

A Comparative Study of the Financial Performance of Selected Public and Private Sector Housing Finance Companies in India (2018–2024)

Dr. Vikram Singh¹ | Ananya Kapoor^{2*}

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Shaheed Bhagat Singh College, University of Delhi.

²Department of Commerce, Shaheed Bhagat Singh College, University of Delhi.

*Corresponding Author: ananya.20220444@sbs.du.ac.in

Citation: Singh, V. & Kapoor, A. (2026). A Comparative Study of the Financial Performance of Selected Public and Private Sector Housing Finance Companies in India (2018–2024). *International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science*, 09(01(II)), 177–183. [https://doi.org/10.62823/IJARCMSS/9.1\(II\).8698](https://doi.org/10.62823/IJARCMSS/9.1(II).8698)

ABSTRACT

The housing finance sector forms an important part of the financial system as it supports housing development, infrastructure growth, and employment generation. In India, the demand for housing finance has grown significantly due to factors such as rapid urbanization, rising income levels, population growth, and various government initiatives promoting affordable housing. Housing Finance Companies (HFCs) play a key role in this process by providing long-term finance for residential housing. These institutions specialize in mortgage lending and complement commercial banks by focusing specifically on housing loans and related financial services. Over time, the housing finance sector has expanded due to regulatory support, increasing demand for housing credit, and greater financial awareness among individuals.

Keywords: Financial Performance, HFCs, Housing Credit, Long-Term Finance, Rapid Urbanization.

Introduction

Despite this growth, the sector has also faced several challenges. The NBFC liquidity crisis following the IL&FS collapse in 2018 affected the availability of funds for housing finance companies. This was followed by the COVID-19 pandemic, which impacted borrowers' repayment capacity, lending growth, and overall asset quality. However, the sector showed resilience and gradually recovered in the post-pandemic period. Another important aspect of the housing finance sector is the presence of both public and private housing finance companies. Public sector HFCs often work with developmental objectives along with financial performance goals, whereas private sector companies generally focus more on operational efficiency and profitability. These differences make a financial comparison between the two sectors important. In this context, analysing the financial performance of housing finance companies helps in understanding their profitability, financial stability, and credit risk management practices, which this study attempts to examine using financial ratio analysis for the period 2018–202

Review of Literature

Several researchers have studied financial performance of financial institutions using ratio analysis and comparative financial methods.

Sharma (2020) studied the financial performance of non-banking financial companies using ratio analysis. The study concluded that profitability ratios such as Return on Assets and Return on Equity are significant indicators of operational efficiency. However, the study focused on NBFCs in general and did not specifically analyse housing finance companies.

Kumar and Singh (2021) examined the impact of capital structure on financial performance of financial institutions. The study found that higher leverage can improve returns but also increases financial risk. The study emphasized the importance of maintaining an optimal debt-equity balance.

Verma (2022) analysed asset quality trends in financial institutions after the COVID-19 pandemic. The study observed deterioration in NPAs during the pandemic followed by gradual recovery. However, the study did not provide a comparative analysis between different institutions.

Patel (2019) studied profitability trends of housing finance companies using financial ratios. The study concluded that efficient asset utilization improves profitability. The research was limited to a small sample and did not include recent financial data.

Mehta and Jain (2023) compared financial performance of public and private financial institutions. The study found that private institutions often show higher profitability while public institutions show relatively stable performance. However, the study did not focus specifically on housing finance companies.

Research Gap

Most existing studies focus either on NBFCs or banking institutions in general. Limited research specifically compares financial performance of housing finance companies across different economic periods. The present study attempts to address this gap by analysing financial performance of selected housing finance companies during the period 2018–2024.

Conceptual Basis of the Study

Financial performance analysis is an important tool used to evaluate the efficiency and financial stability of financial institutions. It helps in understanding how effectively a company utilizes its resources, manages financial risks, and maintains profitability over time. In the case of housing finance companies, such analysis becomes even more important because these institutions operate largely on borrowed funds and depend heavily on the performance of their loan portfolios. Profitability indicators such as Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) help in measuring how efficiently companies generate returns from their available resources. Higher profitability generally reflects better operational efficiency and effective management performance.

Leverage indicators such as the Debt–Equity ratio are also significant for housing finance companies since these institutions rely heavily on borrowed funds to support their lending activities. Maintaining a balanced capital structure is therefore essential to ensure long-term financial stability. Similarly, asset quality indicators such as Gross Non-Performing Assets (GNPA) and Net Non-Performing Assets (NNPA) are critical in assessing the credit risk exposure of these companies. Lower NPA levels usually indicate better credit appraisal systems and stronger recovery mechanisms. As housing finance companies primarily provide long-term loans, maintaining good asset quality becomes a key factor in determining their financial performance. Thus, financial ratios relating to profitability, leverage, and asset quality together provide a comprehensive understanding of financial performance and allow meaningful comparison among housing finance companies.

Research Problem

The housing finance sector in India has grown significantly in recent years due to increasing housing demand, financial sector development, and policy support. However, the financial performance of housing finance companies may differ due to variations in size, funding patterns, risk management practices, and operational strategies. Some housing finance companies may show stronger profitability due to efficient operations, while others may demonstrate stability due to conservative lending practices. These differences may result in variations in financial performance indicators.

Although many studies analyse banking and NBFC performance, limited research focuses specifically on comparative financial performance of housing finance companies. Therefore, the present study attempts to analyse whether significant differences exist in the financial performance of selected housing finance companies during the study period.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of the study is to analyse the financial performance of selected housing finance companies in India.

- **To analyse the profitability performance of selected housing finance companies**

This objective has been addressed by analysing important profitability ratios such as Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Net Interest Margin (NIM). These ratios help in understanding how effectively the selected housing finance companies are able to generate profits from their assets and shareholders' funds. The study also compares these ratios across companies to identify differences in their profitability performance.

- **To study the capital structure of selected housing finance companies using leverage ratios**

This objective has been examined by analysing the Debt–Equity ratio, which shows the proportion of borrowed funds in relation to equity. Since housing finance companies depend heavily on borrowings for their lending activities, this ratio helps in understanding their financial leverage and overall financial stability.

- **To compare the financial performance of selected public and private sector housing finance companies**

This objective has been achieved by comparing the financial ratios of LIC Housing Finance and HUDCO (public sector) with PNB Housing Finance and Bajaj Housing Finance (private sector). Comparative tables and trend analysis have been used to understand the differences in profitability and leverage between the public and private sector housing finance companies.

Research Methodology

Research Design

The study follows a quantitative research design based on financial data analysis. The research is descriptive and comparative in nature as it focuses on analysing financial performance indicators of selected housing finance companies.

Nature of Study

The study is analytical in nature as it evaluates financial performance using financial ratios and comparative analysis. The study adopts a comparative approach by analysing multiple companies across different time periods.

Data Sources

The present study is based on secondary data collected from reliable and publicly available sources such as published annual reports, audited financial statements, official company websites, and other authentic financial databases. These sources provide verified and standardized financial information which is suitable for analytical and comparative purposes.

The use of audited financial data enhances the reliability, accuracy, and credibility of the study, as such data has already been examined by independent auditors. This helps in ensuring that the analysis is based on trustworthy information and reduces the chances of errors or bias in the findings.

Sample Selection

The study includes four major housing finance companies selected based on market presence and availability of financial data:

- LIC Housing Finance
- HUDCO Housing Finance
- Bajaj Housing Finance
- PNB Housing Finance

These companies were selected to ensure representation of major housing finance institutions in India.

Study Period

The study covers the period from 2018 to 2024. The period has been divided into three phases to better understand financial performance across different economic conditions:

- Pre-pandemic period (2018–2019)
- Pandemic period (2020–2021)
- Post-pandemic period (2022–2024)

This classification helps in understanding how financial performance changed during economic disruption and recovery phases.

Tools Used for Analysis

The study uses the following financial analysis tools:

- Ratio Analysis
- Trend Analysis
- Comparative Analysis

Financial ratios are used to evaluate profitability, leverage, and asset quality. Trend analysis helps in understanding performance over time, while comparative analysis helps in comparing companies.

Analytical Framework of the Study

The analytical framework of the present study provides the basis for examining and comparing the financial performance of the selected housing finance companies. The study follows a systematic approach starting with the collection of financial data from the annual reports of the selected companies for the period 2018–2024. The data is organised year-wise and company-wise to ensure consistency and comparability. Based on this data, key financial ratios are calculated to analyse important aspects such as profitability and capital structure. These ratios help in making meaningful comparisons across companies despite differences in their size and operations.

The study also adopts both company-wise and period-wise analysis to better understand financial performance trends. The study period is divided into three phases: pre-pandemic (2018–2019), pandemic (2020–2021), and post-pandemic (2022–2024) to examine how companies performed under different economic conditions. The analysis involves data collection, tabulation, ratio calculation, trend analysis, and comparison of results. This structured approach helps in maintaining objectivity in analysis and provides a clear understanding of the financial performance patterns of the selected housing finance companies.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

The financial performance of the selected housing finance companies has been analysed using key financial ratios relating to profitability and capital structure. The analysis focuses on Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Net Interest Margin (NIM), and Debt–Equity ratio to evaluate the financial strength and operational efficiency of the selected companies. These ratios help in understanding how effectively the companies utilize their financial resources and maintain profitability.

To understand the overall financial performance, average ratios for the study period (2018–2024) have been calculated and compared across the selected companies. The results of this comparison are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparative Financial Performance of Selected Housing Finance Companies (2018–2024)

Company	Sector	Avg ROA (%)	Avg ROE (%)	Avg NIM (%)	Avg Debt-Equity
LIC Housing Finance	Public	1.09	13.55	2.14	10.06
HUDCO	Public	2.01	11.48	3.21	4.47
PNB Housing Finance	Private	1.26	10.79	2.72	7.2
Bajaj Housing Finance	Private	1.25	7.61	3.3	4.41

Source: Author's calculation based on annual reports (2018–2024).

The comparison shows that HUDCO has the highest average **Return on Assets (ROA)** among the selected companies, which indicates relatively better utilization of its assets to generate profits. LIC Housing Finance shows the highest average **Return on Equity (ROE)**, suggesting that it has been able to generate better returns for its shareholders. Among the private sector companies, PNB Housing Finance shows slightly higher ROE compared to Bajaj Housing Finance. The **Net Interest Margin (NIM)** comparison shows that Bajaj Housing Finance maintains a slightly higher margin, which may indicate efficient lending operations and better management of borrowing costs. The **Debt–Equity ratio**, which measures the proportion of borrowed funds compared to shareholders' funds, shows that LIC Housing Finance operates with relatively higher leverage compared to the other companies, indicating greater reliance on borrowed funds.

To further understand the difference between public and private sector housing finance companies, a sector-wise comparison has also been carried out. The results of this comparison are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Public vs Private Sector Financial Performance Comparison

Sector	Companies	Avg ROA (%)	Avg ROE (%)	Avg NIM (%)	Avg Debt-Equity
Public	LIC, HUDCO	1.55	12.51	2.68	7.27
Private	PNB, Bajaj	1.26	9.2	3.01	5.8

Source: Author's calculation based on annual reports (2018–2024).

The sector-wise comparison shows that public sector housing finance companies have slightly higher average **Return on Assets (ROA)** and **Return on Equity (ROE)** compared to private sector companies, indicating relatively stable profitability performance. At the same time, private sector companies show slightly higher **Net Interest Margin (NIM)**, which reflects better efficiency in their core lending operations. The leverage comparison also shows that public sector companies operate with relatively higher **Debt–Equity ratios**, which may be due to their larger scale of operations and long-term financing activities.

Overall, the analysis suggests that both public and private sector housing finance companies have maintained stable financial performance during the study period, although differences exist in profitability levels and leverage positions. The comparison highlights that ownership structure, business strategy, and financial management practices play an important role in determining the financial performance of housing finance companies.

A closer comparison also shows that although public sector housing finance companies report relatively higher average Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE), private sector companies demonstrate strong performance in terms of operational efficiency. This difference may be due to variations in business models and strategic focus. Public sector institutions generally emphasize stability and long-term lending objectives, whereas private sector companies often focus more on efficiency and profitability-driven growth. The Net Interest Margin (NIM) comparison also reflects this difference, as private sector companies show slightly higher margins, which may indicate better management of borrowing costs and interest spreads. This may also be linked to their greater focus on retail housing loans, which generally provide better margins compared to large institutional lending.

The Debt–Equity ratio analysis further highlights differences in financial strategy among the companies. Public sector housing finance companies appear to operate with relatively higher leverage, possibly due to their larger balance sheet size and long-term financing commitments. At the same time, higher leverage requires strong financial management to maintain stability. Private sector companies appear to maintain comparatively moderate leverage levels, indicating a balanced approach towards growth and risk management. Overall, the analysis suggests that despite differences in profitability and leverage structures, all selected companies have maintained stable financial performance during the study period. This indicates the resilience of the housing finance sector despite economic disruptions such as the NBFC liquidity crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic, and highlights the important role played by both public and private sector housing finance companies in supporting the growth of the sector.

Discussion of Results

The results of the study highlight important differences in the financial performance of the selected housing finance companies. The analysis shows that while both public and private sector companies maintain stable financial performance, differences exist in profitability and financial structure. Public sector companies appear to demonstrate relatively stable profitability performance, which may be due to their conservative lending policies and focus on long-term financial stability. In contrast, private sector companies show relatively better operational efficiency, which may be attributed to their focus on retail lending and cost management practices.

The study also indicates that despite economic challenges such as the NBFC liquidity crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic, the housing finance sector has shown resilience. Most companies were able to maintain reasonable profitability levels and financial stability during the study period. This suggests that effective financial management, diversified loan portfolios, and strong recovery mechanisms play an important role in sustaining financial performance. Overall, the results indicate that both public and private sector housing finance companies contribute significantly to the stability and growth of the housing finance sector.

Key Findings of the Study

Based on the analysis conducted in the study, several important findings emerge.

- The study finds that private sector housing finance companies demonstrate higher profitability compared to public sector companies. This is reflected in higher ROE and ROA levels.
- Return on Equity analysis indicates that private sector companies generate higher returns for shareholders. This suggests stronger financial performance and better management efficiency.
- Public sector housing finance companies demonstrate relatively stable performance across the study period. This indicates lower volatility and consistent financial performance.
- The study also finds that profitability declined during the pandemic period but improved during the recovery period. This indicates resilience of the housing finance sector.
- Asset growth trends indicate increasing housing finance demand and expansion of lending operations. This suggests positive growth prospects for the housing finance industry.
- The leverage analysis indicates that companies maintain balanced capital structures. Despite high borrowing levels, companies maintain profitability, indicating efficient financial management.
- The study also finds that operational efficiency and cost management play an important role in determining financial performance.

Overall, the findings indicate that both public and private sector companies have important roles in the housing finance ecosystem.

Conclusion

The present study examined the financial performance of selected housing finance companies in India during the period 2018–2024 using key financial ratios related to profitability, efficiency, and leverage. The analysis indicates that private sector housing finance companies generally demonstrate better profitability and operational efficiency compared to public sector companies. This difference may be attributed to factors such as more aggressive business strategies, better cost management practices, faster decision-making processes, and a stronger focus on high-yield lending segments. On the other hand, public sector housing finance companies show relatively stable and consistent financial performance, reflecting their conservative lending policies and lower risk appetite. These institutions continue to play a crucial role in promoting infrastructure development and affordable housing, thereby supporting the broader socio-economic objectives of the country.

The study further finds that the housing finance sector has shown considerable resilience during the study period despite facing major challenges such as the NBFC liquidity crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic. Although these events temporarily affected lending activities and asset quality, the sector has demonstrated recovery through improved financial indicators in the later years. The comparative analysis highlights that both public and private sector housing finance companies make significant contributions to the sector, with private companies driving profitability and efficiency while public companies contribute towards financial stability and inclusive housing development. Overall, the findings suggest that the housing finance sector holds strong future growth potential due to factors such as rapid urbanization, increasing income levels, rising demand for housing, supportive government policies, and expanding access to housing finance, all of which are expected to strengthen the sector in the coming years.

Limitations and Scope for Future Research

The study has certain limitations which should be considered while interpreting the results.

- The study is limited to four housing finance companies and may not represent the entire housing finance sector. Inclusion of additional companies could provide broader insights.
- The study relies entirely on secondary data collected from annual reports. Any limitations in reported data may affect analysis results.
- The study focuses only on financial ratios and does not include qualitative factors such as management quality, governance practices, customer profile, and competitive strategies.
- Differences in company size may also affect comparability. Larger companies may demonstrate different performance characteristics compared to smaller firms.

- The study covers the period 2018–2024. A longer time horizon may provide deeper insights into long-term performance trends.
- Future research may expand the scope by including more housing finance companies and additional financial ratios. Future studies may also examine the impact of regulatory changes and macroeconomic factors.
- Further research may also include asset quality analysis using GNPA and NNPA indicators. Market-based indicators such as price-to-book ratio and earnings per share may also be included.

References

1. Bajaj Housing Finance Limited. (2018–2024). *Annual Reports*. Available at: [Bajaj Housing Finance Annual Reports](#)
2. HUDCO (Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd.). (2018–2024). *Annual Reports*. Available at: <https://hudco.org.in> (Investor Relations Section)
3. LIC Housing Finance Limited. (2018–2024). *Annual Reports*. Available at: [LIC Housing Finance Annual Reports](#)
4. PNB Housing Finance Limited. (2018–2024). *Annual Reports*. Available at: [PNB Housing Finance Annual Reports](#)
5. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Regulatory Framework for Housing Finance Companies*. Available at: <https://www.rbi.org.in>
6. National Housing Bank. (2024). *Report on Trend and Progress of Housing Finance in India*. Available at: [NHB Annual Report](#)
7. Sharma, R. (2021). *Financial Performance Analysis of Housing Finance Companies*. International Journal of Research in Finance and Management.
8. Government of India. (2022). *Housing Sector Policies and Affordable Housing Initiatives*. Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs.
9. PNB Housing Finance Limited – Company Overview. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PNB_Housing_Finance
10. LIC Housing Finance Limited – Company Profile. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LIC_Housing_Finance
11. Bajaj Finance Limited – Corporate Structure and Housing Finance Operations. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bajaj_Finance.

