

A Comprehensive Analysis of Data Utilization by HR Professionals: Implications for Strategic Decision-Making

Dr. Vilas Kulkarni^{1*} | Priti Jitendra Marwah²

¹Professor, Post Graduate Department of Business Management, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Anand, Gujarat, India.

²Research Scholar, Post Graduate Department of Business Management, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Anand, Gujarat, India.

*Corresponding Author: priti5161@gmail.com

Citation: Kulkarni, V., & Marwah, P. (2025). *A Comprehensive Analysis of Data Utilization by HR Professionals: Implications for Strategic Decision-Making*. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science*, 08(03(II)), 250–262. [https://doi.org/10.62823/ijarcms/8.3\(ii\).8022](https://doi.org/10.62823/ijarcms/8.3(ii).8022)

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the adoption of Human Resource (HR) technologies among implementors in Gujarat, India, with a focus on strategic decision-making, compliance, and workforce analytics. Drawing on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Resource-Based View (RBV), the research explores how training, perceived usefulness, and organizational readiness influence technology uptake. Using a mixed-methods approach, including survey data and logistic regression analysis, the study identifies significant associations between training levels and confidence in data compliance, as well as between HR tech usage and strategic HR outcomes. Findings reveal that while operational HR functions are widely digitized, strategic applications remain underutilized, particularly in small and medium enterprises. The study also highlights sectoral disparities, with BFSI and manufacturing firms showing higher adoption rates. This study recognises certain limitations, most notably the possibility of sampling bias and the restricted dataset available for regression analysis. In its final section, the paper distils key policy and managerial insights, the importance of customised training schemes, sector tailored digital adoption plans, and inclusive HR technology policies that address the realities of informal and gig economy work. By grounding its discussion in empirical evidence from emerging markets, the research adds depth to the conversation on digital transformation in HR and advances a practical framework for aligning HR technology initiatives with strategic objectives.

Keywords: Human Resource, Decision-Making, TAM, RBV, BFSI.

Introduction

In the contemporary corporate landscape, the role of human resources (HR) professionals has evolved significantly, extending beyond the traditional administrative and compliance-focused functions. Today, they are key strategic partners, directly impacting an organization's adaptability and growth trajectory. This paradigm shift is largely attributable to the pervasive influence of technology, which has fundamentally redefined all facets of the employee journey—from initial recruitment and onboarding to ongoing training, performance evaluation, and career progression. For HR to effectively contribute to a company's success and responsiveness, it is imperative for them to possess a high degree of proficiency in these digital tools—a competency known as digital literacy.

This study delves into the confluence of technology and HR, with a specific focus on organizations operating within Gujarat, India. It aims to meticulously examine the ways in which digital solutions are embedded into routine HR operations and to quantitatively and qualitatively assess their influence on overall organizational performance. By concentrating on this distinct geographical and economic context, the research seeks to uncover areas where current scholarly literature is limited, thereby generating novel and practical insights. These findings will illuminate how digitally astute HR teams can serve as a catalyst for business prosperity in an increasingly dynamic and competitive environment.

The investigation is structured around the following central research questions:

- How have HR professionals in Gujarat, India, adopted and utilized data analysis and IT to support their functions?
- What is the perceived impact of data-driven HR practices on strategic decision-making and organizational performance in these organizations?
- What are the primary challenges and opportunities associated with the implementation of HR technology in the unique cultural and economic context of Gujarat?

Conceptual Bridge

The integration of HR technology into strategic decision-making processes is underpinned by both the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Resource-Based View (RBV). TAM posits that perceived usefulness and ease of use drive technology adoption; in this study, implementors who rated HR tools as useful were significantly more likely to report confidence in data compliance and strategic workforce planning.

This aligns with the empirical finding that training exposure an enabler of perceived usefulness correlates strongly with adoption patterns across sectors in Gujarat. Simultaneously, RBV frames HR technology as a strategic asset when it enhances organizational capabilities. Firms demonstrating higher levels of HR tech integration also reported improved talent analytics and decision-making agility, suggesting that digital HR systems are not merely operational tools but contributors to sustained competitive advantage. Thus, the study bridges TAM's behavioural lens with RBV's strategic orientation, showing that perceived usefulness catalyses adoption, while adoption itself unlocks strategic value particularly in sectors like BFSI and manufacturing where digital maturity is higher.

Literature Review

This research builds upon existing academic literature by providing a comprehensive analysis of the evolution of HR technology, the theoretical frameworks that explain its adoption, and the identified gaps in current research, particularly within the context of emerging economies like India.

- **Evolution of HR Technology:** The historical trajectory of HR technology can be segmented into distinct periods, each characterized by transformative technological advancements. The pre-digital era (prior to the 1980s) was dominated by manual, paper-based processes and clerical HR functions (Bondarouk & Ruel, 2009). The introduction of personal computers and local area networks in the 1980s and early 1990s marked a shift towards more digitized, albeit often isolated, HR systems. The transition from centralized mainframe systems to client-server architectures marked a gradual technological shift, though in regions such as Gujarat, uptake remained uneven and relatively slow (Aryan & Sharma, 2018). The subsequent phase, spanning the 1990s to the early 2000s, was transformative: the advent of internet connectivity and web-based platforms ushered in e-HRM solutions and employee self-service portals, enabling HR to evolve from a predominantly administrative function into a strategic contributor (Lengnick-Hall & Moritz, 2003). From the 2010s onward, the rise of cloud computing and mobile technologies has brought scalable, real-time HR systems into mainstream use (Marler & Boudreau, 2017). AI and machine learning are being used in advanced analytics for things like predicting employee turnover, mapping skills, and acquiring talent. Recently, companies have also begun to experiment with cutting-edge technologies such as blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and virtual reality (VR). Pilot programs are testing how blockchain can secure payroll, how IoT sensors can monitor the workplace in real time, and how VR can create immersive training experiences for employees.

Expanding upon these preliminary experiments, numerous entities are investigating additional digital instruments. Organizations are implementing AI-driven chatbots for candidate screening, employing robotic process automation (RPA) for the streamlining of benefits administration, and leveraging sophisticated analytical tools for forecasting employee turnover. This indicates a future in which human resource management will integrate technological advancements with human-centered approaches.

Preliminary research on the integration of HR technologies identifies a few key pillars upon which the technologies are integrated within organizations. At the core of the framework is the pioneering work of Fred Davis on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which was introduced in 1989. TAM suggests that two core beliefs shape people's decisions about new systems: one, whether the tool will genuinely boost their job performance, and two, whether it will feel easy and intuitive to use. Numerous studies over several decades have consistently shown that the successful implementation of new Human Resources (HR) technology is highly dependent on two key factors: employees' perception of a clear benefit and their belief in a low learning curve. When these two conditions are met, HR tech rollouts are generally successful and widely adopted. Conversely, if employees doubt the usefulness of the system or perceive it as difficult to learn, adoption tends to stall. Systems that are intuitive to use and offer tangible advantages are far more likely to be embraced and integrated smoothly into daily workflows (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh & Davis, 2000). The confluence of user-friendliness and perceived value is a critical determinant of whether new technologies will be accepted and utilized effectively within an organization.

- **Resource-Based View (RBV):** According to Barney's (1991) Resource-Based View (RBV), a company can secure long-term competitive advantage when it holds and leverages resources that are valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and non-substitutable. In this light, HR technology should be seen not just as a functional tool, but as a strategic asset. When aligned seamlessly with an organization's distinctive processes, such technology can drive advantages that are hard for rivals to match facilitating stronger talent acquisition, optimizing workforce planning, and fostering a data-driven culture that is both unique and resilient (Snell et al., 2002).
- **Socio-Technical Systems Theory (STS):** The socio-technical systems (STS) approach, first developed through the work of Trist (1981) at the Tavistock Institute, stresses the mutual dependence between an organization's social elements such as people, roles, and culture and its technical components, including technology, equipment, and processes. As Chermis (1976) noted, true effectiveness arises only when these two subsystems are optimized together rather than in isolation. Applied to HR technology, STS highlights the need for participatory design and robust change-management practices, ensuring that new digital tools integrate smoothly with established communication networks, team interactions, and decision-making structures. This alignment helps minimize resistance while enhancing the overall impact and sustainability of the system.

Research Gaps

The review of existing literature reveals several notable gaps that this research seeks to address. Although HR technology has been extensively studied in Western settings, there is a clear shortage of targeted investigations focusing on regions such as Gujarat, India (Alomari, 2019; Gigauro, 2020). This study intends to bridge that gap by examining the region's distinctive industrial environment, cultural norms, and the specific obstacles organizations face when adopting new technologies. Furthermore, much of the current scholarship emphasizes managerial viewpoints, leaving limited insight into how employees themselves perceive and experience the adoption and impact of HR technology (Leonardi et al., 2012). Lastly, while emerging tools such as artificial intelligence and blockchain are frequently discussed in theory, there remains a pressing need for empirical studies that explore their real-world application, associated challenges, and ethical considerations within specific regional contexts (Tambe et al., 2019; Dastin, 2018).

Research Methodology

This research follows a parallel convergent mixed-methods design (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017), gathering qualitative and quantitative data side by side. By running interviews, focus groups, and surveys in tandem, the study weaves together rich first-hand accounts with solid numerical trends something a single-method study simply cannot match. The result is a deeper, more balanced perspective on how technology is reshaping HRM practices in organizations across Gujarat, India.

Research Design

The study tapped into both numbers and narratives at once, using a parallel convergent mixed-methods design (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017). To gather data, we deployed a mixed-methods approach. For the quantitative part, we created a descriptive survey to measure the adoption rate, usage patterns, and perceived effectiveness of HR technology. This allowed us to map out the landscape with hard numbers.

Simultaneously, we integrated open-ended questions into the same survey. This qualitative element gave HR professionals and employees the opportunity to provide detailed, first-hand accounts of their experiences.

Initially, we analysed these two datasets separately—statistical trends from the quantitative data and personal narratives from the qualitative responses. Afterward, we brought them together in a process called triangulation. This allowed the quantitative data to either support or challenge the qualitative insights, and the qualitative data to provide context and depth to the statistics. By combining these two perspectives, we were able to develop a more comprehensive and credible understanding of how technology is influencing HR management practices.

Data Collection

The study relied on a single, versatile questionnaire that blended closed-ended items for crisp, comparable metrics with open-ended prompts to capture personal insights. Its sections walked participants through core HR activities recruitment, employee training, performance evaluation, and succession planning while also probing how deeply technology has been integrated and how respondents perceive its real-world impact. The target population was HR professionals/HR implementers from the organizations in Gujarat. A convenience sampling method was used to select a diverse range of participants from different industries and company sizes.

Statistical Analysis

To analyse the quantitative data, descriptive statistics (e.g., frequencies, means, standard deviations) was be to summarize demographic information and key survey responses. Inferential statistics, such as t-tests, ANOVA, and regression analysis, were used to test the research hypotheses and identify significant relationships between variables. For example, regression analysis was used to determine the extent to which the use of HR technology predicts organizational performance or strategic decision-making effectiveness.

Sampling Strategy

This study employed a convenience sampling strategy, primarily due to logistical constraints and the need for timely access to HR implementors across diverse organizational settings in Gujarat. The sample size consisted of 100 HR professionals/HR implementers. While convenience sampling may limit generalizability, it enabled the inclusion of a broad cross-section of industries such as BFSI, manufacturing, education, and healthcare thereby enhancing sectoral representation. To mitigate potential bias, efforts were made to ensure geographic dispersion and organizational diversity, including outreach to both urban and peri-urban firms and a mix of small, medium, and large enterprises. Additionally, the sampling frame prioritized respondents directly involved in HR technology implementation, ensuring relevance and depth of insight. Although the non-probabilistic nature of the sample restricts inferential robustness, the findings offer valuable exploratory insights into adoption patterns and strategic alignment in HR tech usage. Future studies may benefit from stratified or random sampling to validate and extend these results across broader geographies and organizational types.

Ethical Considerations

All research procedures adhered to ethical considerations regarding data privacy, confidentiality, and informed consent. Participants were provided with a consent form outlining the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of their participation, and the assurance that their responses would be kept anonymous and confidential. All data was handled in accordance with the ethical protocols of the academic institution. The study acknowledges its limitations, primarily that the findings from the selected organizations in Gujarat may not be fully generalizable to all companies in all regions. The scope of the study is limited by its focus on a specific geographical area and a particular set of industries, which may influence the observed trends in technology adoption.

Findings

Table 1: Age Group of the respondents

Age Group	Count	Percentage (%)
More than 25 and less than 35	51	51.0
More than 18 and less than 25	27	27.0
More than 35 and less than 45	21	21.0
More than 45 and less than 55	1	1.0

The majority of respondents (51%) were between 25 and 35 years old, indicating that the survey primarily captured the perspective of mid-career HR professionals. This age group was often at the forefront of implementing new technologies and dealing with the day-to-day challenges of modern HR management. The study tapped into these first-hand accounts to pinpoint exactly where HR tech delivers and where it still hits snags. Bringing together voices from fresh faces (18–25) and seasoned pros (35+) gave us a multi-generational view of how people at different stages in their careers perceive and use digital tools.

Table 2: Gender of the Respondents

Gender	Count	Percentage (%)
Female	52	52.0
Male	48	48.0

The study received responses from nearly equal numbers of male and female HR professionals, ensuring balanced insights from both groups. This gender parity kept our findings from being dominated by one perspective, offering a truer reflection of diversity within the HR workforce.

Table 3: Distribution of Respondents by Industry/Sector

Industry/Sector	Percentage (%)
IT/Software	19.0
Banking, Financial Services & Insurance (BFSI)	18.0
Manufacturing	13.0
Education/Academia	7.0
Food Processing/FMCG	5.0
Healthcare/Hospitals	5.0
Energy & Utilities (Oil & Gas, Power)	5.0
Engineering	4.0
Retail	3.0
Pharmaceutical	3.0
Government/Public Sector	3.0
Apparel/Textile	2.0
RPO	1.0
Offshoring/Outsourcing	1.0
CSR	1.0
Operations	1.0
NBFC	1.0
Museum Development	1.0
FinTech	2.0
Business consulting	1.0
Building material	1.0
Academics	1.0
Construction & Real Estate	1.0
Print Media	1.0

To paint a complete picture, the researchers reached out to HR teams in IT and software firms, the banking, financial services, and insurance (BFSI) sector, and manufacturing companies. Each of these industries brings its own set of HR tech priorities and roadblocks what works for a tech start-up might not translate to a factory floor or a bank's back office. By listening to voices across such different environments, the study was able to tease out how sector-specific demands and operating realities shape the way organizations adopt and use HR technologies.

Table 4: Distribution of Respondents by Company Size

Company Size	Percentage (%)
5,000 and above	22.0
200 – 499	20.0
1,000 – 4,999	20.0
Less than 50	17.0
50 – 199	16.0
500 – 999	5.0

Organization Size Spectrum

The study tapped into voices from both sprawling corporations and lean small-to-mid-sized businesses. That range helped to how tech adoption and its hurdles shift as headcounts climb or shrink. For example, a company with over 5,000 employees can afford a full-fledged HRIS team, while a 50-person start-up often entrusts all HR duties to a single generalist or sometimes even the founder.

Table 5: Distribution of Respondents by Company Size

Total Work Experience	Percentage (%)
More than 2 and less than 5 years	37.0
More than 5 and less than 10 years	23.0
0–2 years	16.0
More than 10 and less than 15 years	14.0
More than 15 years	10.0

Experience Levels

Most of our respondents ranged in the 2–10-year range, meaning they have likely seen at least one full HR tech rollout from pilot to daily use. This cohort brings just the right blend of hands-on familiarity they are more adaptable than long-tenured execs but carry deeper know-how than brand-new hires.

Beyond simply mapping tenure, the study can layer in how experience bands correlate with technology preferences and training needs. For example, the 2–5-year group might champion mobile-first HR apps, while the 6–10-year pros lean toward comprehensive enterprise systems insights that could help tailor rollout strategies by career stage.

Table 6: Top HR Systems Used

HR System	Percentage
SAP SuccessFactors	18
Workday	14
Oracle HCM	11
Namely	6
Optimum HRIS	5
Keka	4
factoHR	4
People Strong	3
Odoo	3
ADP	3

Survey results revealed that large-scale HR platforms such as SAP SuccessFactors, Workday, and Oracle HCM were the most commonly implemented across participating organizations, underscoring both their strong market presence and the breadth of their capabilities. The variety of HR solutions, including platforms like Keka, factoHR, and Odoo, shows that the market is diverse and not dominated by a single option. Companies select their HR technology by carefully weighing their operational needs, organizational size, and budget constraints.

Table 7: HR Functions Supported by Technology

HR Function	Percentage
Performance Management	18
Recruitment and Selection	18
Employee Data Management (HRIS)	18
Compensation and Benefits	16
Onboarding and Offboarding	16
Training and Development	14

The findings of this survey depict that when businesses introduce new HR technology, it is most frequently applied to recruitment and performance management. These two functions are integral not only for the operational continuity but for the development of the future talent pipeline as well. Almost all survey participants indicated that handling and accessing employee data was streamlined through a centralized Human Resources Information System (HRIS). This validates the HRIS as a singular, consistent source of employee data.

With respect to activities beyond the core functions, there is considerable enthusiasm for the automation of the entire employee lifecycle. For example, document generation for onboarding and offboarding is now common, and automation of pay and benefits systems is attracting considerable interest. Such systems define and standardize each phase of the employee journey, minimizing the need for manual interventions and promoting consistency across the organization.

Table 8: Primary Benefits of HR Technology

Benefit	Percentage
Transparency in HR processes	18
Improved employee performance tracking	18
Faster recruitment process	18
Reduced administrative workload	18
Better workforce planning & analytics	15
Enhanced employee self-service capabilities	14

Based on data collected as of October 2023, HR technology offers two key benefits, as participants acknowledged: simplification of admin functions which enhances efficiency, and improved analytic capabilities which provide strategic insight through performance measurement and visibility. The fact that these benefits are of similar value indicates that organizations no longer regard these systems merely as automation tools for basic functions. They are considered vital for informed decision-making at the intersection of real-time talent management and longer-term organizational goals.

Table 9: Training Methods to Encourage Adoption

Training Method	Percentage (%)
In-person workshops	23
Webinars	22
E-learning modules	21
User manuals	21
Peer mentoring	14

Many institutions have recently integrated hybrid training models that merge traditional workshops with distance training seminars and self-directed online courses. This combination enables employees to practice and gain confidence with new HR applications. Considering that individuals learn in diverse ways, this integrated approach that combines live, participatory teaching with asynchronous training enhancement serves training purposes well. It accommodates a dispersed labor force that has a range of availability and diverse methods of absorbing content.

Table 10: Incentives Used for System Adoption

Incentive	Percentage (%)
Recognition or awards	28.40
Financial incentives/bonuses	22.84
Extra paid time off	17.90
Public acknowledgment	16.67
No incentives used	14.20

Many organizations used non-financial forms of motivation such as public recognition or awards as means of persuading employees to embrace new systems. While monetary incentives in the form of financial bonuses served as strong motivators too, the combination of the two offered the companies the chances to appreciate the value and interplay of intrinsic forms of recognition and extrinsic rewards and how they synergistically increase adoption of the new systems. However, almost a quarter of the companies surveyed at 23% did not provide any form of motivation. Such a reward system deficit would understandably increase the difficulty of the employees' cooperation and the organizational change their adoption of the new systems would entail.

Table 11: Top Challenges with HR Technology

Challenge	Percentage
Data security/privacy concerns	14
Integration with other systems	14
User adoption and resistance to change	13
High implementation or maintenance costs	11
Lack of customization/flexibility	11
Poor vendor support	9
Mobile accessibility issues	8
Limited reporting/analytics capabilities	7
Resistance to maintain data in various formats	7
Duplication of data	6

Across all domains—strategy, finance, and technology—organizations face complicated issues when executing new HR technology. A key focus among these organizations was the governance and compliance of systems, and, above all, the liability of any data breaches issues.

Concerns over compliance, system governance, and the liability of data breaches are only a few of the issues that make change in HR technology a complex endeavor. Complexity demands active, widespread participation at all levels of the organization.

Table 12: Key Barriers to HR Technology Integration Across Sampled Firms

Challenge	Percentage (%)
Data migration issues	14
Time-consuming processes	14
Lack of technical support	13
High integration costs	12
Insufficient internal IT expertise	11
Vendor limitations	10
Incompatibility with legacy systems	9
Change management difficulties	9
Poor data quality or mapping	8
Poor communication between us and the vendors	0

When organizations adopt new systems, they often encounter two primary challenges: delays in data migration and extended rollout periods for new systems. The persistence of such challenges indicates the substantial difficulties, both technical and logistical, in transferring data from legacy systems to new systems.

Moreover, several organizations have identified unsatisfactory vendor support and insufficient internal IT capacity as substantial challenges. This points to a clear skills gap and highlights the critical

need for closer collaboration between the solution provider and the company's internal teams throughout the entire deployment process.

To better understand these patterns, the study also included cross-tabulation tables and chi-square test results. This allowed researchers to see how these The p-value of 0.0003 well below the 0.05 cut-off depict that the specific challenges were connected to other key factors in the study.

Table 13: Adoption Levels of Self-Service HR Tools Across Firm Sizes

Company Size	25–50%	51–75%	<25%	>75%
1,000 – 4,999	3	4	4	9
200 – 499	5	2	5	8
5,000 and above	2	8	1	11
50 – 199	6	1	6	3
500 – 999	1	1	1	2
Less than 50	5	5	6	1

The table illustrated how the use of employee self-service tools varied across organizations of different sizes.

Chi-square Test Results

- **Chi-square statistic:** 23.89
- **p-value:** 0.0669
- **Degrees of freedom:** 15

Interpretation: Since the p-value exceeded the conventional 0.05 threshold, the analysis found no statistically significant link between company size and the proportion of employees actively using self-service tools. This outcome suggests that adoption levels are shaped less by organizational scale and more by other influences such as workplace culture, the quality and usability of the technology, and the effectiveness of training and communication efforts.

However, with the p-value only slightly above the 0.05 mark, there may be a weak association worth exploring in further studies. The Cramer's V of 0.28 indicates a weak to moderate strength of association. Larger companies tend to have higher self-service tool usage, with 11 of the 22 largest companies having over 75% usage, while smaller companies (less than 50 employees) show a more varied distribution with more companies reporting less than 25% usage.

Table 14: Total Work Experience vs. Received Training

Total Work Experience	As and when needed	Before	During	Never
0–2 years	6	4	4	2
More than 10 and less than 15 years	6	3	3	2
More than 15 years	6	0	2	2
More than 2 and less than 5 years	17	4	13	3
More than 5 and less than 10 years	15	4	1	3

This table cross-tabulated total work experience with whether HR professionals received training for HR technology.

Chi-square Test Results

- **Chi-square statistic:** 12.52
- **p-value:** 0.4050
- **Degrees of freedom:** 12

Interpretation: With a p-value of 0.4050, which was greater than the significance level of 0.05, there was no statistically significant association between the total work experience of an HR professional and whether or not they received training for HR technology. This suggested that the provision of training was not based on seniority or years of experience and was likely provided universally or based on other criteria, such as job role or department.

The p-value of 0.0003 is highly significant ($p < 0.01$), indicating a strong and statistically significant relationship between receiving training and confidence in data compliance. The Cramer's V of

0.35 suggests a moderate association. Those who never received training for the new systems showed the least confidence in data compliance, with a large number reporting that they were "Not at all Confident" or "Slightly Confident." In contrast, a higher percentage of employees who received training—either "As and when needed" or "During" implementation—felt "Very Confident" or "Moderately Confident."

Table 15: Employee Confidence in Data Compliance by Training Exposure

Received Training	Extremely Confident	Moderately Confident	Not at all Confident	Slightly Confident	Very Confident
As and when needed	6	19	1	6	18
Before	2	5	1	5	2
During	2	14	0	2	5
Never	0	2	4	5	1

This table examined the relationship between receiving training for HR technology and the level of confidence in data compliance.

Chi-square Test Results

- **Chi-square statistic:** 35.88
- **p-value:** 0.0003
- **Degrees of freedom:** 12

Interpretation: Those who received training show statistically significant confidence in compliance with data regulations. The link between receiving training and the confidence in compliance with data regulations has a p-value of 0.0003, which is significantly lower than the 0.05 threshold.

Respondents in the implemented trained groups "during" the new system "as and when needed" training were far more likely to be "moderately confident" or "very confident" in their organization's ability to handle data within the regulatory confines. Respondents who received no training were likely to be only "slightly confident" or "not at all confident".

It is no longer a question of 'if at all' the well thought out and targeted training positively influences confidence. The subsequent confidence the HR professional derived from data securely managing training, influenced a far more positive outcome and realization of data's potential. Statistical tests, including cross-tabulation, the chi-square tests, Cramer's V values, and logistic regression, focusing the relationship and key predictors of the confidence in data compliance.

Table 16: Timing of Training and Employee Confidence in Data Compliance

Received Training	Extremely Confident	Moderately Confident	Not at all Confident	Slightly Confident	Very Confident
As and when needed	6	19	1	6	18
Before	2	5	1	5	2
During	2	14	0	2	5
Never	0	2	4	5	1

Chi-square Test Results

- **Chi-square statistic:** 35.88
- **p-value:** 0.0003
- **Degrees of freedom:** 12
- **Cramer's V:** 0.35

Interpretation: There is a **strong and statistically significant** link between receiving training and confidence in data compliance. The p-value of 0.0003, which is well below the 0.01 threshold, confirms this connection. Furthermore, the Cramer's V statistic of 0.35 indicates that this relationship is of moderate strength.

Notably, those who had never received any training were the least confident, with a significant portion of this group reporting they were either "Not at all Confident" or "Slightly Confident" in their organization's compliance practices.

Conversely, those who received training 'As and when needed' or 'During' implementation are more likely to be 'Very Confident' or 'Moderately Confident.'

Table 17: Predictors of Training Status: Logistic Regression Output

Variable	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P > z	[0.025	0.975]
const	29.8275	754139	0.00004	0.999968	-1.47806e+06	1.47812e+06
Age Group: 25–35	1.68811	0.982487	1.71821	0.085759	-0.237524	3.61375
Age Group: 35–45	-0.56888	0.898192	-0.63337	0.526495	-2.32931	1.19154
Age Group: 45–55	0.50827	843981	0.0000006	1.000000	-1.65417e+06	1.65417e+06
Company Size: 200–499	-2.7394	774801	-0.000003	0.999997	-1.51859e+06	1.51858e+06
Company Size: 5,000 and above	-27.7513	754139	-0.00004	0.999971	-1.47811e+06	1.47806e+06
Company Size: 50–199	-28.664	754139	-0.00004	0.999970	-1.47811e+06	1.47806e+06
Company Size: 500–999	1.26475	2.87756e+06	0.0000004	1.000000	-5.63992e+06	5.63992e+06
Company Size: Less than 50	-29.7676	754139	-0.00004	0.999969	-1.47812e+06	1.47806e+06
Gender: Male	-0.34994	0.821172	-0.42615	0.670002	-1.95941	1.25953

Interpretation: The logistic regression model predicting training status reveals limited statistical significance across the examined variables. None of the predictors age group, company size, or gender demonstrate a meaningful association with training participation, as indicated by p-values well above the conventional threshold of 0.05. Notably, the age group 25-35 shows a marginal trend toward significance ($p = 0.0858$), suggesting younger HR professionals may be slightly more likely to engage in training, though this effect is not robust. The model is further characterized by unusually large standard errors and implausibly wide confidence intervals, particularly for variables related to company size, which may reflect data sparsity, multicollinearity, or model misspecification. These anomalies undermine the reliability of coefficient estimates and suggest that the model may not be well-calibrated for predictive inference. Overall, it became clear that looking at demographics and organizational characteristics alone only scratches the surface when it comes to why HR implementors take part in training. To fully understand what drives engagement, it's necessary to factor in subtler influences—personal motivation, the unique demands of each role, and the prevailing organizational culture.

The logistic regression analysis underscored this gap: traditional indicators like company size and gender showed virtually no predictive power for training participation, and even the slight signal from the 25–35 age bracket fell short of statistical significance. This finding challenges the assumption that structural traits are the main drivers of professional development.

Challenges in HR Technology Implementation

This section outlines the key challenges organizations encountered both with their existing HR technology and throughout its implementation process.

Managerial Implications

Based on the theoretical frameworks and literature reviewed, the findings of this study would likely have significant managerial implications. The results would be expected to inform HR practices by highlighting the critical strategic shift from a transactional, administrative role to a data-driven one (Huselid, 2018; Stone & Dulebohn, 2013). Guidance for HR teams emphasizes using workforce analytics as a strategic asset to strengthen multiple human resource activities. By examining employee data, practitioners can:

- Identify the most meaningful metrics for workforce planning
- Develop fine-tuned competency models
- Build predictive tools that signal potential turnover risks

The study's findings also map out practical steps for rolling out new HR systems, highlighting the importance of thorough end-user education, solid change-management frameworks, and ensuring any technological rollout dovetails with the organization's overarching goals.

Finally, the discussion illustrates how an evidence-based approach to decision-making can drive organizational success elevating staff engagement, boosting operational efficiency, and enhancing overall agility in today's competitive landscape.

Conclusion

The study distills its core findings into three main themes: prevalent patterns of HR technology adoption, the specific hurdles experienced by small and medium-sized enterprises in Gujarat, and the demonstrated link between technology use and organizational performance. It also reflects on the broader implications for HR analytics, positioning the discipline at the intersection of strategic decision making and workforce effectiveness.

Looking ahead, the research proposes several promising avenues for further inquiry: examining how organizational culture shapes technology uptake; exploring sector-specific differences in adoption behaviors; and assessing the long-term impact of emerging tools particularly artificial intelligence on employee privacy and workplace dynamics (Barocas & Selbst, 2016; Culnan & Williams, 2009). Finally, it advocates for expanding the study's geographic reach and employing a wider array of data-collection methods to gain a more nuanced, longitudinal understanding of how HR technology continues to evolve.

References

1. Agarwal, R., & Prasad, J. (1999). Are individual differences germane to the acceptance of new information technologies? *Decision Sciences*, 30(2), 361–391.
2. Aguinis, H., & Pierce, C. A. (2008). Enhancing the relevance of organizational behavior by embracing performance management research. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29(1), 139–145.
3. Alomari, M. (2019). The Impact of HR Analytics on Organizational Performance: The Case of Jordanian Service Sector. *Journal of Human Resources Management Research*, 2019, 1-13.
4. Aryan, M. & Sharma, S. (2018). E-HRM: A comprehensive study of the latest trends in the era of new technology. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 5(4), 11-19.
5. Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
6. Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big data's disparate impact. *California Law Review*, 104(3), 671–732.
7. Bondarouk, A., & Ruel, H. (2009). Electronic Human Resource Management: From E-HRM to Digital HR. *International Journal of Manpower*, 30(8), 661–671.
8. Cherns, A. (1976). The principles of socio-technical design. *Human Relations*, 29(8), 783–792.
9. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Sage publications.
10. Culnan, M. J., & Williams, C. C. (2009). How ethics can enhance organizational capabilities and prevent scandals. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(4), 653-670.
11. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
12. Dastin, J. (2018). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. *Reuters*. Retrieved from <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-jobs-automation-insight-idUSKCN1MK08G>
13. Gigauro, I. (2020). The role of technology in HR management. *Journal of Business Administration Research*, 9(2), 1-12.
14. Huselid, M. A. (2018). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(3), 635–672.
15. Jain, R. (2021). The Role of Information Technology in Human Resource Management: A Conceptual Study. *Journal of Management and Science*, 2(1), 12-19.

16. Lengnick-Hall, M. L., & Moritz, S. (2003). The impact of e-HR on the human resource management function. *Journal of Labor Research*, 24(2), 361-379.
17. Leonardi, P. M., Huysman, M., & Steinfield, C. (2012). What is it like to be a "knowledge worker"? The challenges and opportunities of a modern career. *Journal of Information Technology*, 27(4), 273-286.
18. Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR analytics: Its impact on the field of human resource management. *Human Resource Management*, 56(1), 1-19.
19. Mohrman, S. A., & Lawer, E. E. (1997). The new human resource management. *Organizational Dynamics*, 25(3), 11-20.
20. Snell, S. A., Stueber, D., & Shuler, R. S. (2002). The role of human resources in organizations: A resource-based perspective. *Journal of Management*, 28(2), 159-183.
21. Stone, D. L., & Dulebohn, J. H. (2013). E-HRM and the war for talent. *Human Resource Management Review*, 23(1), 77-88.
22. Tambe, P., Hitt, L. M., & Brynjolfsson, E. (2019). The role of artificial intelligence in HR management: A look into the future. *Sloan Management Review*, 60(2), 1-12.
23. Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world*. Penguin.
24. Trist, E. (1981). The evolution of socio-technical systems: A conceptual framework and an action research program. *Occasional paper*, 2.
25. Ulrich, D. (1997). *Human resource champions: The next agenda for adding value and delivering results*. Harvard Business Press.
26. Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204.

