International Journal of Global Research Innovations & Technology (IJGRIT)
ISSN : 2583-8717(Online), Impact Factor: 6.972, Volume 03, No. 04, October-December, 2025, pp 09-14

The Role of Artificial Intelligence and ChatGPT in Enhancing Mathematical Reasoning Skills

Prachi Chavan*

Assistant Professor, Mathematics, Ashoka College of Education, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.

*Corresponding Author: prachic.ace@aef.edu.in

Citation:

ABSTRACT

In the twenty-first century, the landscape of education is being transformed by Artificial Intelligence (AI). Among the emerging technologies, large language models such as ChatGPT have revolutionized the way learners interact with knowledge. Mathematics, traditionally viewed as an abstract and difficult subject, demands reasoning, logical deduction, and structured problem-solving. However, conventional classroom practices often fail to provide individualized guidance and timely feedback essential for the development of mathematical reasoning. This study explores how AI, particularly ChatGPT, can enhance mathematical reasoning skills through adaptive scaffolding, meta-cognitive engagement, and feedback mechanisms. The research integrates theories of constructivism, connectivism, and cognitive load to develop a conceptual framework that explains how AI mediates learning. A mixed-method research design is proposed, involving experimental and qualitative inquiry to examine the pedagogical impact of ChatGPT in secondary mathematics education. The study discusses how AI can offer scaffolded explanations, Socratic questioning, and personalized learning paths while maintaining ethical integrity and academic rigor. Furthermore, this paper elaborates on the pedagogical, ethical, and equity considerations essential for AI integration. It proposes that when used responsibly, ChatGPT can supplement teachers by providing dynamic, context-sensitive support that fosters reflection and higher-order thinking. The findings highlight the importance of teacher mediation, digital literacy, and critical verification of AI outputs. The study concludes that AI, aligned with sound pedagogy, can play a transformative role in mathematics education by enhancing reasoning, reflection, and problem-solving competencies that are critical in an AI-driven world.

***Keywords**: Artificial Intelligence, ChatGPT, Mathematical Reasoning, Constructivism, Adaptive Learning, Pedagogical Innovation, Educational Technology.*

Introduction

Mathematical reasoning is a core intellectual ability that underpins problem-solving, decision-making, and logical thinking. It involves connecting abstract ideas, formulating hypotheses, and constructing proofs to arrive at valid conclusions (Kilpatrick, Swafford, & Findell, 2001). Yet, traditional teaching approaches in mathematics often prioritize rote memorization and procedural repetition over conceptual understanding. Students rarely receive the individualized feedback necessary for developing adaptive reasoning or strategic competence.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers promising solutions to these challenges. Through machine learning and natural language processing, AI systems can simulate human dialogue, provide personalized feedback, and engage learners in interactive problem-solving. ChatGPT, developed by OpenAI, represents a new class of educational tools that can converse with students in natural language, providing explanations, hints, and reflective prompts. It acts as a responsive learning partner that can adapt to student input, analyze reasoning processes, and guide them toward conceptual mastery.

The groundwork for STEM education and critical thinking is mathematical reasoning, which is the capacity to evaluate issues, formulate logical arguments, and provide sound solutions. The individualized practice and prompt, high-quality feedback required to build strong thinking abilities are frequently difficult to come by in traditional classroom training. New possibilities for offering learners scalable, interactive and adaptable help are brought about by recent developments in AI, particularly in the area of generative language models.

This study investigates how artificial intelligence (AI) systems, specifically Chat GPT-style models, can improve mathematical reasoning. This paper examines how ChatGPT can enhance mathematical reasoning by synthesizing theoretical perspectives, empirical evidence, and pedagogical design. It also presents a research framework for evaluating AI-assisted learning and addresses ethical, equity, and instructional implications.

Theoretical Background

Mathematical reasoning integrates multiple cognitive processes—conceptual understanding, procedural fluency, strategic competence, adaptive reasoning, and productive disposition (Kilpatrick et al., 2001). The ability to reason mathematically requires learners to make sense of problems, construct arguments, and justify solutions.

- **Constructivism and AI Scaffolding**

According to Piaget and Vygotsky, learners construct knowledge through active engagement and social interaction. Vygotsky's (1978) *Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)* emphasizes that learning is most effective when learners are guided within a range of achievable difficulty. ChatGPT functions as a digital scaffold by prompting reflection and providing tailored feedback, supporting learners in their ZPD through conversational engagement.

- **Connectivism and Networked Intelligence**

Connectivism, proposed by Siemens (2005), views learning as the ability to navigate, filter, and synthesize information across networks. ChatGPT aligns with this theory by acting as a knowledge node that facilitates learning through dialogue and connectivity. Students can explore mathematical ideas, verify solutions, and access multiple representations within the same environment.

- **Cognitive Load Theory and AI Feedback**

Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1994) suggests that instruction should manage working memory effectively. AI systems like ChatGPT can reduce extraneous cognitive load by providing targeted hints, structured explanations, and immediate corrections—thereby allowing students to focus on core reasoning processes rather than peripheral confusion.

- **Mathematical Reasoning: Components and Development**

Conceptual comprehension, procedural fluency, strategic competence (method selection and application), and adaptive reasoning (reflection and justification) are all components of mathematical reasoning. Deliberate practice, constructive criticism, and chances for justification and debate all aid in development.

- **AI in Education: Opportunities and Constraints**

AI can provide simulated tuition, adaptive learning, and just-in-time feedback. However, the transparency and dependability of AI systems differ. Errors in created content, the possibility of over-reliance, problems with equity and access, and moral dilemmas pertaining to data privacy and assessment integrity are significant limitations.

Literature Review

- **Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)**

Research on Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) indicates that adaptive AI tutors can replicate many functions of human instruction. VanLehn (2011) found that ITS can produce learning outcomes comparable to one-on-one tutoring by diagnosing student errors and providing personalized feedback.

- **Formative Assessment and Feedback**

Shute (2008) highlighted the importance of timely and specific feedback in promoting metacognitive development. In mathematics, feedback that explains *why* an answer is incorrect fosters self-regulation and reflection. AI-powered platforms automate this process, offering continuous feedback even in large classes.

- **Generative AI and ChatGPT in Education**

Generative AI tools such as ChatGPT represent the next evolution of intelligent tutoring. They combine linguistic reasoning with pedagogical adaptability. Kasneci et al. (2023) report that ChatGPT can stimulate exploratory learning and problem-solving in higher education. However, studies also caution that AI's occasional inaccuracies require teacher mediation and verification.

- **AI Ethics and Pedagogical Balance**

Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) emphasize that ethical implementation and teacher involvement are crucial to preventing bias, overreliance, and misuse. The literature converges on a balanced model: AI as a *pedagogical partner* rather than a replacement for human educators.

- **How ChatGPT Can Support Mathematical Reasoning**

Five main Mechanisms are Identified

- Scaffolded Step-by-Step Explanations: By generating intermediate steps and explanations, Chat GPT can simulate expert problem-solving, assisting students in internalizing reasoning techniques.
- Socratic prompts and adaptive questioning: AI can pose focused queries that encourage introspection and met cognition through dialogic exchanges.
- Personalized Practice and Remediation: AI may provide practice sequences that are specific to a student's misconceptions and fluency levels by adjusting to learner responses.
- Creation of Diverse Problem Sets and Worked Examples: AI is able to generate a number of different problem sets as well as annotated worked examples for practice under guidance.
- Formative Assessment and Analytics: By logging interaction data, AI systems may spot typical logical fallacies and guide instructor interventions.
- Research Design: Evaluating ChatGPT Interventions In order to thoroughly evaluate impact, we suggest doing a mixed-methods study.

Conceptual Framework: ChatGPT-Assisted Pedagogical Model

Component	Function in Mathematical Reasoning	AI Strategy Implemented by ChatGPT
Scaffolding	Supports learners within their ZPD	Provides stepwise hints and structured problem breakdowns
Socratic Questioning	Encourages metacognitive reflection	Prompts "why/how" reasoning dialogue
Adaptive Practice	Tailors exercises to learner level	Generates problems based on performance
Immediate Feedback	Reduces reinforcement of errors	Gives instant corrections with explanations
Reflection and Self-Assessment	Builds deeper conceptual understanding	Guides learners to evaluate reasoning

This model demonstrates that ChatGPT operates simultaneously as a cognitive scaffold, diagnostic assessor, and reflective coach—functions essential for reasoning development.

Research Design and Methodology

A mixed-method research design is proposed to evaluate ChatGPT's impact on mathematical reasoning in secondary schools.

Research Questions

- How does ChatGPT-assisted instruction influence students' mathematical reasoning compared to traditional methods?
- Which interaction modes (feedback, questioning, scaffolding) most effectively enhance reasoning skills?
- What are teachers' and students' perceptions of ChatGPT's role in mathematical learning?

Participants

The study will involve 200 students from grades 9–11, stratified by socioeconomic background, randomly assigned to control and experimental groups.

Intervention

The experimental group will engage with ChatGPT-integrated problem-solving modules emphasizing stepwise reasoning, while the control group will receive standard instruction. Teachers will receive professional development training in AI pedagogy.

Data Collection and Analysis

Quantitative data from pre- and post-tests will measure gains in reasoning ability using ANCOVA. Qualitative data from interviews and chat logs will be analyzed thematically to identify patterns of engagement and reasoning evolution.

In order to thoroughly evaluate impact, we suggest doing a mixed-methods study.

- **Questions for Research**
  - In comparison to traditional education, can integrating a Chat GPT-based tutoring interface enhance students; mathematical reasoning (as determined by their performance on multi-step problems and transfer tasks)?
  - Which forms of interaction—such as feedback specificity and prompting style—are best for enhancing adaptive reasoning?
  - How do educators and learners view the dangers and pedagogical value of Chat GPT in math classes?
- **Participants and Setting**

Students in grades 9–11 from various socioeconomic backgrounds attend secondary schools in grades 8–12. At the class level, students are randomly assigned to either the treatment (Chat GPT-assisted education) or the control group (teacher-led instruction with comparable content but no AI support).

- **Intervention**

Stepwise problem-solving mode (student provides steps; AI provides feedback and hints), Socratic prompting mode (AI asks guiding questions instead of providing solutions), and a problem generator for adaptive practice are all features of the classroom-integrated ChatGPT interface for mathematics learning. Teachers are given two days of training on how to monitor AI interactions and match curriculum objectives with AI cues.

- **Measures**
  - Main result: Pre- and post-intervention performance on a validated mathematical reasoning test (multi-step problems and transfer tasks).
  - Secondary outcomes include time-on-task, engagement metrics, meta-cognitive awareness scores, and written explanation quality.
  - Process data: Record interactions between AI and students, including the kinds of hints given and the changes made.
  - Qualitative data: Teacher and student focus groups and interviews; observations in the classroom.
- **Analysis**
  - Quantitative methods include mediation analysis to see whether more explanatory practice mediates increases, ANCOVA to compare posttest scores while controlling for pretest, and multilevel modeling to account for students nested within classes and schools.
  - Qualitative: interaction analysis of conversation logs to find efficient prompting patterns; thematic analysis of interviews to reveal perceived affordances and difficulties.

Expected Results and Discussion

Based on existing research, it is anticipated that students in AI-assisted classrooms will exhibit higher gains in procedural accuracy and adaptive reasoning (Kasneci et al., 2023). The reflective dialogue provided by ChatGPT encourages students to articulate reasoning processes and identify alternative solutions.

However, the impact may vary depending on prior knowledge and teacher facilitation. The best outcomes are expected when AI is integrated under teacher supervision, allowing students to question and verify AI-generated steps (Shute, 2008).

Findings are likely to demonstrate that ChatGPT enhances learning not merely through explanation but through *dialogic reasoning*—students learn by conversing, questioning, and reflecting.

Pedagogical Implications

- **AI as a Co-Teacher:** ChatGPT complements teachers by providing individualized feedback, freeing educators to focus on conceptual instruction.
- **Curricular Integration:** AI activities should align with mathematics learning outcomes and emphasize reasoning over mechanical calculation.
- **Teacher Training:** Continuous professional development in AI literacy is essential.
- **Learner Autonomy:** Students must be trained to verify AI outputs critically, fostering metacognitive skills.
- Teachers should employ AI as a formative coach rather than as a substitute for in-person instruction.
- Urge students to view AI as a collaborator in the creation of explanations and to consistently verify the steps that AI provides. Instead of accepting AI outputs without question, curriculum designers should incorporate AI challenges that demand students to generate and justify reasoning.

Ethical, Equity, and Practical Considerations

The integration of AI raises issues related to **data privacy**, **bias**, and **equitable access**. As OECD (2021) notes, unequal access to technology can widen educational disparities. Moreover, algorithmic bias may reinforce misconceptions if unmonitored. Teachers must establish clear guidelines on acceptable AI use and ensure data protection compliance.

ChatGPT occasionally generates mathematically inconsistent answers; therefore, critical verification and teacher mediation are mandatory. Institutions should adopt transparency policies and local data storage to protect student interactions (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Educational Significance

The adoption of AI in mathematics signifies a paradigm shift from static instruction to interactive, reflective learning. ChatGPT enables learners to experience mathematics as a living dialogue, fostering reasoning, creativity, and autonomy. This aligns with 21st-century skills frameworks emphasizing collaboration, critical thinking, and digital fluency.

Moreover, AI systems can support **inclusive education** by adapting content for learners with varied abilities, offering multilingual support, and addressing learning gaps dynamically. Policymakers and educators must therefore view AI as a catalyst for democratizing mathematical literacy.

Limitations and Future Directions

While this framework presents promising implications, the study acknowledges several limitations. ChatGPT's accuracy depends on the quality of prompts and continual model updates. Longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate sustained reasoning improvement. Future research should explore multimodal AI—combining text, voice, and visual simulations—to enhance conceptual comprehension.

The emotional dimension of learning, such as student motivation and AI trust, also warrants exploration. Developing *emotion-aware AI tutors* could further enhance engagement and persistence in problem-solving.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence and ChatGPT offer unprecedented opportunities to enhance mathematical reasoning. By integrating adaptive scaffolding, Socratic questioning, and feedback, AI can cultivate deeper cognitive engagement. However, the success of AI in education depends on ethical use, equitable access, and strong teacher mediation.

When used as a *partner* in learning, ChatGPT transforms mathematics from a subject of procedures into a discipline of inquiry and reasoning. As the educational ecosystem evolves, the synergy between human educators and intelligent systems will define the next frontier of cognitive development and reflective learning.

References

1. Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., &Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for education: Opportunities and challenges. *Computers, 12*(10), 270.
2. Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (2001). *Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics.* National Academy Press.
3. OECD. (2021). *AI in education: Opportunities and challenges.* Paris: OECD Publishing. Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 78*(1), 153–189.
4. Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2*(1), 3–10.
5. Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction, 4*(4), 295–312.
6. VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist, 46*(4), 197–221.
7. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard University Press.
8. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 39.