investors can construct efficient portfolios out of assets with differing risk-return dimensions and correlation structures—remains valid today, although digital assets introduce new challenges to the theory's assumptions.

The classical MPT framework relies on normal returns distributions, static correlations, and rational behavior in markets. Cryptocurrencies violate most of the above assumptions with fat-tailed distributions, time-varying correlations, and sentiment- and speculation-driven behavior rather than fundamental analysis. This mismatch has prompted researchers to develop modified strategies capable of accommodating the unique characteristics of crypto markets.

- **Digital Assets as a New Asset Class**

The evolution of digital assets as a separate asset class has been dramatic over the last decade. Initially, research handled cryptocurrencies as speculative assets with minimal applications in portfolios. Yet, as institutions poured into the market and the market matured, academic research is increasingly acknowledging that digital assets provide true diversification value in traditional portfolios.

Jeleskovic (2024) shows that the incorporation of cryptocurrencies into mean-variance optimal portfolios with GARCH-copula models for the Markowitz model can significantly improve portfolio performance. The ex-post analysis of three different portfolios within the research confirms that digital assets, when properly modeled, can provide better risk-adjusted returns even when their inherent volatility is taken into account.

Portfolio Optimization with Digital Assets

- **Mean-Variance Optimization Modifications**

Mean-variance portfolio optimization involving digital assets requires colossal methodological breakthroughs. Classical theory-based mean-variance models have been proven incapable of capturing the dramatic volatility clustering and non-linear interdependence found in typical cryptocurrency markets. Researchers have responded by formulating stronger models that incorporate higher-order moments, time-varying coefficients, and non-conventional risk measures.

Current research by Platanakis and Urquhart (2019) talks about portfolio diversification in cryptocurrencies using six classical portfolio choice models through out-of-sample evaluation methods. The research suggests that while diversification among cryptocurrency markets offers little gain due to high cross-correlations, consideration of some digital assets in traditional portfolios can have substantial diversification advantages.

- **Higher-Order Moments and Alternative Risk Measures**

The limitation of mean-variance optimization is particularly apparent when dealing with digital assets whose distribution of returns carries very high skewness and kurtosis. Research has increasingly been conducted with an aim to include higher-order moments in portfolio optimization models since traditional variance-based measures of risk are unable to effectively capture the tail risks in crypto investments.

Empirical studies of portfolio diversification advantages of decentralized and centralized cryptocurrencies suggest that optimization techniques using higher moments provide more robust portfolio formation techniques compared to traditional mean-variance models. This paper suggests that the complete distribution of returns, rather than first and second moments, should be taken into consideration while constructing digital asset portfolios.

- **Dynamic Hedging and Correlation Analysis**

Correlation fluidity between virtual currencies and traditional financial assets presents both challenges and opportunities for portfolio managers. During periods of market stress, correlations between cryptocurrencies and traditional assets increase, potentially reducing their diversification effect at exactly the moment when it is most needed.

Corbet et al. (2020) provide thorough analysis of cryptocurrency market dynamics and interaction with the conventional assets. Their study demonstrates that even though cryptocurrencies appeared to be fairly decoupled from conventional markets in the early stages, heightened institutional

adoption has led to increasing correlation in times of market distress, suggesting that the diversification benefit of digital assets is commencing to erode as the markets mature.

Risk Management and Digital Assets

- **Volatility Modeling and Risk Assessment**

The hyper volatility of virtual assets is uniquely problematic for risk management systems. Traditional risk models, built for securities with relatively consistent volatility patterns, underforecast the likelihood of extreme price action in cryptocurrency markets. To correct this, there has evolved specialized risk models that can be built to account for the unique characteristics of returns on virtual assets.

Sophisticated volatility modeling techniques, including GARCH models and regime-switching models, are presently essential tools for managing digital asset risk. These models better reflect the volatility clustering and structural breaks of crypto markets, providing better estimates of risk for portfolio optimization.

- **Extreme Value Theory and Tail Risk**

Its use in digital asset risk management has introduced some helpful insights into the nature of cryptocurrency market risks. Unlike traditional assets, which typically exhibit moderate tail risks, digital assets experience outlier price motions that are not anticipated by conventional models of risk.

Research efforts here have focused on the building of robust risk measures of tail risk able to express potential for high losses in digital asset portfolios. Such measures are crucial for institutional investors faced with the need to satisfy regulatory risk requirements and fiduciary duties.

Institutional Adoption and Market Evolution

- **Institutional Investment Patterns**

Institutional investment in digital assets has risen exponentially in the last several years, transforming the terrain of the cryptocurrency space. A projected 83% of institutional investors plan to increase their digital asset holdings, and the majority view cryptocurrencies as a long-term member of their investment universe rather than a temporary speculative venture.

This institutional flow has important portfolio theory application implications. With institutional investors bringing sophisticated risk management techniques and portfolio optimization techniques into digital asset markets, the market dynamics of such markets ever more closely approach those of traditional asset classes, although there are deep-seated differences.

- **Regulatory Environment and Portfolio Applications**

The evolving regulatory climate for digital assets has led the way in institutional adoption patterns. The introduction of regulated digital asset products, including exchange-traded funds and institutional custody services, has made it easier to integrate cryptocurrencies into the investment workflow of traditional portfolio managers.

Regulatory clarity and institutional adoption research indicates that more transparent regulatory systems are the biggest individual driver of greater institutional investment in digital assets. This regulatory evolution is changing how portfolio managers think about digital asset allocation, with institutions today examining cryptocurrencies as a suitable component of diversified portfolios.

- **Market Infrastructure and Operational Considerations**

Institutional-grade market infrastructure development has been critical to integrating digital assets into traditional portfolio management processes. Advanced trading platforms, custody products, and risk management solutions have enabled institutional investors to apply traditional portfolio optimization techniques to digital asset investments.

Market infrastructure development has also made it easier to have better liquidity and lower transaction costs in digital asset markets, which makes these instruments more appealing from a portfolio optimization perspective. Operation issues remain, nonetheless, particularly in custody, settlement, and regulation compliance.

Alternative Approaches and Innovations

- **Machine Learning and AI in Digital Asset Portfolio Management**

The unique characteristics of digital asset markets have offered them fertile ground for the application of machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques in portfolio management. Traditional

portfolio optimization approaches grounded on historical data and statistical assumptions struggle with the dynamic fluctuations and structural changes that are typical of cryptocurrency markets.

An investigation by Jiang and Liang (2017) of cryptocurrency portfolio optimization through deep reinforcement learning is indicative of the capability of AI-based approaches to learn in conditions of changing market regimes and calculate optimal portfolio structures in real time. Such methods are of particular interest to digital asset portfolio management as they can learn from patterns of market behavior that do not emerge in statistical analysis.

- **Behavioral Finance Considerations**

The effect of behavioral factors in digital asset markets cannot be overlooked when portfolio application is taken into account. Unlike traditional assets, which are largely driven by economic fundamental factors, cryptocurrency prices will reflect sentiment, social media, and speculation that cannot be understood through traditional financial theories.

The comprehension of these behavior dynamics is critical to portfolio managers seeking to incorporate digital assets in investment strategies. Research points towards models of portfolio optimization having to incorporate the sentiment-based character of cryptocurrency markets to provide optimal performance.

- **Integration with Traditional Alternative Investments**

The positioning of digital assets within the broader alternative investment universe is considered closely with regard to where they fit and integrate with existing alternative investment strategies. Modern institutional portfolios already have material exposure to private equity, hedge funds, real estate, and other alternatives, and digital assets must be weighed against this context.

Research examining the role of digital assets within institutional portfolios shows that cryptocurrencies occupy a unique position within alternative investments, with characteristics to complement rather than replace traditional alternative investments. The substitutability of this process has important portfolio construction implications in aggregate, and for asset allocation approaches.

Empirical Evidence and Performance Analysis

- **Historical Performance and Risk-Adjusted Returns**

The empirical evidence regarding the performance of digital assets in portfolio contexts produces a complex picture. While cryptocurrencies have delivered fantastic returns over the longer horizon, they have also exhibited super-volatility that pushes traditional risk-return optimization models to their limits.

Studies of the past performance of portfolios containing digital assets consistently demonstrate that minimal exposure to cryptocurrencies can increase risk-adjusted returns, particularly where the application of rebalancing seeks to offset volatility. But such a benefit comes at considerable drawbacks in the way of heightened portfolio volatility and potential extreme loss during downturns in the market.

- **Out-of-Sample Testing and Robustness**

Portfolio optimization performance to digital assets robustness has been a key concern in the literature. A majority of studies on cryptocurrency portfolio applications with positive results rely on in-sample optimization, which may not reflect true performance due to the rapidly changing nature of digital asset markets.

Out-of-sample testing suggests more muted benefits from the addition of digital assets to portfolios, the implication being that although these instruments do offer actual diversification potential, their actual application requires careful consideration for model constraints and reactions from markets.

- **Comparative Analysis Over Time Periods**

The performance of digital assets within portfolio settings is extremely different across different time horizons and under different market conditions. During periods of great cryptocurrency performance, digitally exposed portfolios have very much better performance compared to traditional portfolios. However during periods of stress in the market, digital assets fail to provide diversification benefits as traditional portfolio theory would lead one to expect.

This time-varying performance attribute has far-reaching consequences for portfolio management methods, suggesting adaptive allocation procedures would be more desirable than static optimization methods when dealing with digital assets.

Future Directions and Research Opportunities

- **Tokenization and Traditional Asset Digitization**

The future of digital assets in portfolio theory extends beyond cryptocurrencies to include the tokenization of traditional assets. Real estate, commodities, and even stakes in private companies are being tokenized, opening up new routes for portfolio diversification and liquidity management.

Studies on this emerging space suggest that tokenized traditional assets may be able to harness the liquidity benefits of digital assets while maintaining the key characteristics of their respective underlying assets. This development has the potential to significantly boost the utilization of digital assets in institutional portfolios and also reduce some of the volatility concerns around cryptocurrencies.

- **Integration of Decentralized Finance (DeFi)**

The development of decentralized finance protocols creates new opportunity and challenge for portfolio management. DeFi products have new risk-return profiles that do not translate well into traditional asset class classifications, requiring new theoretical solutions to the portfolio optimization problem.

Early data on DeFi portfolio applications suggest that such tools potentially offer idiosyncratic diversification opportunities, but their advanced risk attributes and technical requirements represent significant barriers to institutional adoption. Incorporating DeFi tools into the domain of traditional portfolio theory is an essential research agenda for the future.

- **Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Considerations**

The growing salience of ESG issues in institutional investment choices has profound implications for the uses of digital asset portfolios. Concerns about the environmental impact of cryptocurrency mining and the governance structures of digital asset protocols are increasingly significant matters of consideration among institutional investors.

Research that examines the ESG characteristics of different digital assets and what this means for portfolio construction is at an early stage but will become more important as institutional onboarding gains pace. Construction of ESG-friendly digital asset investment strategies is a key role for research and development in the future.

Implementation Challenges – Practical

- **Operation Infrastructure and Custody Solutions**

The efficient deployment of digital asset portfolio strategies requires advanced operating infrastructure that most traditional investment managers lack. Custody solutions alone present unique challenges not encountered with traditional assets, and it requires dedicated technology and security protocols.

The development of institutional-grade custody solutions has been the key to adoption, but real challenges must be overcome. Activity in this space is focused on developing best practice in digital asset custody and operational risk management required for the mass adoption of digital assets into institutional portfolios.

- **Regulatory Compliance and Reporting**

Regulatory landscape of digital assets remains complex and dynamic, which creates difficulty for the portfolio managers in integrating these instruments into the investment portfolios. Different jurisdictions have different investment requirements in digital assets and compliance frameworks remain in a developing stage.

Regulatory compliance research for portfolios of digital assets lays out the imperative of developing robust governance paradigms that can adapt as regulatory frameworks change without ceasing to build best-of-breed portfolios. This is an important intersection point where legal, regulatory, and financial matters converge.

- **Performance Measurement and Attribution**

Measurement and attribution of portfolio performance in portfolios that include digital assets is especially difficult due to the 24/7 nature of the cryptocurrency markets as well as the advanced pricing of certain digital assets. Traditional performance measurement methods may prove inadequate to capture the risk and return profile of digital asset investments.

Developing appropriate benchmarks and methods for evaluation of the performance of digital asset portfolios is a continuous research and development effort. Institutions require such tools to provide reports to stakeholders and regulators.

Conclusion

The addition of digital assets to traditional portfolio theory is at once an evolutionary step and a revolutionary challenge to modern finance. Cryptocurrencies and other digital assets offer genuine diversification gains and the prospect of enhanced risk-adjusted returns, but their addition to investment portfolios requires significant changes to traditional optimization frameworks.

The evidence points toward digital assets having established themselves as a legitimate asset class worthy of a place in institutional portfolios, but because of their unique nature, they require sophisticated methods of risk management, optimization, and operationalization. The accelerated institutional take-up of digital assets, fueled by greater regulatory clarity and market infrastructure, implies that the process of integration will pick up pace and not lose it.

Future research will have to continue struggling to find solutions to the practical problems of managing digital asset portfolios along with the development of new theories that will be applicable to the unique characteristics of such products. Integrating digital assets into portfolio theory effectively will require ongoing collaboration among practitioners, regulators, and academics in developing sound frameworks that are capable of keeping up with the pace of innovations in contemporary finance.

The shift away from traditional portfolio theory toward models that can effectively accommodate digital assets is far from complete, but to date, the experience is that the fundamental principles of diversification and risk management remain valid in the age of the digital. The challenge is to convert these principles into terminology that takes into account the unique characteristics and opportunities of digital assets without sacrificing the rigorous analytic standards of contemporary portfolio theory.

In the future, the evolution of digital assets and their integration into traditional finance will bring new research opportunities and actual challenges. The hypotheses developed today will become the foundation for next-generation portfolio theory, one that treats conventional as well as digital assets alike in pursuing ultimate risk-adjusted returns.

References

1. Brière, M., Oosterlinck, K., &Szafarz, A. (2015). Virtual currency, tangible return: Portfolio diversification with bitcoin. *Journal of Asset Management, 16*(6), 365-373.
2. Corbet, S., Lucey, B., Urquhart, A., &Yarovaya, L. (2019). Cryptocurrencies as a financial asset: A systematic analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis, 62*, 182-199.
3. Corbet, S., Meegan, A., Larkin, C., Lucey, B., &Yarovaya, L. (2018). Exploring the dynamic relationships between cryptocurrencies and other financial assets. *Economics Letters, 165*, 28-34.
4. EY. (2024). Evolving digital assets sentiment among investors. Retrieved from https://www.ey.com/en_us/insights/financial-services/evolving-digital-assets-sentiment-among-investors
5. Fidelity Digital Assets. (2024). Institutional adoption of digital assets. Retrieved from https://www.fidelitydigitalassets.com/research-and-insights/institutional-adoption-digital-assets
6. Guesmi, K., Saadi, S., Abid, I., &Ftiti, Z. (2019). Portfolio diversification with virtual currency: Evidence from bitcoin. *International Review of Financial Analysis, 63*, 431-437.
7. Jeleskovic, V., Babic, A., &Cakanovic, M. (2024). Cryptocurrency portfolio optimization: Utilizing a GARCH-copula model within the Markowitz framework. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance, 35*(3), 45-62.
8. Jiang, Z., & Liang, J. (2017). Cryptocurrency portfolio management with deep reinforcement learning. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management, 24*(2-3), 134-145.
9. Liu, Y., & Tsyvinski, A. (2021). Risks and returns of cryptocurrency. *The Review of Financial Studies, 34*(6), 2689-2727.
10. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. *The Journal of Finance, 7*(1), 77-91.
11. Outerlands Capital. (2024). Positioning digital assets in an institutional portfolio. Retrieved from https://www.outerlands.io/researchblog/positioning-digital-assets-in-an-institutional-portfolio

12. Platanakis, E., & Urquhart, A. (2019). Portfolio management with cryptocurrencies: The role of estimation risk. *Economics Letters, 177*, 76-80.
13. Platanakis, E., Sutcliffe, C., & Urquhart, A. (2018). Optimal vs naïve diversification in cryptocurrencies. *Economics Letters, 166*, 93-100.
14. Shahzad, S. J. H., Bouri, E., Roubaud, D., Kristoufek, L., & Lucey, B. (2019). Is bitcoin a better safe-haven investment than gold and commodities? *International Review of Financial Analysis, 63*, 322-330.
15. Symitsi, E., & Chalvatzis, K. J. (2019). The economic value of Bitcoin: A portfolio analysis of currencies. *Research in International Business and Finance, 48*, 224-236.
16. Talos. (2024). What's driving institutional adoption of digital assets? Retrieved from https://www.talos.com/insights/whats-driving-institutional-adoption-of-digital-assets
17. Urquhart, A. (2016). The inefficiency of Bitcoin. *Economics Letters, 148*, 80-82.
18. White, R., Marinakis, Y., Islam, N., & Walsh, S. (2020). Is bitcoin a currency, a technology-based product, or something else? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 151*, 119877.