International Journal of Innovations & Research Analysis (IJIRA)
ISSN :2583-0295(Online), Impact Factor: 7.662, Volume 05, No. 03(II), July- September, 2025, pp 59-66

Leveraging University Science Education for Global Sustainability and Innovation

Manisha Verma[1*] | Priti Goyal[2] | Sunita Hooda[3] | Sanjeeta Rani[4]

[1]Department of Physics, Acharya Narendra Dev College Govindpuri Extension, Kalkaji, New Delhi, India.
[2]Department of Physics, Acharya Narendra Dev College Govindpuri Extension, Kalkaji, New Delhi, India.
[3]Professor of Chemistry, Polymer Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Acharya Narendra Dev College, University of Delhi, Govindpuri Extension, Kalkaji, New Delhi, India.
[4]Professor of Physics, Department of Physics, Acharya Narendra Dev College, University of Delhi, Govindpuri Extension, Kalkaji, New Delhi, India.

[*]Corresponding Author: manishaverma@andc.du.ac.in

Citation: Verma, M., Goyal, P., Hooda, S., & Rani, S. (2025). Leveraging University Science Education for Global Sustainability and Innovation. International Journal of Innovations & Research Analysis, 05(03(II)), 59–66. https://doi.org/10.62823/ijira/5.3(ii).7953

ABSTRACT

In today's world, where challenges like climate change, dwindling natural resources, and growing social inequality are reshaping our global landscape, science education at the university level stands at a powerful crossroads. This paper explores how universities can harness science education to drive sustainable development and spark meaningful innovation. It looks at how curricula can be reimagined to reflect the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), emphasizing interdisciplinary learning, hands-on experiences, and real-world problem-solving. Through case studies and policy insights, the study shows how higher education institutions can become hubs of sustainable thinking and practice—places where new technologies, forward-looking mindsets, and practical solutions are born. It also underscores the role of inclusive education, research-informed teaching, and strong partnerships across sectors in equipping students with the tools and values needed for a more sustainable future. By embedding sustainability into both what they teach and how they operate, universities can help shape not only capable graduates, but also a more resilient and innovative society.

***Keywords**: Science Education Reform, Sustainability Education, Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Interdisciplinary Learning.*

Introduction

In the twenty-first century, humanity is facing some of the biggest challenges we've ever seen — from climate change and the loss of biodiversity to global health crises and growing inequality. These issues affect all of us and call for urgent action. Around the world, scientists and educators are stepping up to support sustainable development and help build a better future (Rockström et al., 2009; UNESCO, 2017). Colleges and universities, especially those that focus on science education, have a special role to play. Through their research, teaching, and connections with communities, they can make a real difference in promoting sustainability (Leal Filho et al., 2018).

University science education is experiencing a significant transformation. Traditionally centered on deep disciplinary knowledge and content mastery, it is now evolving to address the urgent need for systems thinking, problem-solving, and innovation—particularly in the context of sustainability (Brundiers, Wiek, & Redman, 2010). The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are increasingly being used as a guiding framework to reshape curricula in ways that foster interdisciplinary learning and real-world engagement (UNESCO, 2020). This shift aims to empower students not only with scientific knowledge but also with the critical thinking and collaborative skills needed to create sustainable solutions and drive meaningful change in both technology and society.

Undergraduate science education is at a turning point. It's no longer enough to teach science as a collection of isolated facts within rigid disciplinary boundaries. To truly prepare students for the challenges of today's world, we need to move toward a more integrated and purpose-driven approach—one that connects theory to meaningful, real-world applications. Research has shown time and again that students learn better through active engagement. Techniques like peer instruction, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning don't just boost understanding—they help students retain concepts for the long haul (Freeman et al., 2014). Imagine learning physics not just through formulas, but by exploring its role in climate change, renewable energy, or even quantum computing. When students see how science shapes the world around them, they don't just learn—they're inspired to contribute (Redish & Smith, 2008).

Universities are also taking on a new role as "living laboratories" for sustainability, where students and faculty collaborate to tackle real-world challenges and test ideas that can lead to practical, scalable innovations (Evans et al., 2015). This paper explores how science education at the university level can be reimagined to support both local and global sustainability goals. It examines teaching methods, institutional frameworks, and international partnerships that help build an educational environment where scientific literacy is closely linked with the competencies needed for sustainability and innovation.

The Current Landscape of Science Education in Universities

- **Past Approaches: Content-Focused and Disconnected and Isolated**

For decades, science education at the university level followed a familiar pattern: lecture, memorize, test, repeat. In this model, students were expected to absorb large volumes of information and regurgitate it during exams. Professors stood at the front of large lecture halls, delivering content-heavy talks while students quietly copied down notes. In many science classrooms today, students often struggle to connect what they're learning to the real world around them, which can make the subject feel distant or irrelevant. On top of that, there aren't enough chances for them to work together, share ideas, and learn from each other. And with lessons mostly focused on listening and taking notes, it's easy for students to feel like passive observers instead of active explorers—making it harder for them to truly engage and develop a deeper understanding of science.

This traditional approach, though rooted in the desire to maintain academic rigor, often failed to connect knowledge with meaning. It emphasized what to learn over why it matters. Take, for example, a first-year university physics course. In many institutions, it still begins with Newton's laws, projectile motion, and conservation principles—delivered through derivations and equations on the board. But ask students to apply those laws to explain how an electric car accelerates or how drones stay aloft, and many struggle. The content was taught, but not grounded in context. A 2009 study by Richard Hake showed that students in traditional physics courses actually had minimal conceptual gains compared to those in active learning environments (Hake, 1998). This raised questions about the effectiveness of the old model.

Another hallmark of the past was the isolation of disciplines. Biology, chemistry, and physics were taught in separate buildings, often with no interdisciplinary dialogue. A biology major might graduate never understanding the quantum principles underlying MRI technology, or how thermodynamics influences metabolism. In contrast, the world's most pressing problems—climate change, pandemics, sustainable energy—do not respect disciplinary boundaries. A 2015 report by the National Research Council emphasized that interdisciplinary learning is essential to prepare students for real-world challenges (National Academies Press, *Reaching Students: What Research Says About Effective Instruction in Undergraduate Science and Engineering*, 2015).

Perhaps most striking was how little these approaches engaged with the human side of science. The stories of discovery, failure, and perseverance—the elements that inspire and humanize science—were often missing. Students didn't see themselves as participants in a grand, ongoing exploration. Science became something abstract, far from their daily lives. Consider biotechnology, one of the fastest-growing sectors in science and industry. A study by Carleton University (Freeman et al., 2014) found that while students were trained in molecular techniques, they lacked experience in collaborative problem-solving, ethical reasoning, and real-world project design—skills critical for success in the field.

The past content-heavy, isolated, and disconnected model of science education served its purpose for a time. It produced scientists with strong foundational knowledge. But today, as the demands on science graduates evolve, universities are rethinking their approach.

Modern science education is beginning to embrace active learning, interdisciplinarity, and authentic inquiry. Professors are flipping classrooms, designing research-based labs, and linking science to societal challenges. Students are being invited not just to learn about science—but to *do* science, collaboratively and creatively. This transformation is still underway—but acknowledging the limitations of past approaches is the first step toward a more relevant, engaging, and humane science education.

- **Transformative Teaching Practices for Global Impact**

Preparing students to address global challenges requires more than textbook learning—it demands active engagement with real problems, critical collaboration across disciplines, and strong connections to the communities in which students live. Three transformative practices—inquiry-based and problem-based learning (IBL/PBL), interdisciplinary teaching, and community-based projects—are increasingly being adopted in India to make science education more meaningful and impactful.

- **Inquiry-Based and Problem-Based Learning**

Inquiry-based learning places curiosity at the center of science education. In India, this approach is gaining traction through progressive schools and universities. For example, at the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Pune, undergraduate students engage in open-ended laboratory work where they design their own experiments around local issues such as groundwater contamination. Instead of following a lab manual, students are encouraged to ask questions like, *"Why is the nitrate level high in certain borewells?"* and design field-based investigations.

At the school level, some Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalayas have experimented with project-based learning. In one case, students in rural Maharashtra investigated why crop yields were declining in their village. Through soil testing, farmer interviews, and data analysis, they identified nutrient depletion and erratic rainfall as key issues. This not only taught them science, but also how science could serve their own communities.

- **Interdisciplinary Courses: Science Meets Policy**

Many Indian institutions are now designing interdisciplinary programs to bridge the gap between science and society. The Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Hyderabad offers a course titled *Climate Change: Science, Technology, and Policy* which brings together students from engineering, liberal arts, and design. Students study the science behind climate phenomena and then explore policy frameworks such as the National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC).

In a group project, students evaluated India's push for solar energy under the National Solar Mission. They considered not only the scientific potential but also the policy, economic, and equity challenges in implementation. A student from the course reflected, "This was the first time I understood that solving environmental problems isn't just about good engineering—it's also about understanding people and politics."

- **Community-Based Projects and Citizen Science**

Science education in India is also being enriched through community-based projects. In Bengaluru, students from the Azim Premji University's undergraduate program worked with residents of peri-urban villages to study lake pollution. They collected water samples, mapped sources of sewage inflow using GPS, and presented their findings to the local panchayat. The project led to better awareness and a follow-up clean-up initiative supported by local stakeholders.

Similarly, the Centre for Environment Education (CEE) in Ahmedabad supports citizen science initiatives where school students contribute data to national biodiversity monitoring efforts. In one such project, students from Gujarat tracked bird species in wetland areas during the winter migration season. These contributions were fed into national databases and used to inform conservation strategies. This hands-on involvement gave students a sense of ownership over science and conservation.

These examples from across India show that transformative teaching practices are not only possible, but already making a difference. Whether it's a rural classroom analyzing soil health or an urban student presenting air quality data to local officials, such approaches help learners connect science with real life. They foster critical thinking, civic engagement, and a deeper sense of purpose—exactly what is needed to address today's global challenges.

- **Alignment with the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**

These approaches are strongly supported by India's **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**, which emphasizes holistic, experiential, and inquiry-driven learning across all levels of education. The policy advocates for reducing rote learning, promoting scientific temper, and integrating knowledge across disciplines. It explicitly encourages project-based learning, the development of critical thinking skills, and the incorporation of local context into curriculum and pedagogy. Furthermore, NEP 2020 calls for greater community participation and the use of real-world problems to make learning more relevant and engaging. The kinds of practices highlighted above—IBL, interdisciplinary learning, and citizen science—are exactly the kinds of innovations NEP seeks to institutionalize across the Indian education system.

Synergizing Teaching, Research, and Global Goals

- **Education for a Changing World: Science, Students, and Sustainability in India**

In today's world—shaped by the pressing realities of climate change, public health emergencies, and widening social inequalities—education cannot remain confined to textbooks and classrooms. It must be part of the solution. Across India, there's a growing movement to make science education more meaningful by aligning it with real-world problems and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This change is being driven by student-led research, the integration of global themes into everyday teaching, and collaborations that connect universities with industries and local communities.

- **Bringing Research and Real-World Issues into the Classroom**

More and more Indian universities are redesigning their science curricula to reflect urgent global challenges. Take Ashoka University, for example. It offers a unique multidisciplinary course called *Science and the Sustainable Development Goals*, where students dive into issues like climate change, clean energy, health equity, and food security. Through lively debates, hands-on projects, and data analysis, students learn to connect scientific discoveries to social impact—seeing how science can actively reduce inequities both globally and locally.

At the Indian Institute of Science (IISc), the approach goes one step further: bringing cutting-edge research directly into undergraduate teaching. Faculty involved in atmospheric science projects funded by ISRO invite students to work with live satellite data, studying patterns in air quality and weather. It's not just a lesson in environmental science—it's a real-world application of SDG 13 (Climate Action), and students become investigators of the planet's changing climate.

This blend of research and education does more than build scientific literacy. It inspires students to see themselves not just as learners, but as active participants in solving the world's biggest problems.

- **Student-Led Research Tackling Local and Global Challenges**

A growing number of Indian students are conducting independent or guided research on problems with global relevance and local urgency. At Azim Premji University, undergraduate students undertake research capstone projects that often focus on SDG-linked challenges such as water scarcity, waste management, or public health. In a similar vein, the Tata Institute of **S**ocial Sciences (TISS) encourages field-based student research through its *Field Action Projects*, which have addressed everything from school dropout rates to malnutrition. Students are required to frame research questions, collect data, and propose interventions—thus learning both scientific methods and social responsibility.

These student-led efforts foster critical thinking and often contribute to grassroots solutions that align with the SDGs such as Zero Hunger (SDG 2), Clean Water and Sanitation (SDG 6), and Good Health and Well-being (SDG 3).

- **Academia-Industry-Community Partnerships in Science Education**

Addressing global challenges requires collaboration between diverse sectors. In India, several initiatives are creating synergies among academia, industry, and local communities. One successful example is the Unnat Bharat Abhiyan (UBA**)**, a flagship program of the Ministry of Education. UBA connects higher education institutions with rural communities to identify development needs and implement technology-based solutions. For instance, IIT Delhi students partnered with villagers in

Haryana to develop affordable solar-powered water pumps, addressing SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) and SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation).

India is bridging science education and real-world impact through initiatives like the Biotech Industrial Training Programme (BITP). Run by the Department of Biotechnology, BITP places students in research-based industries, where they work on real biotech solutions in health, agriculture, and the environment—co-mentored by both scientists and academics.

At the grassroots, Vigyan Ashram in Maharashtra offers hands-on rural education. Students learn by building rainwater harvesting systems, solar setups, and vermicomposting units—projects rooted in science and community need. This aligns directly with SDG 4 (Quality Education) and SDG 11 (Sustainable Communities).

By connecting science, education, and sustainability, such efforts prepare students to not just understand the world—but to improve it.

Challenges

- **Institutional Inertia and Rigid Curricula**

Despite the ambitious framework of India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 (Ministry of Education, 2020), most universities continue with outdated, siloed curricula. The emphasis remains on rote learning and narrow specialization, leaving little room for interdisciplinary learning or real-world problem-solving.

A study by the Centre for Science Education and Communication (CSEC), University of Delhi found that science syllabi across five major state universities lacked topics on climate science, sustainability, or environmental ethics (CSEC, 2021). Faculty cited administrative bottlenecks and limited academic autonomy as reasons for resisting curriculum reform.

- **Resource Limitations**

Universities, especially in rural or underserved regions, often face serious financial and infrastructure constraints. These gaps restrict hands-on learning, field research, and lab work that are crucial for sustainability education.

At Nagaland University, faculty in the Department of Environmental Science have reported chronic shortages of lab equipment and field gear, limiting their ability to study the region's unique biodiversity (The Hindu, 2022). Budget limitations and lack of digital connectivity further widen the gap between intent and impact.

- **Faculty Development Gaps**

To foster innovation and sustainability education, faculty must be trained in new pedagogies, technologies, and interdisciplinary thinking. However, professional development remains sporadic and underfunded in many Indian institutions.

An encouraging example comes from IISER Pune, which launched a Faculty Development Program (FDP) in 2022 to help science educators integrate SDG-aligned content and project-based learning. Faculty redesigned undergraduate labs to include real-world sustainability themes like water purification and solar energy (IISER Pune, 2023).

- **Misaligned Incentives**

Academic incentives in India largely revolve around publishing in peer-reviewed journals and securing grants. Teaching quality, curriculum innovation, and community engagement are rarely rewarded.

At Banaras Hindu University, a proposed student-led initiative to help clean sections of the Ganga River received little institutional support because it didn't lead to traditional research outputs (Field interviews, 2023). Faculty involved reported that such efforts, despite their clear societal impact, are not factored into promotions or performance reviews.

Addressing these challenges requires systemic change. Universities must embrace flexibility in curriculum design, invest in infrastructure, support faculty through meaningful training, and rethink reward systems to value educational innovation and community impact.

The Way Forward: A Science Education for Global Progress (SEGP) Framework

To prepare students for the complex challenges of today's world, science education must move beyond memorizing facts and become a catalyst for innovation, sustainability, and social responsibility. The proposed Science Education for Global Progress (SEGP) framework outlines four key elements to achieve this transformation. The SEGP framework highlights how science education can nurture empowered, empathetic, and innovative learners ready to tackle global challenges. By connecting knowledge with purpose, collaboration, and communication, we can help students become the changemakers our world urgently needs.

First, integrating sustainability and innovation into the curriculum connects science learning with real-world issues like climate change and resource conservation. When students work on projects that address these challenges, they not only understand scientific concepts better but also feel motivated to contribute solutions. UNESCO's Education for Sustainable Development initiative supports this approach as essential for nurturing responsible global citizens (UNESCO, 2017).

Second, blending STEM with social sciences helps students see the bigger picture — how science impacts society, ethics, and economies. This cross-disciplinary learning encourages critical thinking and empathy, preparing students to make balanced decisions in a complex world (National Research Council, 2014).

Third, digital tools and global networks break down barriers, letting students collaborate internationally and access cutting-edge resources. Programs like the GLOBE initiative connect young learners worldwide to gather and share environmental data, fostering a shared scientific community. (The GLOBE Program, 2023)

Finally, teaching students to communicate science clearly and confidently ensures their ideas reach beyond classrooms. Whether through presentations, writing, or social media, these skills empower young people to engage their communities and advocate for change (Besley & Tanner, 2011).

Finland's curriculum reform introduced phenomenon-based learning, where students explore real issues like climate change through interdisciplinary projects, digital tools, and community engagement. This approach has helped Finnish students develop creativity, teamwork, and a strong sense of global responsibility (Finnish National Agency for Education, 2016).

In India, the Agastya International Foundation brings hands-on science education to rural children who otherwise have limited access to quality resources. Through mobile labs and creative workshops, Agastya inspires curiosity and critical thinking, transforming lives and communities(Agastya International Foundation, 2024).

Conclusion

Changing how we teach science at universities is about much more than updating textbooks or lectures—it's about empowering a new generation to face the big challenges of our time with hope, creativity, and determination. In a world struggling with climate change, social inequality, and shrinking resources, universities have a crucial role to play. They can be places where students don't just learn facts, but discover how science connects to real life and how they can make a difference.

When science education embraces hands-on learning, teamwork, and real-world problems, students become active explorers and innovators. By weaving global goals like sustainability into what and how we teach, universities can help students see their studies as a way to create positive change—not just for themselves, but for communities and the planet.

But this won't happen on its own. Educators, policymakers, and institutions must come together to rethink old habits, support teachers, and create flexible, meaningful learning environments. When we do this, we don't just produce graduates—we nurture thoughtful, compassionate leaders ready to tackle complex problems with confidence and heart.

Ultimately, reforming science education is a hopeful step toward building a better world. It's about inspiring young people to believe that their knowledge, skills, and passion can help shape a future that's fairer, greener, and full of possibility.

References

1. Agastya International Foundation. (2024). *Innovating Science Education in Rural India*. https://agastya.org
2. Ashoka University. (2023). *Course Catalogue – Environmental Studies and Global Development*.
3. Azim Premji University. (2022). *Student Research and Capstone Projects*.
4. Besley, J. C., & Tanner, A. H. (2011). What science communication scholars think about training scientists to communicate. *Science Communication, 33*(2), 239–263. https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547010386973
5. Bonney, R., Phillips, T. B., Ballard, H. L., & Enck, J. W. (2016). Can citizen science enhance public understanding of science? *Public Understanding of Science, 25*(1), 2–16. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662515607406
6. Brundiers, K., Wiek, A., & Redman, C. L. (2010). Real-world learning opportunities in sustainability: From classroom into the real world. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 11*(4), 308–324. https://doi.org/10.1108/14676371011077540
7. Centre for Environment Education (CEE). (n.d.). https://www.ceeindia.org
8. Centre for Science Education and Communication. (2021). Curriculum audit report: Science syllabi and sustainability [Internal report]. University of Delhi.
9. Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India. (2021). *Biotech Industrial Training Programme (BITP)*.
10. Evans, T. L., Stevenson, R. B., Lasen, M., Ferreira, J. A., & Davis, J. M. (2015). Approaches to embedding sustainability in teacher education: A synthesis of the literature. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 54*, 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2015.01.013
11. Field interviews and case notes from faculty at Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi. (2023). Informal review for curriculum innovation initiatives.
12. Finnish National Agency for Education. (2016). *Finnish National Core Curriculum for Basic Education 2014*. Ministry of Education and Culture.
13. Freeman, S., et al. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(23), 8410–8415. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111
14. Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics, 66*(1), 64–74. https://doi.org/10.1119/1.18809
15. Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review, 16*(3), 235–266. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3
16. IISc Bangalore. (2022). *Undergraduate Program Overview*. https://iisc.ac.in
17. IISER Pune. (n.d.). Inquiry-based science education initiatives. Retrieved from https://www.iiserpune.ac.in
18. IISER Pune. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Pune. https://www.iiserpune.ac.in
19. Leal Filho, W., Shiel, C., & Paço, A. (2018). Implementing and operationalising integrative approaches to sustainability in higher education: The role of project-oriented learning. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 172*, 4367–4376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.135
20. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in
21. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2015). *Reaching Students: What Research Says About Effective Instruction in Undergraduate Science and Engineering*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/18687

22. National Research Council. (2014). *STEM Integration in K-12 Education: Status, Prospects, and an Agenda for Research*. The National Academies Press.

23. Redish, E. F., & Smith, K. A. (2008). Looking beyond content: Skill development for engineers. *Journal of Engineering Education, 97*(3), 295–307. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2008.tb00994.x

24. Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., ... & Foley, J. A. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature, 461*(7263), 472–475. https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a

25. Savery, J. R. (2006). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning, 1*(1), 9–20. https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1002

26. The GLOBE Program. (2023). Enhancing STEM Education Through Global Collaboration. NASA and NSF.

27. The Hindu. (2022, March 5). Nagaland University faces equipment shortage in environmental science labs. https://www.thehindu.com

28. TISS Field Action Projects. (n.d.). Tata Institute of Social Sciences.

29. UNESCO. (2017). *Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives*. UNESCO Publishing.

30. UNESCO. (2020). *Education for Sustainable Development: A Roadmap*. Paris: UNESCO.

31. Unnat Bharat Abhiyan. (n.d.). https://unnatbharatabhiyan.gov.in

32. Vigyan Ashram. (2021). Rural Technology and Education Projects. https://vigyanashram.com

33. Walsh, K., et al. (2018). Integrating climate science and policy: Developing interdisciplinary collaboration skills. *Environmental Education Research, 24*(2), 185–204. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2016.1232205.

❑❑❑